Findings from the Commercial Driver Safety Risk Factors (CDSRF) Study

Erin Mabry Virginia Tech Transportation Institute Center for Truck and Bus Safety

January 15, 2019

Advancing Transportation Through Innovation Virginia Tech. Transportation Institute

CDSRF Primary Objectives

- 1. Discuss the prevalence of demographic characteristics, work experience, lifestyle and behavioral habits, medical conditions, etc. for up to 21,000 CMV drivers
- 2. Identify personal, medical, and situational factors that increase crash or violation risk

Transportation Through Innovation

- 3. Identify factors associated with presence of OSA
- 4. Follow CMV drivers' safety records for up to three years

Methods

Recruited at driver orientation

- Consented drivers completed Initial Driver Survey (n=11,414)
- Driver medical exams received from carrier for all drivers
 - Complete medical examination report (n=13,724)
 - Brief medical screen (n=5,790)
- Monthly safety records from carrier
 - Self-insured; thus, filtered to on-road crashes (2,775)
 - Most minor PDO crashes excluded (e.g., driving over bush, scraping mirror, etc.)

dvancing Transportation Through Innovation

Monthly MCMIS data (741 FMCSA-recordable crashes)

□CDLIS moving violation convictions (n=1,614)





Medical Exam and Initial Driver Survey

Questionnaire	Description
Medical Examination Report for	Required of all drivers with a commercial driver's license (CDL). The examination
Commercial Driver Fitness	form consists of biographical information, such as name, date of birth, weight,
Determination	height and gender, as well as 64 items related to medical health.
Brief Medical Exam	Collected demographic information (driver's license number, gender, and date of
	birth) as well as two pieces of objective medical information (blood pressure and
	heart rate). Only collected for those drivers without a Medical Examination Report.
Initial Driver Survey:	Basic demographic information that was not covered in the Medical Examination
Demographic Questionnaire	Report (e.g., sleep, lifestyle, training, driving experience, etc.).
Initial Driver Survey: Epworth	A self-report screening tool for daytime sleepiness.
Sleepiness Scale (ESS)	
Initial Driver Survey: Berlin	A self-report screening tool for OSA.
Questionnaire (BQ)	
Initial Driver Survey: Survey of	A survey reporting the frequency of recent life experiences that contribute to stress
Recent Life Experiences (SRLE)	or "daily hassles."
Initial Driver Survey: Dula	A survey assessing various aspects of driving behavior, including hostility felt and
Dangerous Driving Index (DDDI)	expressed while driving.
Initial Driver Survey: Social	A survey which helps determine if a respondent is attempting to appear in a
Desirability Scale (SDS)	favorable light or is otherwise not responding truthfully.
Advancing Transportation Through Innovation	

Data Entry/Formatting

Questionnaire data double enteredMedical Examination Report comments

- I. Current condition is:
 - Diagnosed
 - Potential
 - ME left a comment suggesting a possible condition

- Unsure/unclear
- 2. Condition is:
 - Being treated
 - Not being treated
 - Unsure/unclear
 - Treatment prescribed but not compliant



Completion Counts

1st completed measure
20,753 unique drivers
No duplicates





Descriptive Results



Demographics

■96% of study drivers Male

Age

- 21-33 yrs: 25%
- 34-42 yrs: 24%
- 43-51 yrs: 27%
- ≥52 yrs: 24%

□29% Overweight
 □58% Obese (BMI≥30 kg/m²)
 ■ Class I (30≤BMI<35): 28%
 ■ Class II (35≤BMI<40): 16%
 ■ Class III (BMI>40): 15%

48% Married37% Single12% Divorced

Advancing Transportation Through Innovation

WirginiaTech. Transportation Institute

Lifestyle

63% used tobacco

Caffeine

- 22%: 1 drink/day
- 33%: 2 drinks/day
- 17%: 3 drinks/day
- 12%: 6+ drinks/day

Alcohol

- 70%: 0 drinks/week
- 30%: 1 drink/week

173% napped during day

34% not on a regular sleep schedule
 54% sometimes on a regular sleep schedule

WirginiaTech

Transportation Institute

□Nightly sleep

- 73%: 7 or more hrs/night
- 26%: 5-6 hrs/night
- <1% 4 or fewer hrs/night</p>

Data Analysis Approach: Prospective Cohort

Poisson regression model to link crash risk with survey/medical factors

vancing Transportation Through Innovation

Individual regression

- Stratified by age quartiles (20-33; 34-42; 43-51; 52+)
- Adjusted for age and BMI

Stepwise regression (effect of multiple variables)

- Adjusted for age and BMI
- Not stratified
- No interaction
- Missing value problem



10



Data Analysis Approach: Prospective Cohort

Advancing Transportation Through Innovation

□ Four outputs

- National crash database (MCMIS)
- National moving violation conviction database (CDLIS)
- Carrier crash files
 - Total on-road crashes
 - Preventable crashes



Data Analysis Approach: Prospective Cohort



dvancing Transportation Through Innovation

Time A + C = Carrier crashes and Violations / Tenure Time B = National Crashes / Exposure



0100

Key Findings

Advancing Transportation Through Innovation



OSA Prediction

Which predictors were associated with a diagnosis of OSA?

> Classification tree shows the relative importance of the predictive variables in identifying diagnosed OSA drivers



Crash Risk for Medical Conditions

Which medical conditions and treatments had an impact on future crash and/or moving violation risk?

- Drivers being treated for medical conditions, such as diabetes/elevated blood sugar, high blood pressure, and OSA, were no riskier than drivers without the same medical conditions. In some age groups, treated drivers were less risky than those who did not have the medical condition
- OSA treatment reduced crash risk ~40%; non-treatment increased risk by ~200%

vancing Transportation Through Innovation

 Hypertension treatment reduced risk ~5% to ~40%; non-treatment increased risk by ~30% to ~200%

Crash Risk by Prior Moving Violation

Did prior moving violations have an impact on future crash and/or moving violation risk?

Advancing Transportation Through Innovation

 Drivers with a self-reported moving violation in the last 3 years were 40-58% more likely to be involved in a crash than drivers of similar age without prior moving violations



Crash Risk by Age and Driving Experience

Did driver age and driving experience have an impact on future crash and/or moving violation risk?

- Each yearly increase in age reduced the likelihood of a moving violation conviction by 6.0 percent.
- Each yearly increase in age reduced the likelihood of involvement in a national crash by 4.0 percent.
- Each yearly increase in age reduced the likelihood of involvement in a carrier preventable crash by 5.6 percent.

dvancing Transportation Through Innovation

Other Takeaways

Obesity was highly prevalent among study sample (58.4%); however, obesity itself does not increase crash or violation risk

Untreated comorbid conditions increase crash risk

Most common diagnosed medical groupings in study population

- 1. High blood pressure (24.39%)
- 2. Diabetes/elevated blood sugar (9.38%)
- 3. OSA (7.15%)

Effect of age on safety events and relationship of age to BMI and medical conditions

- Older drivers ---- more driving experience ---- fewer safety events
- Older drivers higher BMI and more medical conditions

Conclusions

Relationship of age, BMI, medical conditions, and safety

- Informs future longitudinal study in planning (NIOSH)
- High prevalence of obesity and obesity-related comorbid conditions
- Questionnaires used with light vehicle drivers may not be appropriate
 - Need to assess with truck drivers or develop new questionnaires with truck drivers
- Requirements for medical certification working
 - Risk present in non-treatment/potential
 - Treatment typically safer/no difference

Conclusions, cont

OSA predictors similar to STOP-BANG

- <u>S</u>noring
- <u>T</u>ired
- <u>O</u>bserved apnea
- Blood <u>P</u>ressure
- <u>B</u>MI
- <u>A</u>ge
- Neck circumference
- <u>G</u>ender

Fleets

- High rates of characteristics/behaviors associated with comorbid health conditions
 - Target smoking and weight loss
- Pre-employment screening can be effective (moving violations)
- Treating health conditions results in healthier AND <u>safer drivers</u>



Limitations

- Limited sample size of many medical conditions-not enough power to see differences
- Exposure measured in calendar days, not vehicle miles traveled or hours driving
- Initial Driver Survey respondents are convenience sample, not random
- Treatment for medical conditions did not consider type or efficacy
- Once drivers left participating carrier, unable to know if they continued driving. MCMIS and CDLIS data impacted
 - Drivers with poor safety records who are unable to find employment would have zero crash risk



Advancing Transportation through Innovation

Questions?

Erin Mabry<u>emabry@vtti.vt.edu</u>

00000 C

Jeff Hickmanjhickman@vtti.vt.edu

