

AIR AND EXPEDITED MOTOR CARRIERS ASSOCIATION
ALLIANCE FOR SAFE, EFFICIENT AND COMPETITIVE TRUCK TRANSPORTATION
AMERICAN HOME FURNISHINGS ALLIANCE
AUTO HAULERS ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA
THE EXPEDITE ALLIANCE OF NORTH AMERICA
TRANSPORTATION LOSS PREVENTION AND SECURITY ASSOCIATION

**Further Details on Reform and Strategic Planning Proposals
Submitted to MCSAC by These Commenters on June 7, 2017**

The Comment previously submitted by these stakeholders does not propose changing a single word in the core Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations at 49 CFR Parts 382, 383 and 390-399. These Commenters, however, do seek to repeal and replace a series of quasi-rules developed in recent years by the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration ("FMCSA" or "Agency"), which issued them without use of notice-and-comment rulemaking under the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"). Such quasi-rules are clearly within the scope of Executive Order No. 13771 (January 30, 2017) and related presidential actions regarding regulatory reform; see definition of "regulation" in E.O. 13771, sec. 4. These quasi-rules should be replaced in accordance with a new FMCSA strategic plan focused on sound data, simplified rules, due process, and attention to marketplace conditions that pose future threats to truck capacity. Here are further details on the six proposals offered by these stakeholders as part of their Comment in this docket dated June 7, 2017 (which is attached for the convenience of MCSAC members):

1. Replace SMS with Biannual Audits

The Agency should abandon its current use of a mix of SMS methodology, focused audits, enhanced investigative techniques and other related "guidance" in the safety rating process, replacing them with a continuation of new carrier audits and with similar biennial audits of all registered carriers. FMCSA should condition any less than satisfactory finding upon an objective compliance review as required by existing regulations at 49 C.F.R. Part 385.

Simply stated, SMS scoring is incapable of predicting the safety performance of individual motor carriers. This was demonstrated by these Commenters in their submissions to the record for the now-abandoned Safety Fitness Determination rulemaking ("ASECTT SFD Comments"); see Docket No. FMCSA-2015-0001, Tracking No. 1k0-8psk-2m3b (May 23, 2016), at pp. 26-36 and Exhibits A, B. SMS also has failed to achieve its avowed goal of providing comprehensive coverage of the carrier population. As shown by April 2017 MCMS data, only 12.9 percent of all carriers have been scored in the Vehicle Maintenance BASIC, and the corresponding score coverages for the Hours of Service and Unsafe Driving BASICs are 10.0 and 6.9 percent respectively.

The Agency's current use of offsite audits for many new entrants (despite the reference to on-site audits in 49 C.F.R. 385.315) has affirmed that a remote or desktop audit is an accurate, cost-effective tool for determining carrier compliance.

Petitioners propose that the similar desktop audit be conducted of every registered motor carrier, on at least a biennial schedule corresponding to its census update deadline. This audit would be conducted by federal and state officials or outside contractors at the cost of approximately \$300 per audit, the expense to be borne by the registrant. Desktop audits would result in a finding that a carrier is "fit to operate and fit to use" - in accordance with the Agency's certification responsibilities under 49 U.S.C. 31144 and with the directive from Congress in SAFETEA-LU that the Agency develop a method for reviewing and rating all registrants. If no such finding appears appropriate, the Part 385 safety rating process or existing "imminent hazard" procedures would be available to the Agency.

The commenting organizations are largely composed of small carriers under SBA guidelines. The compliance posture of all carriers, both large and small, should be measured under the same uniform yardstick, which the biennial desktop audit would ensure. The details of such a program have been developed by experienced safety consultants working with Commenters (see ASECTT SFD Comments, at 60-63 and accompanying Affidavit of Richard Gobbell at 9-12) and can be shared upon request.

2. Replace URS with a simple, comprehensive, publicly accessible system.

Congress' direction to the Agency to enroll evidence of agents and insurance for all registrants has lingered for over 10 years and has not been achieved. The public deserves simple, comprehensive, accurate and user-friendly access to all registrants' census information including current agents, insurance, telephone numbers and email addresses to ensure carrier qualifications and avoid identity theft and fraud. The Agency's failure to prescribe equal insurance requirements and filings for all carriers regardless of whether they are public, private or exempt is overdue, and discriminatory against regulated for-hire carriers.

Although the various regulatory exemptions for particular motor carrier industry sectors may have made sense prior to economic deregulation in 1980, their primary significance in recent years has been to defeat the efforts of the Agency's code writers to fully implement universal on-line registration under the enormously complex "final" URS rule issued in 2013! A replacement for URS that collected only basic census information for all fleets would not require a registration form running to 20 pages in hard copy, or instructions running to 30 pages.

3. Separation of FMCSA's investigative and adjudicatory functions

Currently, the Agency's safety enforcement personnel are the sole administrative adjudicators of proposed safety ratings. There is no assurance that appeals will receive due-process review by an Administrative Law Judge before a carrier is placed out of service by an unsatisfactory safety rating, or is subject to losing its customers under a conditional rating.

4. Adjudicatory and administrative functions of FMCSA should be moved to the Surface Transportation Board or other separate panel within U.S. DOT

Although FMCSA is the sole agency within the U.S. DOT which has comprehensive regulatory authority over the motor carrier industry, FMCSA has repeatedly self-defined its primary mission as enforcing safety regulations with regard to commercial motor vehicles, not to administer the National Transportation Policy as defined in 49 U.S.C. 13101. There is a backlog of non-safety related commercial issues (such as leasing rules, household goods issues, broker practices under MAP-21, and transfer rules) which have not been addressed by FMCSA. These issues are best referred to and determined by an independent agency.

Rail carriers currently operate under a division of functions similar in effect to what Commenters propose here – with safety enforcement handled by the Federal Railroad Administration and regulatory adjudications handled independently by the Surface Transportation Board (“STB”). While the STB obviously would need staffing up to handle the larger caseload likely to arise in the motor carrier industry, it does have appropriate experience and legal expertise for handling adjudications in accordance with administrative due process.

5. Replace use of website notice and guidance with APA-compliant rulemaking

The Agency should cease using “guidance,” “interpretations” and similar devices for announcing measures with substantive legal effect. SMS of course is a prime example of an elaborate quasi-rule that has wide-ranging legal consequences but will be found nowhere in the Code of Federal Regulations. It is the product of complex algorithms devised and repeatedly refined by contractors behind closed doors, and of a long series of “listening sessions” and other “rulemaking lite” procedures. Other examples of quasi-rules have included website pronouncements urging shippers and bus passengers to use dubious SMS methodology for selecting carriers which the Agency has found to be fit to operate; use of “vetting” procedures for new carrier registrants without any defined timetable or pass/fail criteria; the recent (albeit quickly corrected) removal of public information from the Agency’s website without prior notice; and the use of “enhanced investigative techniques” and “focused audits” under which protracted on-site investigations can end only in two ways: with a rating of less than satisfactory, or with a cryptic notation on the Agency’s website that the audit was “non-ratable.”

6. Seek clarification of scope of federal preemption of state laws

As pointed out above, FMCSA has been designated as the primary regulator of interstate motor carriers. Its safety fitness determinations under 49 U.S.C. 31144, and under the Commerce Clause itself, set the preemptive standard for not only a carrier’s “fitness to operate” but also its “fitness for use.” Other regulatory issues such as the status of lease operators as independent contractors, the setting of hours of service regulations, the establishment of cargo claim procedures, the operations of freight brokers and the protection of interstate household good shippers, likewise have all been delegated to the FMCSA with preemptive effect.

With respect to the above-listed areas of motor carrier safety and commercial regulation, carriers and the shipping public should be able to refer any issue of

general transportation importance, any question as to interpretation of regulations and any court referral under the doctrine of primary jurisdiction to a separate adjudicative panel as proposed in paragraph 4 above for interpretation of laws and regulations. There is a clear need for a federal regulatory arbiter of such issues in order to advance the National Transportation Policy, promote uniform national rules in these areas, and apply the doctrines of implied, field and express preemption.

The Agency's next strategic plan should recognize the importance of such an arbiter in reducing regulatory uncertainty. Much of this uncertainty is caused by escalating jury verdicts under state law in cases where juries are misapplying SMS data, misreading owner-operator leasing rules, and ignoring federal cargo liability standards. These Commenters' members already are seeing increases in liability insurance premiums, because insurers perceive regulatory uncertainty as a source of increased risk. In turn, premium increases could reduce the supply of truck transportation at a time when freight volumes are still recovering from recession levels. Even if FMCSA chooses to read its regulatory responsibilities narrowly, it cannot ignore such potential impacts on the ability of motor carriers to comply with the Agency's own insurance regulations.

In summary, the Agency's regulatory reform agenda should begin with critical examination of the many quasi-rules that it has promulgated in recent years, including but not limited to SMS. To the extent these quasi-rules were devised without benefit of APA due process, Commenters submit that they likewise can and should be withdrawn without APA notice and comment. With regard to strategic planning, the Agency should start by rethinking its entire approach to regulation of motor carriers. Because sound regulation depends on sound data, the Agency's first and foremost strategic goal should be to improve the quality, accuracy, completeness and accessibility of its data. In addition, it should pay renewed attention to the need for regulatory certainty and uniformity as support for sound marketplace conditions in the nationwide industry it regulates.

Commenters would be happy to address any followup questions from MCSAC members. Thank you for this opportunity to comment on the Committee's interconnected tasks relating to strategic planning and regulatory reform.

Attachment: Comment filed June 7, 2017

Mark Andrews - Comments

This is a Comment on the **Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) Notice: Meetings: Motor Carrier Safety Advisory Committee**

For related information, [Open Docket Folder](#) 

[Comment Now!](#)

Due Jun 7 2017, at 11:59 PM ET

Comment

PREFACE: This comment is being timely submitted on June 7, 2017 for a meeting of the Motor Carrier Safety Advisory Committee (MCSAC) on June 12-13, 2017. Commenters are the following organizations of transportation stakeholders:

Air and Expedited Motor Carriers Association (AEMCA)
 Alliance for Safe, Efficient and Competitive Truck Transportation (ASECTT)
 American Home Furnishings Alliance (AHFA)
 Auto Haulers Association of America (AHAA)
 The Expedite Alliance of North America (TEANA)
 Transportation Loss Prevention & Security Association (TLP&SA)

Contact information for the above Commenters, and for their personal representatives who expect to attend the MCSAC meeting, has today been emailed to Ms. Shannon Watson at the headquarters of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), as required by the Federal Register notice dated May 26, 2017 concerning this meeting.

COMMENTERS' CONCERNS: This comment pertains to Task 17-2 (2018-2022 Strategic Plan) and related Task 17-3 (Regulatory Review), as described in the above-referenced Federal Register notice. FMCSA already has made a commendable start on the latter task, by withdrawing or suspending regulatory projects such as:

- the Unified Registration System, which remains in limbo for most registrants because of chronic IT issues more than three years after its publication as a "final rule."

- the proposed Safety Fitness Determination rule, which has been withdrawn until completion of the Congressionally mandated National Academies investigation into whether its underlying Safety Measurement System (SMS) is capable of predicting safety performance of individual motor carriers.

- the recently withdrawn proposal for increasing minimum insurance coverage requirements for motor carriers.

Commenters submit, however, that the withdrawal of regulatory schemes such as these should go hand-in-hand with consideration of how essential FMCSA functions can be performed with greater fairness, transparency, simplicity and accuracy. This is where strategic planning

ID: FMCSA-2006-26367-0142

Tracking Number: 1k1-8wty-4q9v

Document Information

Date Posted:

Jun 8, 2017

[Show More Details](#) 

Submitter Information

Submitter Name:

Mark Andrews

Mailing Address:

1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 717

City:

Washington

Country:

United States

State or Province:

DC

ZIP/Postal Code:

20036

Organization Name:

ASECTT and five other organizations (see Comments)

Submitter's Representative:

Mark J. Andrews

under Task 17-2 can play a role. Given that progress on accident reduction has stalled out despite the command-and-control approach taken by many recent FMCSA rules, Commenters submit that now is the time to "repeal and replace" that approach with a new regulatory strategy having six key elements:

1. Replace SMS – with its reliance on the wildly varying enforcement priorities of roadside inspections in 50 States – by a uniform procedure for biennial desktop audits of all carriers with USDOT numbers, funded by a registration renewal fee, so that all carriers (for a change) are examined and found "fit to operate and thus fit to use."

2. Replace URS with a simple, comprehensive, accurate, user-friendly and publicly accessible system that can capture essential insurance, safety and contact information for all truck fleets.

3. Separate FMCSA's investigative and adjudication functions, so that safety enforcement personnel are not also empowered to act as judge and jury in penalty and safety rating cases. Other agencies make much greater use than FMCSA of independent, legally trained administrative law judges who can insist on due process and data integrity in enforcement matters.

4. Consider moving FMCSA's adjudicative functions into a separate panel within USDOT, to ensure fair dispute resolution and comprehensive administration of the agency's commercial regulations (leasing rules, household goods, broker practices, transfers) as well as its safety regulations – for greater consistency with the National Transportation Policy.

5. Re-focus FMCSA on the need for transparency and compliance with the Administrative Procedure Act in its rulemaking activities, rather than endlessly proliferating quasi-rules in the form of "guidance." Examples of quasi-rules include SMS scoring "enhancements"; the "vetting" of new applicants without defined pass/fail criteria, and the use of "enhanced investigative techniques" in safety audits.

6. Seek legislative clarification on the scope of federal preemption of state laws relating to such issues as carrier prices, routes, services, cargo liability and use of independent contractors, in order to ensure uniform enforcement and a vibrant competitive marketplace. Such legislation should explicitly provide that court referrals under the doctrine of primary jurisdiction are within the scope of the functions of the separate adjudicative panel proposed in paragraph 4 above.

Given that the seven business days between the Federal Register notice date and this due date for comments have limited the opportunities for Commenters to consult with each other on this matter, Commenters reserve the right to supplement the above observations in written or verbal presentations at the upcoming MCSAC meeting. For this purpose, we respectfully request an allocation of 30 minutes on the MCSAC agenda for either June 12 or 13. Thank you for your consideration.