

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN DIVISION

HARRY SWALES, COREY LILLY, and KYLE SHETTLES on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Civil Action No.: 3: 17cv 490 CWR-LRA

Plaintiffs,

v.

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

KLLM TRANSPORT SERVICES, LLC, and DOES 1-25

Defendants.

Harry Swales, Corey Lilly, and Kyle Shettles, ("Plaintiffs"), on behalf of themselves and those similarly situated, by and through their undersigned attorneys, hereby make the following allegations against Defendant KLLM Transport Services, LLC, and Does 1 through 25 ("Defendant") concerning its acts and status upon actual knowledge and concerning all other matters upon information, belief, and the investigation of their counsel:

### **NATURE OF ACTION**

- 1. Plaintiffs bring the present action to seek redress for Defendant's violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA").
- 2. The factual circumstances here are as follows: Defendant KLLM Transport
  Services, LLC has engaged in a pattern and practice of taking advantage of its truck drivers by
  misclassifying them as independent contractors and improperly shifting the costs of doing
  business, while completely controlling the means and manner by which the truck drivers perform
  their job duties.

3. Defendant has, at all times relevant herein, misclassified Plaintiffs and similarly situated individuals nationwide as independent contractors, and refused and failed to pay them at least the minimum wage for all hours worked. Specifically, Defendant has intentionally required that Plaintiffs and similarly situated individuals cover the costs of operating Defendant's business, (including but not limited to the costs of fuel and truck maintenance), willfully reducing the wages of Plaintiffs and those similarly situated to a rate below the federally mandated minimum wage.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' FLSA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this civil action arises under federal law of the United States, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.
- 5. This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant's contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant to comply with traditional norms of fair play and substantial justice.
  - 6. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

### **PARTIES**

- 7. Plaintiff Kyle Shettles is an adult individual residing at 4927 Cairo Loop, Ecru, Mississippi 38841.
- Named Plaintiff Corey Lilly is an adult individual residing at 206 Atyla St.,
   Longview, TX 75604.
- 9. Named Plaintiff Harry Swales is an adult individual residing at 6 Spectrum Drive, Newark, Delaware 19713.

- 10. Defendant KLLM Transport Services, LLC is a limited liability company formed in the State of Texas with its principal place of business at 135 Riverview Drive, Jackson, MS 39218. Defendant KLLM Transport Services, LLC provides over-the-road carrier services throughout the United States.
- 11. Defendants DOES 1 through 25 are presently unknown persons and/or entities who had control over the wages, hours, and payroll of Plaintiffs and similarly situated individuals.
- 12. At all times relevant herein, Defendants acted by and through their agents and employees, each of whom acted in the course and scope of their employment with Defendants.

## FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 13. Each of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 14. Plaintiffs bring this action for violations of the FLSA as both an individual and collective action on behalf of Plaintiffs and all persons who performed work as truck drivers under Defendant's owner-operator and/or lease-purchaser program and were designated as "independent contractors" by Defendant at any time during the period from three years prior to filing of the complaint to the present ("collective members"). Plaintiffs bring this action pursuant to section 216(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 15. During all times relevant herein, Plaintiffs and collective members were subjected to an unlawful compensation system put in place by Defendant.
- 16. During the relevant time period, Defendant has employed Plaintiffs and collective members as "lease-purchasers" and/or "owner-operators" and misclassified them as independent contractors.

- 17. Plaintiffs and collective members are similarly situated. During the relevant time period, they have held similar job titles, performed similar job duties, been payed under similar pay provisions, and are all subject to Defendant's unlawful policies and practices as described herein.
- 18. Plaintiffs and collective members are numerous. There are numerous similarly situated current and former employees of Defendant who have worked as "owner-operators" and/or "lease-purchasers" and been misclassified by Defendant and improperly compensated under the FLSA. Each of these numerous individuals would benefit from notice of the present suit and the opportunity to join.
- 19. Defendant maintains records of its "owner-operators" and/or "lease purchasers" and as such they are readily identifiable by Defendant.
- 20. Therefore, Plaintiffs should be permitted to bring this action as a collective action on behalf of themselves and all other individuals similarly situated pursuant to the "opt-in" provisions of the FLSA.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 21. Each of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 22. Plaintiff Kyle Shettles worked for Defendant as an "owner-operator" and/or "lease-purchaser" from approximately October 2015 to January 2017, and was classified by Defendant as an independent contractor.
- 23. Plaintiff Harry Swales worked for Defendant as an "owner-operator" and/or "lease-purchaser" from approximately July 2013 to July 2015, and was classified by Defendant as an independent contractor.

- 24. Plaintiff Corey Lilly worked for Defendant as an "owner-operator" and/or "lease-purchaser" from approximately March 2016 to November 2016, and was classified by Defendant as an independent contractor.
- 25. Defendant's primary business is the transport of goods/cargo by truck across the United States.
  - 26. Defendant is a motor carrier as defined by the Motor Carrier Act.
- 27. Plaintiffs and collective members worked and/or work for Defendant as commercial truck drivers during the relevant time periods.
- 28. Defendant has continued to misclassify its "owner-operators" and/or "lease-purchasers" during all relevant times through the present.
- 29. Plaintiffs and collective members have been misclassified by Defendant as independent contractors during the covered period, and are/were in fact Defendant's employees under federal law.

# Misclassification of Owner-Operators and Lease-Purchasers as Independent Contractors

- 30. Each of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 31. During the relevant time period, Defendant has engaged in a policy and practice of employing truck drivers and misclassifying them as independent contractors.
- 32. During all relevant times herein, Defendant has controlled and directed Plaintiffs and collective members in the performance of their job duties.
- 33. During all relevant times herein, Defendant has controlled the meaningful aspects of the business including customer flow and rates, effectively eliminating Plaintiffs and collective members' ability to function as independent economic entities.

- 34. During all relevant times herein, Plaintiffs and collective members have entered into lease agreements with Defendant which require Plaintiffs and collective members to make large regular payments in return for the use of one of Defendant's trucks. Leases extend for periods such as four to six years. Defendant deducts lease payments from Plaintiffs and collective members' wages.
- 35. Plaintiffs and collective members do not gain equity in the trucks during the term of their lease with Defendant. Until an individual "owner-operator" and/or "lease-purchaser" reaches the end of the lease term and completes purchase of the truck, the "owner-operator" and/or "lease-purchaser" is essentially driving Defendant's equipment.
- 36. Upon termination of Plaintiffs and collective members' employment with Defendant by either Plaintiffs and collective members or Defendant, the lease agreement also automatically terminates. Defendant does not return the payments that were made toward the trucks. Plaintiffs and collective members are not permitted to take the truck with them and continue making lease payments.
- 37. Plaintiffs and collective members are compensated based on a number of factors unilaterally determined by Defendant, including but not limited to the distance a load must be hauled and the size and/or weight of the cargo.
- 38. Defendant does not permit Plaintiffs and collective members to carry loads for independent companies that are/were not assigned by and/or through Defendant, even on days Plaintiffs and collective members are not assigned work from Defendant.
- 39. Plaintiffs and collective members can only accept loads that have been assigned to them by Defendant.

- 40. Defendant requires Plaintiffs and collective members to have and maintain particular onboard communications and tracing technology.
- 41. The onboard communications and tracing technology enables Defendant to locate and track the trucks Plaintiffs and collective members drive at all times, and to better control the schedules and loads of Plaintiffs and collective members.
- 42. Defendant assigns loads to Plaintiffs and collective members through its communications and dispatch system.
- 43. Plaintiffs and collective members have/had little to no ability to refuse assigned loads.
- 44. When Plaintiffs and collective members refuse loads, Defendant can and has required Plaintiffs and collective members to sit and wait days for another load, substantially decreasing the amount of money they are able to earn and endangering their ability to meet their lease payment obligations.
- 45. As Plaintiffs and collective members were not permitted to accept loads or jobs from anyone other than Defendant, they had no meaningful opportunity to increase their business outside of what was offered by Defendant.
- 46. Although Plaintiffs and collective members are required by law to hold commercial driving licenses, they are not required to have special skills uncommon to the overthe-road trucking industry.
- 47. During all relevant times herein, Plaintiffs and collective members have performed the same or substantially similar job duties as are performed by company drivers employed directly by Defendant.

- 48. Because Defendant controls the rates paid and the available loads, Plaintiffs and collective members can do little to increase their profits other than attempt to improve their efficiency within the bounds of the Motor Carrier Act.
- 49. During all relevant times herein, Plaintiffs and collective members are/were economically dependent on Defendant.
- 50. During all relevant times herein, Defendant directed, provided, and supervised the work performed by Plaintiffs and collective members on Defendant's behalf.

## Failure to Pay Plaintiffs and Collective Members Minimum Wages for All Hours Worked

- 51. Each of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 52. Defendant's pay structure regularly caused Plaintiffs and collective members to make less than the minimum wage as set forth by section 206 of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 206.
- 53. Defendant has a policy and practice of making regular deductions from Plaintiff and collective members' pay checks for items including but not limited to: lease payments, communications system rentals, and for an escrow account set aside to cover maintenance of the truck.
- 54. Additionally, Defendant has failed to reimburse Plaintiffs and collective members for necessary and reasonable business expenses including but not limited to costs of fuel, lubricants, antifreeze, licenses, and insurance.
- 55. Defendant's pay structure, including both deductions made and its failure to reimburse for all necessary and reasonable business expenses, regularly causes/caused Plaintiffs

and collective members to make wages amounting to less than the federal minimum wage of \$7.25 per hour for all hours worked during a workweek.

### **COUNT I**

### Violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA")

## Failure to Pay Minimum Wages

- 56. Each of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 57. At all times relevant herein, Defendant was and continues to be an "employer" of Plaintiffs and collective members within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 58. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiffs and collective members were/are "employees" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 59. At all times relevant herein, Defendant, as well as Plaintiffs and collective members, have been engaged in "commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203.
- 60. Section 201 of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §206, requires employers to minimally compensate employees such as Plaintiffs and collective members at the federal minimum wage rate for all hours worked.
- 61. Defendant has violated and continues to violate the FLSA by willfully failing to compensate Plaintiffs and collective members at least the federal minimum wage.
- 62. As a result of Defendant's company-wide policy and practice of not paying Plaintiffs and collective members at least the federally mandated minimum wage for all hours worked, Plaintiffs and collective members have been harmed.

### **JURY DEMAND**

63. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury in the above captioned matter.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and collective members, respectfully seeks the following relief:

- a. Judgment against Defendant;
- b. All unpaid minimum wages;
- c. Liquidated damages;
- d. Litigation costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees; and
- e. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: June , 2017

Dudley Butler

Butler Farm and Ranch Law Group PLLC

✓99A Breakwater Drive

Benton, MS 39039

Tel: (662) 673-0091

jdb@farmandranchlaw.com

Gary E. Mason\*

Danielle L. Perry\*

WHITFIELD BRYSON & MASON, LLP

5101 Wisconsin Ave NW, Ste. 305

Washington, D.C. 20016

Tel: (202) 429-2290

gmason@wbmllp.com

dperry@wbmllp.com

Additional counsel on next page

Scott Kamber\*
Jennifer Duffy\*
Michael Aschenbrener\*
KAMBERLAW LLP
425 15<sup>th</sup> Street, Unit 3459
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266-7337
Tel: (310) 714-9779
skamber@kamberlaw.com
jduffy@kamberlaw.com

\*pro hac vice admission anticipated

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

# **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

3:17cv 49DCWR-LRA

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. ISEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

parpara and an annual state an annual state an annual state an annual state and an annual state and an ann	ODEROT DECOR. (DEED INDICE)	22010 07771227 277012 0	1 Hills I Olday					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDA	ANTS				
HARRY SWALES, COR themselves and all other	EY LILLY and KYLE S	HETTARE OR beha	Kot KLLM TRAN	SPORT SERV	ICES, LLC and	DOES 1-25		
themselves and all other	s similarly situated,	SOUTHERN DIST	LED					
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff	lew Castle	County of Res	sidence of First Lis	sted Defendant			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C.	1000	1 1		PLAINTIFF CASES C	ONLY)		
		JUN 2	31 2017 NOTE: INLA	AND CONDEMNAT	TION CASES, USE T.	HE LOCATION OF	,	
			1 1 1		NVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Numbe	ARTHUR	JOHNSTON Attempys (If I	Known)				
J. Dudley Butler, Butler F	arm and Ranch Law (	BY.	DEPUTY					
499A Breakwater Drive,								
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Ouly)	III. CITIZENSHIP		<b>AL PARTIES</b>	(Place an "X" in On	ie Box for	Plaintif)
☐ 1 U.S. Government			(For Diversity Cases	: Only) PTF DEF		and One Box for L		d Def
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	D1 24 1	Incorporated or Pri			D 4
	,	3,			of Business In T			
D 2 U.S. Government	© 4 Diversity		Citizen of Another State	<b>i≯</b> 2	Incorporated and P	rincinal Place	<b>5</b> 5	D 5
Defendant		ip of Parties in Item III)	Stringer Stringer Stringer		of Business In A			
			Citizen or Subject of a	D 3 D 3	Foreign Nation		<b>⊡</b> 6 ∣	D 6
***************************************			Foreign Country	ر بے در	. oreign manon			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)  Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.								
CONTRACT	**************************************	RTS	FORFEITURE/PENA	No.	NKRUPTCY	OTHER ST.	***************************************	<u> </u>
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY			cal 28 USC 158	D 375 False Claim		
(1) 130 Miller Act	310 Airplane     315 Airplane Product	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	of Property 21 USC		JSC 157	☐ 376 Qui Tam (3 3729(a))	1 080	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	367 Health Care/	2 0,000			400 State Reapp	ortionme	nt
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	□ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical	:		RTY RIGHTS	☐ 410 Antitrust		
& Enforcement of Judgment  151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injuty Product Liability		☐ 820 Cop ☐ 830 Pate		<ul> <li>430 Banks and I</li> <li>450 Commerce</li> </ul>	Banking	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal			nt - Abbreviated	☐ 460 Deportation	ı	
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product			Drug Application	☐ 470 Racketeer Is		
(Excludes Veterans)  153 Recovery of Overpayment	Cl 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	TY LABOR	D 840 Trad	lemark SECURITY	Corrupt Org  O 480 Consumer C		1\$
of Voteran's Benefits	© 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud	₹ 710 Fair Labor Standard	ds   0 861 HIA		490 Cable/Sat T		
160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending	Act	☐ 862 Blac	k Lung (923)	☐ 850 Securities/C	Commodit	ics/
<ul> <li>□ 190 Other Contract</li> <li>□ 195 Contract Product Liability</li> </ul>	Product Liability  [7] 360 Other Personal	2 380 Other Personal Property Damage	☐ 720 Labor/Management Relations	I □ 863 DIW	/C/DIWW (405(g))	Exchange  5 890 Other Statut	toest å etic	nte.
□ 196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage	740 Railway Labor Act			891 Agricultural		,1119
	362 Personal Injury	Product Liability	☐ 751 Family and Medical			☐ 893 Environment		
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	Leave Act  S. D 790 Other Labor Litigat	tion FEDER	AL TAX SUITS	395 Freedom of Act	miorman	161)
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	791 Employee Retireme		s (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 896 Arbitration		
220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detaince	Income Security Ac		efendant)	I 899 Administrati		
O 230 Rept Lease & Ejectment O 240 Toris to Land	O 442 Employment O 443 Housing/	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence			—Third Party JSC 7609	Act/Review Agency Dec		el of
D 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	530 General		1 20%	JUC 1007	© 950 Constitution		
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amor, w/Disabilities -	☐ 535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION			State Statute	:8	
	Employment  3 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other:  540 Mandamus & Othe	<ul> <li>462 Naturalization Appl</li> <li>465 Other Immigration</li> </ul>	lication				
	Other	550 Civil Rights	Actions					
	☐ 448 Education	555 Prison Condition		1				
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of		1				
		Confinement						
V. ORIGIN (Piace an "X" in	One Box Only)	teritori medieno de encredadori.			CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF		injenije izvenije in menovi i r	
		Remanded from	4 Reinstated or D 5 T	Fransferred from	☐ 6 Multidistri	et 🗗 8 Mu	altidistric	ct
		Appellate Court	Reopened Â	Another District	Litigation -	- Lît	igation -	
	Charlette Care			specify)	Transfer	Dir	ect File	<del></del>
	20 H C C Contina	ute under which you are	filing (Do not cite jurisdiction	nat statutes untess at	versity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N Brief description of ca		**************************************	and the feet of the control of the second co		140001-1900-1411000000-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-		
WATER THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	Violations of the F	LSA	//// // // // // // // // // // // // /					
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	C	HECK YES only i	f demanded in cor	mplaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 23			J	URY DEMAND:	💢 Yes 🗅	J No	Manual 1
VIII. RELATED CASE	<b>L(S)</b>		mana kasaman manakan ministra da					
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		ከብረነዩቹ	T NUMBER			
	The second secon	SIGNATURE OF ATT	OBLIDY OF BECORD	DOCKE	AMBIN			···
DATE DAIONIONA								
06/20/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	2700-base	/s/ J. Dudley Bu			-X429			
RECEIPT # AM	IOUNT	APPLYING IFP	ימטי	GE	MAG, JUDO	3E		

34643045493