



TEXAS

Commercial Vehicle Safety Plan

**Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration's
Motor Carrier Safety Assistance Program**

Fiscal Years 2021 - 2023

Date of Approval: June 16, 2021

FINAL CVSP



**U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration**

Part 1 - MCSAP Overview

Part 1 Section 1 - Introduction

The Motor Carrier Safety Assistance Program (MCSAP) is a Federal grant program that provides financial assistance to States to help reduce the number and severity of accidents and hazardous materials incidents involving commercial motor vehicles (CMV). The goal of the MCSAP is to reduce CMV-involved accidents, fatalities, and injuries through consistent, uniform, and effective CMV safety programs.

A State lead MCSAP agency, as designated by its Governor, is eligible to apply for grant funding by submitting a commercial vehicle safety plan (CVSP), in accordance with the provisions of [49 CFR 350.209, 350.211 and 350.213](#). The lead agency must submit the State's CVSP to the FMCSA Division Administrator on or before the due date each year. For a State to receive funding, the CVSP needs to be complete and include all required documents. Currently, the State must submit a performance-based plan or annual update each year to receive MCSAP funds.

The online CVSP tool (eCVSP) outlines the State's CMV safety objectives, strategies, activities and performance measures and is organized into the following five parts:

- Part 1: MCSAP Overview (FY 2021 - 2023)
- Part 2: Crash Reduction and National Program Elements (FY 2021 - 2023)
- Part 3: National Emphasis Areas and State Specific Objectives (FY 2021 - 2023)
- Part 4: Financial Information (FY 2021)
- Part 5: Certifications and Documents (FY 2021)

You will find that each of the five eCVSP parts listed above contains different subsections. Each subsection category will provide you with detailed explanation and instruction on what to do for completing the necessary tables and narratives.

The MCSAP program includes the eCVSP tool to assist States in developing and monitoring their grant applications. The eCVSP provides ease of use and promotes a uniform, consistent process for all States to complete and submit their plans. States and territories will use the eCVSP to complete the CVSP and to submit a 3-year plan or an Annual Update to a 3-year plan. As used within the eCVSP, the term 'State' means all the States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, American Samoa, Guam, and the Virgin Islands.

REMINDERS FOR FY 2021:

Multi-Year plans—All States will be utilizing the multi-year CVSP format. This means that objectives, projected goals, and activities in the plan will cover a full three-year period. The financial information and certifications will be updated each fiscal year.

Annual Updates for Multi-Year plans—States in Year 2 or Year 3 of a multi-year plan will be providing an Annual Update only. States will review the project plan submitted the previous year and indicate any updates for the upcoming fiscal year by answering the "Yes/No" question provided in each Section of Parts 1-3.

- If Yes is indicated selected, the information provided for Year 1 will be editable and State users can make any necessary changes to their project plan. (Note: Trend Analysis information that supports your current activities is not editable.) Answer carefully as there is only one opportunity to select "Yes" before the question is locked.
- If "No" is selected, then no information in this section will be editable and the user should move forward to the next section.

All multi-year and annual update plans have been pre-populated with data and information from their FY 2020 plans. States must carefully review and update this information to reflect FY 2021 activities prior to submission to FMCSA. The financial information and certifications will be updated each fiscal year.

- Any information that is added should detail major programmatic changes. Do not include minor modifications that reflect normal business operations (e.g., personnel changes).
- Add any updates to the narrative areas and indicate changes by preceding it with a heading (e.g., FY 2021 update). Include descriptions of the changes to your program, including how data tables were modified.

Personally Identifiable Information - PII is information which, on its own or matched with other data, would permit identification of an individual. Examples of PII include: name, home address, social security number, driver's license number or State-issued identification number, date and/or place of birth, mother's maiden name, financial, medical, or educational records, non-work telephone numbers, criminal or employment history, etc. PII, if disclosed to or altered by unauthorized

individuals, could adversely affect the Agency's mission, personnel, or assets or expose an individual whose information is released to harm, such as identity theft.

States are reminded **not** to include any PII in their CVSP. The final CVSP approved by FMCSA is required to be posted to a public FMCSA website.

Part 1 Section 2 - Mission/Goal Statement**Instructions:**

Briefly describe the mission or goal of the lead State commercial motor vehicle safety agency responsible for administering this Commercial Vehicle Safety Plan (CVSP) throughout the State.

NOTE: Please do not include information on any other FMCSA grant activities or expenses in the CVSP.

The basic mission and responsibility of the Texas Department of Public Safety's Commercial Vehicle Enforcement Service (CVE) is weighing and checking commercial vehicle traffic operating over the public highways of this state so that compliance with the statutory provisions of law regulating weight, motor carrier safety, registration, transportation of persons, hazardous material and other property can be obtained.

The goals of the Texas Department of Public Safety are to reduce commercial vehicle crashes through the enforcement of federal and state motor carrier safety regulations and securing compliance with traffic laws and regulations applicable to the operation of all vehicles.

In addition, the Texas Department of Public Safety will continue to seek the voluntary compliance of carriers and drivers with motor carrier safety and hazardous material regulations by taking the appropriate enforcement action for law violations committed by operators of commercial and passenger vehicles, providing carriers with official notice of equipment defects and/or negligence, compiling statistical information in order to determine problem areas related to equipment defects and driver negligence, and providing educational material to motor carriers and drivers.

The Texas Department of Public Safety will continue to train county and municipal law enforcement agencies to assist in its efforts to aggressively accomplish the stated goals.

Part 1 Section 3 - MCSAP Structure Explanation**Instructions:**

Briefly describe the State's commercial motor vehicle (CMV) enforcement program funded by the MCSAP grant.

NOTE: *Please do not include activities or expenses associated with any other FMCSA grant program.*

The State of Texas has a comprehensive commercial vehicle safety program that includes driver/vehicle inspections, traffic enforcement, public education, data collection, compliance reviews, new entrant safety audits, border enforcement and commercial vehicle enforcement personnel training. The MCSAP grant supports two hundred and sixty nine (269) fully salaried positions, eighty six of which are full time commissioned personnel. The duties of these full time employee positions (FTEs) are specified in this grant proposal's personnel budget.

The main focus of the Department of Public Safety's (DPS) enforcement program continues to be roadside inspections. By incorporating the appropriate blend of the various levels of inspection in the program, the Department has been able to make a significant improvement in the overall safety condition of commercial motor vehicles (CMVs) operating in the state. The Department conducts all inspections in accordance with the Commercial Vehicle Safety Alliance (CVSA) standards. This policy helps to ensure the uniformity and quality of all inspections conducted. The Department conducts safety inspections in safe locations, always cognizant of safety for the officer, CMV drivers, and the motoring public. Traffic enforcement violations, along with all federal motor carrier safety regulation (FMCSR) violations, are noted on the MCSAP inspection report. Individual hours are charged to the MCSAP grant for time spent conducting commercial vehicle inspections, commercial vehicle traffic enforcement and out of service verification. It is the Department's policy that all MCSAP certified officers will conduct at minimum a level 3 inspection on every eligible commercial motor vehicle stopped roadside.

The Department works diligently to detect and apprehend all CMV and non-CMV drivers who are under the influence of alcohol and/or illegal drugs. All DPS officers are certified in Standardized Field Sobriety Testing (SFST) and are certified to operate the Intoxilyzer 9000 instrument.

The Department of Public Safety has developed an active passenger vehicle inspection program with a goal of enhancing passenger carrier safety in Texas. The Department conducts passenger carrier inspections in accordance with MCSAP Comprehensive Policy Version 3.1. Both Level I and V inspections are conducted during terminal and destination inspections. Because of the necessity to limit the time that a passenger vehicle is delayed during an inspection, the passenger vehicle becomes a "priority vehicle" and every effort is made to move the passenger vehicle forward so that it can be inspected as quickly as possible. The safety and comfort of the passengers traveling on a passenger vehicle that is to be placed out-of-service also becomes a priority when selecting an out-of-service location.

The Department provides significant training to commissioned and non-commissioned personnel related to required National Training Center (NTC) courses as well as training courses in relevant state regulations. The Department continues to anticipate additional training requirements as a result of a significant number of retirements and promotions in recent years. In addition, continued interest by qualified municipalities and counties to enforce the federal motor carrier safety regulations will also increase our training responsibilities. The Department will maintain an aggressive training schedule to compensate for these issues. The Department maintains a full time training staff of fourteen (14) commissioned and noncommissioned training personnel. Ten (10) of these are salaried by means of MCSAP funding. Training hours and "train the trainer" hours are budgeted under MCSAP funding for the Department's commissioned and non-commissioned inspectors.

The Department consistently maintains excellent data accuracy and timeliness. The timeliness and accuracy of crash record, inspection, and traffic enforcement data from the State of Texas to MCMIS continues to progress positively. The Department's Motor Carrier Bureau, in partnership with FMCSA Technical Support, continues to work to ensure we maintain the highest possible data quality standards. In addition, the Department maintains procedures for data entry personnel to research carrier information to ensure crashes are correctly designated. Monthly reviews of our State Safety Data Quality (SSDQ) statistics, as well as strict procedural requirements, have helped us maintain "good" and "No Flag" crash and inspection data quality ratings.

The Department continues to provide education and outreach programs to the motoring public. Public education and

awareness activities are seen as essential to augmenting the level of compliance with various safety regulations. This program supplements the Department's increased emphasis placed on the compliance review program as well as the opening of the US-Mexico border to Mexican carriers who are less familiar with the FMCSRs. The Department's Public Education and Awareness contacts cover various topics through informal brochures entitled, "A Texas Motor Carrier's Guide to Highway Safety", "Driver and Vehicle Requirements for Commercial Transportation", "Hazardous Material Transportation", and "A Texas Guide to Farm Vehicle Compliance." These documents are periodically reviewed and updated with the latest changes and additions to state and federal laws and requirements.

The Compliance Review (CR) Program is a valuable part of our overall strategy. Originally implemented in FY 1993, the current program consists of compliance reviews of motor carrier operations, filing of enforcement cases as warranted, the assessment of administrative penalties for violations, and the administrative review of the enforcement cases within the Department's administrative hearing process. The Department's Compliance Review program, including the appeal process and fine structure, mirrors the FMCSA CR program, utilizing the Compliance Analysis and Performance Review Information (CAPRI) and Uniform Fine Assessment (UFA) programs. As of the FY 2018 consolidation of the MCSAP and New Entrant programs, the Department has had and continues to have seventy eight non-commissioned investigators certified to conduct compliance reviews. Of these seventy eight investigators, only twenty three will be completely salaried by MCSAP funding. Individual hours are charged for time spent conducting compliance reviews and audits for state funded inspectors. The Department has developed a comprehensive Commercial Vehicle Enforcement Compliance Review Program Policy Manual (MCS-15) that provides detailed guidance for the Department's investigators. This Manual provides uniformity with the Electronic Field Operations Training Manual (eFOTM) distributed by the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration and includes additional guidance on state laws.

The New Entrant Safety Assurance Program is designed to improve the safety performance of new entrant motor carriers by providing educational and technical assistance to new motor carriers as they begin their new business in the motor carrier industry. The safety audit will be used to both educate the new carrier on compliance with the federal motor carrier safety regulations and hazardous material regulations, and to determine areas where the carrier might be deficient in terms of compliance. To accomplish this objective, each new entrant motor carrier will receive a safety audits. The majority of Texas State funded compliance review investigators have been cross trained to conduct new entrant safety audits as well. Individual hours may be billed for time spent conducting new entrant audits for state funded inspectors.

In FFY 2002, the Texas DPS and FMCSA first entered into a cooperative agreement to provide for infrastructure to support the commercial vehicle safety inspection personnel at the Texas-Mexico border. Since the time of that initial agreement DPS has continued to make periodic manpower adjustments to ensure that our border inspection personnel are stationed where the maximum number of vehicles can be inspected and appropriate supervisory span of control is maintained. The Texas DPS believes that our border staffing supports our general commercial vehicle enforcement activities conducted under the Texas Commercial Vehicle Safety Plan (CVSP) and also encompasses the goals and objectives of the FMCSA's Texas Division's Safety Plan. The Department's primary mission at the Texas-Mexico border is:

- To ensure public safety and security;
- To prevent the premature and unnecessary deterioration of the state highway infrastructure;
- To ensure compliance with all state and federal regulations governing commercial vehicles and their drivers;
- To create an environment that promotes vital and safe commerce in Texas and throughout the United States;
- Encourage the Mexican trucking industry to take a greater participatory role in resolving transportation safety issues.

All Department CVE enforcement personnel are trained to enforce Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) interstate and intrastate operating authority and insurance requirements. Operating authority, registration, and insurance enforcement activities are performed during traffic enforcement stops and roadside inspections. Roadside verifications of credentialing documents are obtained through the DPS Communications Service which has access to the FMCSA SAFER System and the Texas Department of Transportation's Motor Carrier Database. In addition, the Department has implemented in-car wireless communications which provide real-time verification of a motor carrier's federal carrier Out of Service (OOS) status, operating authority, commercial driver license status, registration, and insurance during each roadside inspection.

Finally, the Department places a strong emphasis on the interception of illegal contraband being transported by commercial motor vehicles. The Texas Highway Patrol (THP) continues to lead the nation in seizing controlled substances and other assets derived from illegal drug trafficking in commercial motor vehicles. A successful criminal interdiction program begins with the initial traffic stop. All Department officers are trained to look beyond the initial traffic stop for indicators of criminal behaviors and activities.

The Department's commercial vehicle enforcement programs continue to maintain financial strength. The Department believes current MCSAP funding along with matching state funding is sufficient to implement the national and state specific program activities as long as funding is provided in a timely manner.

Part 1 Section 4 - MCSAP Structure**Instructions:**

Complete the following tables for the MCSAP lead agency, each subrecipient and non-funded agency conducting eligible CMV safety activities.

The tables below show the total number of personnel participating in MCSAP activities, including full time and part time personnel. This is the total number of non-duplicated individuals involved in all MCSAP activities within the CVSP. (The agency and subrecipient names entered in these tables will be used in the National Program Elements—Roadside Inspections area.)

The national program elements sub-categories represent the number of personnel involved in that specific area of enforcement. FMCSA recognizes that some staff may be involved in more than one area of activity.

Lead Agency Information	
Agency Name:	TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY
Enter total number of personnel participating in MCSAP activities	768
National Program Elements	Enter # personnel below
Driver and Vehicle Inspections	732
Traffic Enforcement Activities	511
Investigations *	78
Public Education and Awareness	768
Data Collection and Reporting	5
* Formerly Compliance Reviews and Includes New Entrant Safety Audits	

Subrecipient Information	
Agency Name:	
Enter total number of personnel participating in MCSAP activities	0
National Program Elements	Enter # personnel below
Driver and Vehicle Inspections	0
Traffic Enforcement Activities	0
Investigations *	0
Public Education and Awareness	0
Data Collection and Reporting	0
* Formerly Compliance Reviews and Includes New Entrant Safety Audits	

Non-funded Agency Information	
Total number of agencies:	71
Total # of MCSAP Participating Personnel:	249

Part 2 - Crash Reduction and National Program Elements

Part 2 Section 1 - Overview

Part 2 allows the State to provide past performance trend analysis and specific goals for FY 2021 - 2023 in the areas of crash reduction, roadside inspections, traffic enforcement, audits and investigations, safety technology and data quality, and public education and outreach.

In past years, the program effectiveness summary trend analysis and performance goals were separate areas in the CVSP. Beginning in FY 2017, these areas have been merged and categorized by the National Program Elements as described in [49 CFR 350.109](#). This change is intended to streamline and incorporate this information into one single area of the CVSP based upon activity type.

Note: *For CVSP planning purposes, the State can access detailed counts of its core MCSAP performance measures. Such measures include roadside inspections, traffic enforcement activity, investigation/review activity, and data quality by quarter for the current and past two fiscal years using the State Quarterly Report and CVSP Data Dashboard, and/or the CVSP Toolkit on the A&I Online website. The Data Dashboard is also a resource designed to assist the State with preparing their MCSAP-related quarterly reports and is located at: <http://ai.fmcsa.dot.gov/StatePrograms/Home.aspx>. A user id and password are required to access this system.*

In addition, States can utilize other data sources available on the A&I Online website as well as internal State data sources. It is important to reference the data source used in developing problem statements, baselines and performance goals/objectives.

Part 2 Section 2 - CMV Crash Reduction

The primary mission of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) is to reduce crashes, injuries and fatalities involving large trucks and buses. MCSAP partners also share the goal of reducing commercial motor vehicle (CMV) related crashes.

Trend Analysis for 2015 - 2019

Instructions for all tables in this section:

Complete the tables below to document the State's past performance trend analysis over the past five measurement periods. All columns in the table must be completed.

- Insert the beginning and ending dates of the five most recent State measurement periods used in the Measurement Period column. The measurement period can be calendar year, Federal fiscal year, State fiscal year, or any consistent 12-month period for available data.
- In the Fatalities column, enter the total number of fatalities resulting from crashes involving CMVs in the State during each measurement period.
- The Goal and Outcome columns allow the State to show its CVSP goal and the actual outcome for each measurement period. The goal and outcome must be expressed in the same format and measurement type (e.g., number, percentage, etc.).
 - In the Goal column, enter the goal from the corresponding CVSP for the measurement period.
 - In the Outcome column, enter the actual outcome for the measurement period based upon the goal that was set.
- Include the data source and capture date in the narrative box provided below the tables.
- If challenges were experienced while working toward the goals, provide a brief narrative including details of how the State adjusted the program and if the modifications were successful.

ALL CMV CRASHES

Select the State's method of measuring the crash reduction goal as expressed in the corresponding CVSP by using the drop-down box options: (e.g. large truck fatal crashes per 100M VMT, actual number of fatal crashes, actual number of fatalities, or other). Other can include injury only or property damage crashes.

Goal measurement as defined by your State: Actual # Fatal Crashes

If you select 'Other' as the goal measurement, explain the measurement used in the text box provided:

Measurement Period (Include 5 Periods)		Fatalities	Goal	Outcome
Begin Date	End Date			
10/01/2018	09/30/2019	743	563	655
10/01/2017	09/30/2018	670	589	570
10/01/2016	09/30/2017	703	609	595
10/01/2015	09/30/2016	726	610	615
10/01/2014	09/30/2015	726	553	616

MOTORCOACH/PASSENGER CARRIER CRASHES

Select the State's method of measuring the crash reduction goal as expressed in the corresponding CVSP by using the drop-down box options: (e.g. large truck fatal crashes per 100M VMT, actual number of fatal crashes, actual number of fatalities, other, or N/A).

Goal measurement as defined by your State: Actual # Fatal Crashes

If you select 'Other' or 'N/A' as the goal measurement, explain the measurement used in the text box provided:

Measurement Period (Include 5 Periods)		Fatalities	Goal	Outcome
Begin Date	End Date			
10/01/2018	09/30/2019	22	14	21
10/01/2017	09/30/2018	24	36	16
10/01/2016	09/30/2017	60	27	40
10/01/2015	09/30/2016	45	25	30
10/01/2014	09/30/2015	41	19	28

Hazardous Materials (HM) CRASH INVOLVING HM RELEASE/SPILL

Hazardous material is anything that is listed in the hazardous materials table or that meets the definition of any of the hazard classes as specified by Federal law. The Secretary of Transportation has determined that hazardous materials are those materials capable of posing an unreasonable risk to health, safety, and property when transported in commerce. The term hazardous material includes hazardous substances, hazardous wastes, marine pollutants, elevated temperature materials, and all other materials listed in the hazardous materials table.

For the purposes of the table below, HM crashes involve a release/spill of HM that is part of the manifested load. (This does not include fuel spilled from ruptured CMV fuel tanks as a result of the crash).

Select the State's method of measuring the crash reduction goal as expressed in the corresponding CVSP by using the drop-down box options: (e.g., large truck fatal crashes per 100M VMT, actual number of fatal crashes, actual number of fatalities, other, or N/A).

Goal measurement as defined by your State: Actual # Fatal Crashes

If you select 'Other' or 'N/A' as the goal measurement, explain the measurement used in the text box provided:

Measurement Period (Include 5 Periods)		Fatalities	Goal	Outcome
Begin Date	End Date			
10/01/2018	09/30/2019	13	5	12
10/01/2017	09/30/2018	6	6	6
10/01/2016	09/30/2017	7	10	7
10/01/2015	09/30/2016	13	8	11
10/01/2014	09/30/2015	14	8	9

Enter the data sources and capture dates of the data listed in each of the tables above.

Texas DPS Crash Database snapshot 06/22/20.

Narrative: Describe any difficulties achieving the goal, problems encountered, obstacles overcome, lessons learned, etc.

The overall crash reduction goal for the FY 2018-2020 period was defined as a one percent reduction in the number of fatality crashes based on each previous year. In FY 2018 the fatality crash reduction goal was to be no greater than 589 and the final outcome was 570 crashes, a gratifying success. Unfortunately, the following year saw a dramatic increase 15% rise in fatality crashes related to CMVs. This increase is attributed to a robust economy and related population and infrastructure growth. The FY 2020 statistics are not yet conclusive.

The passenger vehicle crash reduction goal for the FY 2018-2020 period was defined as a five percent reduction in the overall number of fatality crashes per year based on each previous year. In FY 2018 the goal was to be no greater than 36 crashes and the final outcome was only 16 crashes, this being 55% percent below what was anticipated. The state and others are still working to determine the cause for this dramatic reduction and have not ruled out the possibility of some issue with the data despite the fact none can be found. Subsequent year crash statistics increase marginally but remain significantly under the FY 2017 fatality crash outcome.

While the state continues to track overall number of hazardous material (HM) spill related CMV fatality crashes, it is observed that crashes have increased in pace with the number of non-HM fatality counts. As such, the state does not have a specific hazardous materials safety problem that warrants a separate program or individual strategies and so does not set a hazardous material incident reduction goal. For the purpose of this Crash Reduction Section, the motor coach goal of a 5% reduction has been displayed for tracking purposes only.

At FMCSA's request, the state also evaluated construction work zone related crash and fatality crash statistics and finds that only one such incident has occurred in the last three years. As such, Texas will not be including a state specific objective to address this national problem.

The numbers reported for each period represented the overall number of fatality crashes and did not take in to account vehicle miles travelled (VMT). While Texas VMT may be a more precise measurement, the effect on the overall statistics at these levels becomes negligible due to the enormous number of miles already established in the state.

Texas' growth related to a more robust than average economy, ever expanding trade with Mexico, high speed limits and the strong energy sector are believed to contribute to an increase in crashes that enforcement efforts struggle to keep pace with.

Narrative Overview for FY 2021 - 2023**Instructions:**

The State must include a reasonable crash reduction goal for their State that supports FMCSA's mission to reduce the national number of crashes, injuries and fatalities involving commercial motor vehicles. The State has flexibility in setting its goal and it can be based on raw numbers (e.g., total number of fatalities or CMV crashes), based on a rate (e.g., fatalities per 100 million VMT), etc.

Problem Statement Narrative: Describe the identified problem, include baseline data and identify the measurement method.

The State of Texas leads the nation in fatal crashes involving commercial motor vehicles.

Texas has one of the fastest growing populations in the country. Texas' growth related to a more robust than average economy, rapidly increasing urban population, ever expanding trade with Mexico, high speed limits and the strong energy sector are believed to have contributed to an increase in crashes that enforcement efforts are strained to keep pace with.

The previous overall CMV related crash reduction goal, to reduce commercial motor vehicle fatality crashes by one percent each fiscal year based on the previous year, will continue in the 2021 – 2023 period. Unfortunately, projections for FY 2019 suggest the state will not meet its goal.

Similarly, the previous overall CMV related motor coach crash reduction goal, to reduce commercial motor vehicle fatality crashes by five percent each fiscal year based on the previous year, will continue in the 2021 – 2023 period. The state

continues to examine the factors behind the very substantial reduction in bus related fatality crashes in FY 2018 and is hopeful it can take future advantage of this outcome.

While the state continues to track overall number of hazardous material (HM) related CMV fatality crashes, it is observed that crashes have increased in pace with the number of non-HM fatality crashes. As such, the state does not have a specific hazardous materials safety problem that warrants a separate program or individual strategies and so does not set a hazardous material incident reduction goal.

Enter the data source and capture date:

Texas DPS Crash Database snapshot 06/22/20.

Projected Goal for FY 2021 - 2023:

In the table below, state the crash reduction goal for each of the three fiscal years. The method of measurement should be consistent from year to year. For example, if the overall crash reduction goal for the three year period is 12 percent, then each annual goal could be 4 percent.

Fiscal Year	Annual Crash Reduction Goals
2021	642
2022	636
2023	630

To reduce commercial motor vehicle fatality crashes by one percent each fiscal year during the 3 year period from 2021 – 2023. The goal each year of the FY 2021-2023 period will be based on the previous year. If we manage to achieve the intended goal of a one percent reduction in the number of fatality crashes then FY 2020 should see no more than 648 crashes based on the 655 that occurred in FY 2019. That number should then decline by an additional one percent each successive year (FY 2021 = 642, FY 2022 = 636, FY 2023 = 630).

Program Activities for FY 2021 - 2023: States must indicate the activities, and the amount of effort (staff hours, inspections, traffic enforcement stops, etc.) that will be resourced directly for the program activities purpose.

The Department will assign the 404 CVE troopers and 107 NAS (North American Standardized) certified HP troopers to conduct routine inspection/enforcement activities both on the roadside and at stationary inspection facilities. The state will utilize 144 civilian certified inspectors at facilities located on the border and the interior of Texas.

Inspection and traffic enforcement activities will be conducted every day of the week and during all hours of the day but specific manpower deployments will be assigned by supervisors based on commercial motor vehicle travel patterns and the needs of specific areas. Task force operations will be utilized to address specific problem areas and high crash corridors.

Texas' 78 investigators will complete focused and comprehensive compliance reviews and some will conduct interstate new entrant audits.

All of the Department's commissioned CVE troopers as well as the non-commissioned investigators and inspectors will perform public contacts on request and by assignment as well as distribute educational materials as necessary.

The state will utilize force-multiplier technologies such as license plate readers, thermal imaging and tire anomaly systems to identify problem vehicles.

The Department will employ 2 full time programmers 2 full time database administrators to oversee the collection and submission of all crash and inspection data.

Regular training and the improvement of our training programs will remain a constant goal of the Department.

Performance Measurements and Monitoring: The State will monitor the effectiveness of its CMV Crash Reduction Goal quarterly and annually by evaluating the performance measures and reporting results in the required Standard Form - Performance Progress Reports (SF-PPRs).

Describe how the State will conduct ongoing monitoring of progress in addition to quarterly reporting.

Performance Measurement 1: The Department will measure program/activity by reviewing commercial vehicle fatality crashes, fatalities, injury crashes, injuries, and total crashes.

Performance Measurement 2: The Department will measure and review all levels of inspection activity. This will include inspections with traffic violation and without.

Performance Measurement 3: The Department will measure the number of serious traffic violations detected issued to CMV and non-CMV during routine patrol and while conducting task force operations in high crash corridor locations with a focus on speeding, safety belt, and radar detector citations and warnings issued.

Performance Measurement 4: The Department will measure and review the numbers of carrier cargo, passenger, and HM interventions conducted for off-site reviews as well as focused and comprehensive on-site reviews.

Performance Measurement 5: The Department will measure the number of public contacts as well as the issuance of educational materials.

Performance Measurement 6: The Department will continually monitor all crash and inspection data for timeliness and accuracy.

Monitoring & Evaluation

The Department will review and analyze the results of agency statistical data in all categories on a quarterly basis to identify progress and future trends. Task force operation activity will be reviewed after each project is completed to identify driver/vehicle out of service rates and other pertinent statistical data indicating the need for additional focus areas. The Department will evaluate statistical data as well as perform personnel observations to ensure compliance reviews are thorough, comprehensive, and performed in a timely manner. The Department will evaluate the number of seminars, contacts, training sessions, and hours dedicated to conducting public education and awareness activities. The Department will continue to closely monitor all SSDQ categories to maintain the "good" rating in all currently "good" categories and make every effort to improve any deficient category to a "good" status.

Quarterly program activity reports will be submitted to FMCSA detailing statistics and progress.

Part 2 Section 3 - Roadside Inspections

In this section, provide a trend analysis, an overview of the State's roadside inspection program, and projected goals for FY 2021 - 2023.

Note: *In completing this section, do NOT include border enforcement inspections. Border Enforcement activities will be captured in a separate section if applicable.*

Trend Analysis for 2015 - 2019

Inspection Types	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Level 1: Full	30766	38132	38177	40408	38960
Level 2: Walk-Around	172132	190274	183314	161670	153666
Level 3: Driver-Only	15507	18429	17603	11359	11761
Level 4: Special Inspections	8	54	271	652	573
Level 5: Vehicle-Only	632	540	385	344	418
Level 6: Radioactive Materials	0	0	7	13	1
Total	219045	247429	239757	214446	205379

Narrative Overview for FY 2021 - 2023

Overview:

Describe components of the State's general Roadside and Fixed-Facility Inspection Program. Include the day-to-day routine for inspections and explain resource allocation decisions (i.e., number of FTE, where inspectors are working and why).

Enter a narrative of the State's overall inspection program, including a description of how the State will monitor its program to ensure effectiveness and consistency.

Roadside Inspections are important in minimizing the risks related to the transportation of property, passengers and hazardous materials. Inspections can be instrumental in identifying national problems such as motor carriers placed out of service, fatigued drivers, improper commercial driver license (CDL) status or vehicle violations, which are occurring broadly across the motor carrier industry.

The Department continues to emphasize the need for all levels of inspections as part of an overall enforcement strategy. While DPS is aware that the FMCSA encourages 33 percent level 3 driver inspections be conducted, the state points out that based on Texas' roadside inspections data, equipment violations vastly outnumber driver violations as a cause for an out of service condition by a factor of 6 to 1. Further, the time required to expand a level 3 inspection to a level 2 is not enough to infringe on an inspectors overall enforcement productivity.

The Department will ensure CVE troopers and non-commissioned inspectors are adequately trained and equipped to perform their duties in the most safe, effective, and efficient manner. Increasing the number of inspections and presence of CMV troopers on high crash corridor highways will serve as a clear deterrent to drivers committing serious traffic violations resulting in a reduction of overall CMV crashes. The Department will conduct inspections on high crash corridor highways, those with high crash rates. In addition, CVE personnel will perform inspections within the Texas DPS Regions contiguous to the United States-Mexico border.

At full staffing, the Department has a total of 404 commissioned CVE troopers, 144 civilian inspectors and 78 civilian investigators stationed statewide that are certified to conduct all levels of inspections. Of these, 64 troopers and 123 of the civilian inspectors will be fully MCSAP funded. In addition, the Department has trained 107 Highway Patrol troopers to conduct inspections. State and MCSAP funded commissioned troopers are periodically assigned to conduct inspections at fixed stations while noncommissioned inspectors are permanently assigned to these facilities.

The state has approximately 105 locations away from the border that serve as fixed locations to conduct inspections. Of these, 9 fixed sites are scheduled to be manned at least 40 hours a week.

In FY 2019, North American certified troopers and inspectors performed a total of 336,447 inspections of levels one through six away from the ports of entry. Of these inspections, 131,068 were conducted at United States-Mexico border port of entry facilities. Texas has 71 local agencies employing 249 inspectors that perform inspection activities not supported by MCSAP funding that performed 65,798 inspections in FY 2019.

The Department has deployed a roadside inspection report application that automatically runs a computer check on a driver once the information is entered into the software application. This capability helps ensure our personnel conduct CDL verification inquiries on all of the drivers they inspect. This automation has proved to be a valuable asset to the CVE service by identifying drivers and vehicles in violation of federal out of service orders. As a matter of policy the Department confirms operating authority during all inspections.

The Department of Public Safety has developed an active passenger vehicle inspection program with a goal of enhancing passenger carrier safety in Texas. The Department conducts passenger carrier inspections in accordance with MCSAP Comprehensive Policy Version 3.1. Both Level I and V inspections are conducted during terminal and destination inspections. Because of the necessity to limit the time that a passenger vehicle is delayed during an inspection, the passenger vehicle becomes a "priority vehicle" and every effort is made to move the passenger vehicle forward so that it can be inspected as quickly as possible. The safety and comfort of the passengers traveling on a passenger vehicle that is to be placed out-of-service also becomes a priority when selecting the out-of-service location.

During roadside inspections the Department will check, verify and enforce federal out of service (OOS) orders placed on interstate and intrastate motor carriers to ensure unsafe carriers are not allowed to continue operations. In addition, the Department has deployed license plate reader technology that will assist in the identification of carriers subject to federal OOS orders.

The Department has adopted federal texting regulations by rule and enforces these laws on drivers that can be proven to be in violation. Federal cell phone laws have also been adopted related to drivers involved in interstate commerce and parallel laws have been added to our administrative code to include intrastate drivers. In addition, inspectors and investigators will attend all levels of Compliance, Safety, Accountability (CSA) training to include Electronic Logging Device (ELD) Training as well.

High crash corridor highways are identified using data updated yearly by the Texas Department of Transportation. The Department will use statistical information to assess the number of inspections conducted on high crash corridor highways as well as the number of passenger vehicles inspected. The Department will measure the number of arrests and warnings issued along with driver and vehicle out of service percentages to identify problem areas within the state. By directing focus on behaviors of both CMV and non-CMV drivers, the Department is optimistic about reducing CMV incidents of fatal and serious injury crashes by placing additional efforts on increasing the number of Level I and III inspections. The majority of our inspection efforts will be concentrated on the aggressive enforcement of state and federal laws in the vicinity of high crash corridor highways.

Special Note: As a result of the COVID-19 virus, the state has experienced a reduced capacity to train and certify new inspectors during the FY 2019 period. As a result of this and of the uncertainty related to the virus in the FY 2021 period, expectations related to inspection goals have been reduced for both lead agency and non-MCSAP funded agencies during that year.

Projected Goals for FY 2021 - 2023

Instructions for Projected Goals:

Complete the following tables in this section indicating the number of inspections that the State anticipates conducting during Fiscal Years 2021 - 2023. For FY 2021, there are separate tabs for the Lead Agency, Subrecipient Agencies, and Non-Funded Agencies—enter inspection goals by agency type. Enter the requested information on the first three tabs (as applicable). The Summary table totals are calculated by the eCVSP system.

To modify the names of the Lead or Subrecipient agencies, or the number of Subrecipient or Non-Funded Agencies, visit [Part 1, MCSAP Structure](#).

Note: Per the [MCSAP Comprehensive Policy](#), States are strongly encouraged to conduct at least 25 percent Level 1 inspections and 33 percent Level 3 inspections of the total inspections conducted. If the State opts to do less than these minimums, provide an explanation in space provided on the Summary tab.

MCSAP Lead Agency

Lead Agency is: TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY

Enter the total number of certified personnel in the Lead agency: 683

Projected Goals for FY 2021 - Roadside Inspections					
Inspection Level	Non-Hazmat	Hazmat	Passenger	Total	Percentage by Level
Level 1: Full	125300	8500	1400	135200	40.87%
Level 2: Walk-Around	165500	13000	140	178640	54.00%
Level 3: Driver-Only	14600	400	150	15150	4.58%
Level 4: Special Inspections	550	5	0	555	0.17%
Level 5: Vehicle-Only	50	5	1200	1255	0.38%
Level 6: Radioactive Materials	0	10	0	10	0.00%
Sub-Total Lead Agency	306000	21920	2890	330810	

MCSAP subrecipient agency

Complete the following information for each MCSAP subrecipient agency. A separate table must be created for each subrecipient.

Subrecipient is:

Enter the total number of certified personnel in this funded agency: 0

Projected Goals for FY 2021 - Subrecipients					
Inspection Level	Non-Hazmat	Hazmat	Passenger	Total	Percentage by Level
Level 1: Full				0	%
Level 2: Walk-Around				0	%
Level 3: Driver-Only				0	%
Level 4: Special Inspections				0	%
Level 5: Vehicle-Only				0	%
Level 6: Radioactive Materials				0	%
Sub-Total Funded Agencies	0	0	0	0	

Non-Funded Agencies

Total number of agencies:	71
Enter the total number of non-funded certified officers:	249
Enter the total number of inspections projected for FY 2021:	61000

Summary

Projected Goals for FY 2021 - Roadside Inspections Summary

Projected Goals for FY 2021 Summary for All Agencies					
MCSAP Lead Agency: TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY					
# certified personnel: 683					
Subrecipient Agencies:					
# certified personnel: 0					
Number of Non-Funded Agencies: 71					
# certified personnel: 249					
# projected inspections: 61000					
Inspection Level	Non-Hazmat	Hazmat	Passenger	Total	Percentage by Level
Level 1: Full	125300	8500	1400	135200	40.87%
Level 2: Walk-Around	165500	13000	140	178640	54.00%
Level 3: Driver-Only	14600	400	150	15150	4.58%
Level 4: Special Inspections	550	5	0	555	0.17%
Level 5: Vehicle-Only	50	5	1200	1255	0.38%
Level 6: Radioactive Materials	0	10	0	10	0.00%
Total ALL Agencies	306000	21920	2890	330810	

Note: If the minimum numbers for Level 1 and Level 3 inspections are less than described in the [MCSAP Comprehensive Policy](#), briefly explain why the minimum(s) will not be met.

Note: States in Year 2 or Year 3 of a multi-year plan cannot edit the table shown below. It should be used as a reference.

Projected Goals for FY 2022 Roadside Inspections	Lead Agency	Subrecipients	Non-Funded	Total
Enter total number of projected inspections	350000	0	62000	412000
Enter total number of certified personnel	700	0	250	950
Projected Goals for FY 2023 Roadside Inspections				
Enter total number of projected inspections	360000	0	63000	423000
Enter total number of certified personnel	715	0	265	980

Part 2 Section 4 - Investigations

Describe the State's implementation of FMCSA's interventions model for interstate carriers. Also describe any remaining or transitioning compliance review program activities for intrastate motor carriers. Include the number of personnel assigned to this effort. Data provided in this section should reflect interstate and intrastate investigation activities for each year.

☐ **The State does not conduct investigations. If this box is checked, the tables and narrative are not required to be completed and won't be displayed.**

Trend Analysis for 2015 - 2019

Investigative Types - Interstate	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Compliance Investigations	0	0	0	0	0
Cargo Tank Facility Reviews	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Rated Reviews (Excludes CSA & SCR)	0	0	0	0	0
CSA Off-Site	0	0	0	0	0
CSA On-Site Focused/Focused CR	112	124	175	208	203
CSA On-Site Comprehensive	227	303	249	112	102
Total Investigations	339	427	424	320	305
Total Security Contact Reviews	0	0	0	0	0
Total Terminal Investigations	0	0	0	0	0

Investigative Types - Intrastate	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Compliance Investigations	0	0	0	0	0
Cargo Tank Facility Reviews	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Rated Reviews (Excludes CSA & SCR)	0	0	0	0	0
CSA Off-Site	0	0	0	0	0
CSA On-Site Focused/Focused CR	104	97	26	75	1
CSA On-Site Comprehensive	824	1119	1160	1314	1137
Total Investigations	928	1216	1186	1389	1138
Total Security Contact Reviews	0	0	0	0	0
Total Terminal Investigations	0	0	0	0	0

Narrative Overview for FY 2021 - 2023**Instructions:**

Describe the State's implementation of FMCSA's interventions model to the maximum extent possible for interstate carriers and any remaining or transitioning compliance review program activities for intrastate motor carriers. Include the number of personnel assigned to this effort.

Projected Goals for FY 2021 - 2023

Complete the table below indicating the number of investigations that the State anticipates conducting during FY 2021 - 2023.

Projected Goals for FY 2021 - 2023 - Investigations						
Investigation Type	FY 2021		FY 2022		FY 2023	
	Interstate	Intrastate	Interstate	Intrastate	Interstate	Intrastate
Compliance Investigations	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cargo Tank Facility Reviews	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Rated Reviews (Excludes CSA & SCR)	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSA Off-Site	225	550	0	0	0	0
CSA On-Site Focused/Focused CR	100	0	225	0	225	0
CSA On-Site Comprehensive	0	550	100	1275	100	1275
Total Investigations	325	1100	325	1275	325	1275
Total Security Contact Reviews	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Terminal Investigations	0	0	0	0	0	0

Add additional information as necessary to describe the carrier investigation estimates.

The Department will continue to assist the FMCSA in conducting interstate compliance reviews and will continue to conduct intrastate compliance reviews during FY 2021-2023 period. The Department will evaluate statistical data and provide supervisory oversight at multiple levels to ensure these reviews are thorough, comprehensive, and performed in a timely manner to achieve the projected FY 2021 goal of 1,425 compliance reviews. As a result of the COVID-19 virus, the state has experienced a reduced capacity to train and certify new investigators during the FY 2019 period at a time when we already are experiencing a greater than average number of vacancies. As a result of this and of the uncertainty related to the virus in the FY 2021 period, expectations related to compliance review statistics have been set lower than previous years for the FY 2021 period. While a 1,600 review estimate was used for the FY 2022 period, the state may revise this based on factors that include but are not limited to manpower, overall need for investigations and changes in the investigation process.

Program Activities: Describe components of the State's carrier investigation activities. Include the number of personnel participating in this activity.

A compliance review is used to assess the safety fitness of a motor carrier. Inspections, crashes, fatality crashes, hazardous material incidents, complaints and other data are used to help identify high risk carriers that should be reviewed. The state believes compliance reviews are very effective in identifying areas where a motor carrier is experiencing difficulties that negatively impact CMV safety. A well-balanced motor carrier safety program includes compliance reviews of motor carriers to inform them of the regulations and improve carrier operations through education and enforcement. The Department firmly believes an aggressive compliance review program will ultimately improve highway safety and reduce commercial vehicle crashes. The Department is committed to the improvement of the safety of commercial motor carriers and drivers of commercial motor vehicles and continues to fully participate in the CSA program.

All of Texas 78 investigators now complete focused and comprehensive reviews. The Department participates in all necessary training and assigns instructors and investigators to attend all work related FMCSA webinars and classroom training. The Department continues to closely coordinate training and implementation activities with the FMCSA Texas Division.

Performance Measurements and Monitoring: Describe all measures the State will use to monitor progress toward the annual goals. Further, describe how the State measures qualitative components of its carrier investigation program, as well as outputs.

Performance Measurement 1: The Department will complete the above stated number of compliance review investigations during each year of the FY 2021-2023 periods.

Monitoring & Evaluation:

All investigations will be reviewed by supervisors and administration for thoroughness and accuracy. The Department will evaluate statistical data as well as perform personnel observations to ensure compliance reviews are thorough, comprehensive, and performed in a timely manner to achieve the FY 2021-2023 goals.

Part 2 Section 5 - Traffic Enforcement

Traffic enforcement means documented enforcement activities of State or local officials. This includes the stopping of vehicles operating on highways, streets, or roads for moving violations of State or local motor vehicle or traffic laws (e.g., speeding, following too closely, reckless driving, and improper lane changes).

Trend Analysis for 2015 - 2019

Instructions:

Please refer to the [MCSAP Comprehensive Policy](#) for an explanation of FMCSA's traffic enforcement guidance. Complete the tables below to document the State's safety performance goals and outcomes over the past five measurement periods.

1. Insert the beginning and end dates of the measurement period being used, (e.g., calendar year, Federal fiscal year, State fiscal year or any consistent 12-month period for which data is available).
2. Insert the total number CMV traffic enforcement stops with an inspection, CMV traffic enforcement stops without an inspection, and non-CMV stops in the tables below.
3. Insert the total number of written warnings and citations issued during the measurement period. The number of warnings and citations are combined in the last column.

State/Territory Defined Measurement Period (Include 5 Periods)		Number of Documented CMV Traffic Enforcement Stops with an Inspection	Number of Citations and Warnings Issued
Begin Date	End Date		
09/30/2018	10/01/2019	8753	9875
09/30/2017	10/01/2018	9627	10715
09/30/2016	10/01/2017	9242	12227
09/30/2015	10/01/2016	8630	12285
09/30/2014	10/01/2015	9405	13377

☒ The State does not conduct CMV traffic enforcement stops without an inspection. If this box is checked, the "CMV Traffic Enforcement Stops without an Inspection" table is not required to be completed and won't be displayed.

☒ The State does not conduct documented non-CMV traffic enforcement stops and was not reimbursed by the MCSAP grant (or used for State Share or MOE). If this box is checked, the "Non-CMV Traffic Enforcement Stops" table is not required to be completed and won't be displayed.

Enter the source and capture date of the data listed in the tables above.

Texas DPS Crash Database snapshot 06/22/20.

Narrative Overview for FY 2021 - 2023

Instructions:

Describe the State's proposed level of effort (number of personnel) to implement a statewide CMV (in conjunction with and without an inspection) and/or non-CMV traffic enforcement program. If the State conducts CMV and/or non-CMV traffic enforcement activities only in support of the overall crash reduction goal, describe how the State allocates traffic enforcement resources. Please include number of officers, times of day and days of the week, specific corridors or general

activity zones, etc. Traffic enforcement activities should include officers who are not assigned to a dedicated commercial vehicle enforcement unit, but who conduct eligible commercial vehicle/driver enforcement activities. If the State conducts non-CMV traffic enforcement activities, the State must conduct these activities in accordance with the [MCSAP Comprehensive Policy](#).

The Department will assign the 404 CVE troopers and 107 NAS certified HP troopers to conduct routine inspection/enforcement activities on high crash corridor highways. Inspection and traffic enforcement activities will be conducted every day of the week and during all hours of the day but specific manpower deployments will be assigned by supervisors based on commercial motor vehicle travel patterns and the needs of specific areas. Task force operations will be utilized to address highway crash corridors and specific problem areas. The Department has a policy that all commercial motor vehicles stopped for traffic related offenses by a MCSAP certified trooper are to be given an inspection. In addition, no MCSAP grant or state matching funds are used to directly supplement traffic enforcement without inspection.

The Department will emphasize the enforcement of speeding, safety belt and radar detector violations committed by commercial vehicle drivers as well as passenger vehicle drivers comingling with CMV's. The Department will target the illegal use of radar detectors in commercial motor vehicles through the use of radar detector-detector devices issued to enforcement personnel. In addition, CVE and THP troopers will conduct periodic task force operations targeting the aggressive driving behaviors of CMV and non-CMV drivers.

Projected Goals for FY 2021 - 2023

Using the radio buttons in the table below, indicate the traffic enforcement activities the State intends to conduct in FY 2021 - 2023. The projected goals are based on the number of traffic stops, not tickets or warnings issued. These goals are NOT intended to set a quota.

Note: If you answer "No" to "Non-CMV" traffic enforcement activities, the State does not need to meet the average number of 2004/2005 safety activities because no reimbursement will be requested. If you answer "No" and then click the SAVE button, the Planned Safety Activities table will no longer be displayed.

			Enter Projected Goals (Number of Stops only)		
Yes	No	Traffic Enforcement Activities	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	CMV with Inspection	14600	14600	14600
<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	CMV without Inspection	0	0	0
<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Non-CMV	0	0	0
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Comprehensive and high visibility in high risk locations and corridors (special enforcement details)	610	610	610

In order to be eligible to utilize Federal funding for Non-CMV traffic enforcement, States must maintain an average number of safety activities which include the number of roadside inspections, carrier investigations, and new entrant safety audits conducted in the State for Fiscal Years 2004 and 2005.

The table below displays the information you input into this plan from the roadside inspections, investigations, and new entrant safety audit sections. Your planned activities must at least equal the average of your 2004/2005 activities.

FY 2021 Planned Safety Activities				
Inspections	Investigations	New Entrant Safety Audits	Sum of FY 2021 Activities	Average 2004/05 Activities
391810	1425	2200	395435	300475

Describe how the State will monitor its traffic enforcement efforts to ensure effectiveness, consistency, and correlation to FMCSA's national traffic enforcement priority.

Supervisors review inspections and traffic citations for conformity with state and federal law as well as department policy and CVSA inspection procedures. Supervisors are required to regularly check commissioned and civilian inspector work habits utilizing both direct monitoring and the review of video tapes to ensure effectiveness and consistency. Supervisors provide individual coaching and training to staff having difficulty meeting required goals. The Department provides refresher training on statutory (USC) and regulatory (CFR) changes. The Department will analyze management reports regarding the quantity, quality and timeliness of traffic enforcement activities for individuals as well as the program as a whole and take action when anomalies are identified.

Part 2 Section 6 - Safety Technology

Performance and Registration Information Systems Management (PRISM) is a condition for MCSAP eligibility in [49 CFR 350.207\(27\)](#). States must achieve full participation by October 1, 2020. FMCSA defines “fully participating” in PRISM for the purpose of determining eligibility for MCSAP funding, as when a State’s or Territory’s International Registration Plan (IRP) or CMV registration agency suspends or revokes and denies registration if the motor carrier responsible for safety of the vehicle is under any Federal OOS order and denies registration if the motor carrier possess an inactive or de-active USDOT number for motor carriers operating CMVs in commerce that have a Gross Vehicle Weight (GVW) of 26,001 pounds or more. Further information regarding full participation in PRISM can be found in the MCP Section 4.3.1.

PRISM, Operations and Maintenance (O&M) costs are eligible expenses subject to FMCSA approval. For Innovative Technology Deployment (ITD), if the State has an approved ITD Program Plan/Top-Level Design (PP/TLD) that includes a project that requires ongoing O&M, this is an eligible expense so long as other MCSAP requirements have been met. O&M expenses must be included and described both in this section and in the Spending Plan section per the method these costs are handled in the State’s accounting system (e.g., contractual costs, other costs, etc.).

Safety Technology Compliance Status

Please verify the current level of compliance for your State in the table below using the drop-down menu. If the State plans to include O&M costs in this year’s CVSP, please indicate that in the table below. Additionally, details must be in this section and in your Spending Plan.

Technology Program	Current Compliance Level	Include O & M Costs?
ITD	Core CVISN Compliant	Yes
PRISM	Exceeds Full Participation	Yes

Available data sources:

- [FMCSA website ITD information](#)
- [FMCSA website PRISM information](#)

Enter the agency name responsible for ITD in the State, if other than the Lead MCSAP Agency: Texas Department of Motor Vehicles

Enter the agency name responsible for PRISM in the State, if other than the Lead MCSAP Agency: Texas Department of Motor Vehicles

Narrative Overview for FY 2021 - 2023

Problem Statement Narrative and Projected Goal:

If the State’s PRISM compliance is less than full participation, describe activities your State plans to implement to achieve full participation in PRISM.

Texas level of PRISM compliance is at step 8.

Texas Department of Motor Vehicles (TxDMV) and the Texas Department of Public Safety (Texas DPS) are jointly responsible for the implementation and execution of the PRISM program in Texas. Texas DPS maintains responsibility for Enforcement related requirements and milestones, while TxDMV has responsibility for Registration related requirements and milestones.

TxDMV and Texas DPS have a long-standing commitment of cooperation and coordination to ensure the highest degree of program effectiveness and efficiency in achieving the program’s primary goals of identifying Texas-based motor carriers and commercial vehicle owners and holding them responsible for the safety of their operation.

TXDMV is the state’s PRISM lead agency and believes in the necessity of responding to the project problems, achieving the project goals and objectives to maintain existing and gain improved capabilities to:

1. Work in coordination and cooperation with Texas DPS CVE to reduce large truck and bus crash related fatalities, injuries and property damage.

2. Deny, revoke or suspend the registration of inter and intrastate motor carrier and commercial vehicle owners who have been deemed to be unsafe by Texas DPS CVE and FMCSA.
3. Maintain TxDMV IT systems in a high availability state, insuring the rapid transfer of registration data through the Texas CVIEW to the roadside and CR officers.

Program Activities for FY 2021 - 2023: Describe any actions that will be taken to implement full participation in PRISM.

Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Costs Detailed

Screening and Main Lane Sorting Systems -LPR, DOT-R, Tire Anomaly, Over-Height and WIM Maintenance Agreements at Falfurrias Inspection Facility (ITD 2018)
\$71,800

Screening and Sorting Systems -LPR, DOT-R, Thermal Imaging (ATIS), Over-Height and WIM Maintenance Agreements at Kingsbury/Seguin Inspection Facility (ITD 2016)
\$82,000

Screening and Sorting Systems – LPR, DOT-R, Over-height detection maintenance agreements at New Waverly and Devine NB and SB Inspection Facilities (PRISM Screening, Credentialing and OOS Detection)
\$52,000

Screening Systems -LPR, DOT-R, Maintenance Agreements at Queen City Inspection Facility (PRISM Screening, Credentialing and OOS Detection)
\$29,000

Screening Systems -LPR, DOT-R, Maintenance Agreements at Riviera Inspection Facility (PRISM Screening, Credentialing and OOS Detection)
\$26,000

Total Operations and Maintenance = \$260,800

Performance Measurements and Monitoring: Describe all performance measures that will be used and include how the State will conduct ongoing monitoring of progress in addition to quarterly SF-PPR reporting.

Implementation Activities

Generate and distribute “Quarterly Performance Progress Reports” and “Final Performance Progress Report” that includes:

- Percent (%) completion of each project deliverable
- Output and Output measure changes for the period.
- A synopsis of current status, any issues during the quarter, and changes made to the plan in response to issues.
- An account of significant progress (findings, events, trends, etc.) made during the reporting period;
- A description of any technical and/or cost problem(s) encountered or anticipated that will affect completion of the grant within the time and fiscal constraints as set forth in this Agreement, together with recommended solutions or corrective action plans (with dates) to such problems, or identification of specific action that is required by the FMCSA, or a statement that no problems were encountered;
- An outline of work and activities planned for the next reporting period.

Activities will be measured by the percentage of completion of each project deliverable; and output will be measured by changes made during project cycle.

The information sources will be the project management plan, project schedules, project updated form status meeting and quarterly reports. The recipients for this information will be the TxDMV and Texas DOPS management and the FMCSA Texas Division.

Monitoring Process

Implementation Monitoring Process

Implementation process measures (quality, time and budget) will be continuously monitored and evaluated.

If an issue emerges that requires a change to time, budget or quality; TxDMV MCD project management team and TxDMV IT Management will notify the FMCSA State Coordinator and submit a formal change request for approval.

Barring such unforeseen changes, the project status and updates on quality, time and budget will be reported through the standard quarterly and final reporting process.

Operational Monitoring Process

Beginning on the quarter year after Implementation, operational baseline data will be compared to new results quarterly, both to assess the strategy and activity effectiveness and to enable making make appropriate adjustments. Results will be documented in the quarterly and final reports.

Part 2 Section 7 - Public Education and Outreach

A public education and outreach program is designed to provide information on a variety of traffic safety issues related to CMVs and non-CMV's that operate around large trucks and buses.

Trend Analysis for 2015 - 2019

In the table below, provide the number of public education and outreach activities conducted in the past 5 years.

Public Education and Outreach Activities	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Carrier Safety Talks	317	368	276	285	212
CMV Safety Belt Education and Outreach	0	0	0	0	0
State Trucking Association Meetings	3	3	3	3	3
State-Sponsored Outreach Events	1	1	1	1	1
Local Educational Safety Events	0	0	0	0	0
Teen Safety Events	0	0	0	0	0

Narrative Overview for FY 2021 - 2023

Performance Objective: *To increase the safety awareness of the motoring public, motor carriers and drivers through public education and outreach activities such as safety talks, safety demonstrations, etc.*

Describe the type of activities the State plans to conduct, including but not limited to passenger transportation, hazardous materials transportation, and share the road safety initiatives. Include the number of personnel that will be participating in this effort.

The Department will distribute the publications "A Texas Motor Carrier's Guide to Highway Safety" (MCS-9) relating to general motor carrier requirements and "Texas Guide to Farm Vehicle Compliance" (CVE-13) relating to commercial vehicle safety requirements for farm industry transportation. In addition, other guides relating to driver and vehicle requirements for commercial transportation in Texas will be distributed along with literature concerning the Compliance – Safety – Accountability (CSA) program. The Department will supply public awareness information to as many small carriers as possible. The Department will provide a copy of "A Texas Motor Carrier's Guide to Highway Safety", either electronically or in print, to each carrier at the conclusion of all compliance reviews and safety audits. Department troopers and investigators will make direct public contacts with motor carrier representatives to educate them in all matters related to the federal motor carrier safety regulations and Texas commercial motor vehicle state laws and exemptions.

Program Activity 1: The Department will provide safety awareness information to the motor carrier industry when requested and at all carrier interventions. The Department has made various DPS publications available through the Department's website at <http://www.txdps.state.tx.us/cve/publications.htm>. The Department will make every effort to gain motor carrier industry support in accomplishing safety awareness goals and objectives.

Program Activity 2: Department troopers and investigators will make direct public contacts with motor carrier representatives to educate them in all matters related to the federal motor carrier safety regulations and Texas commercial motor vehicle state laws and exemptions.

Projected Goals for FY 2021 - 2023

In the table below, indicate if the State intends to conduct the listed program activities, and the estimated number, based on the descriptions in the narrative above.

			Performance Goals		
Yes	No	Activity Type	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Carrier Safety Talks	100	100	100
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	CMV Safety Belt Education and Outreach	1	1	1
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	State Trucking Association Meetings	3	3	3
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	State-Sponsored Outreach Events	2	2	2
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Local Educational Safety Events	2	2	2
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Teen Safety Events	1	1	1

Performance Measurements and Monitoring: Describe all performance measures and how the State will conduct monitoring of progress. States must report the quantity, duration and number of attendees in their quarterly SF-PPR reports.

Performance Measurement 1: The Department will distribute approximately 3,200 "A Texas Motor Carrier's Guide to Highway Safety" publications at the conclusion of all compliance reviews and safety audits.

Performance Measurement 2: Certified inspectors statewide will submit a minimum of 100 Public Awareness Contact reports each fiscal year.

Monitoring & Evaluation:

The Department will monitor and evaluate the number of public contacts as well as the distribution of public awareness documentation and report the results quarterly to FMCSA.

Part 2 Section 8 - State Safety Data Quality (SSDQ)

The FAST Act allows MCSAP lead agencies to use MCSAP funds for Operations and Maintenance (O&M) costs associated with Safety Data Systems (SSDQ) if the State meets accuracy, completeness and timeliness measures regarding motor carrier safety data and participates in the national data correction system (DataQs).

SSDQ Compliance Status

Please verify the current level of compliance for your State in the table below using the drop-down menu. If the State plans to include O&M costs in this year's CVSP, select Yes. These expenses must be included in the Spending Plan section per the method these costs are handled in the State's accounting system (e.g., contractual costs, other costs, etc.).

Technology Program	Current Compliance Level	Include O & M Costs?
SSDQ	Good	Yes

Available data sources:

- [FMCSA website SSDQ information](#)

Enter the agency name responsible for DQ in the State, if other than the Lead MCSAP Agency:

In the table below, use the drop-down menus to indicate the State's current rating within each of the State Safety Data Quality categories, and the State's goal for FY 2021 - 2023.

SSDQ Category	Current SSDQ Rating	Goal for FY 2021	Goal for FY 2022	Goal for FY 2023
Crash Record Completeness	Good	Good	Good	Good
Crash VIN Accuracy	Good	Good	Good	Good
Fatal Crash Completeness	Good	Good	Good	Good
Crash Timeliness	Good	Good	Good	Good
Crash Accuracy	Good	Good	Good	Good
Crash Consistency	No Flag	No Flag	No Flag	No Flag
Inspection Record Completeness	Good	Good	Good	Good
Inspection VIN Accuracy	Good	Good	Good	Good
Inspection Timeliness	Good	Good	Good	Good
Inspection Accuracy	Good	Good	Good	Good

Enter the date of the A & I Online data snapshot used for the "Current SSDQ Rating" column.

Analysis and Information (A&I) Online data snapshot used for the "Current SSDQ Rating" 06/30/20.

Narrative Overview for FY 2021 - 2023

Problem Statement Narrative: Describe any issues encountered for any SSDQ category not rated as "Good" in the Current SSDQ Rating category column above (i.e., problems encountered, obstacles overcome, lessons learned, etc.).

The Department must maintain "Good" status in all categories and "no flag" in crash consistency. The Motor Carrier Bureau (MCB) and the Texas DOT's Crash Records Bureau (CRB) will maintain a cooperative relationship to ensure crash reports are submitted timely and accurately. The MCB will continue to ensure the quality review of data entry and editing of CMV inspection, crash, and compliance review data. The MCB will review monthly SSDQ reports to help identify potential problem areas and ultimately improve our data quality and timeliness. The MCB will consult with FMCSA Technical Support personnel to resolve issues as necessary.

The state remains "Good" or "No Flag" in all crash categories. Crash category percentages are within acceptable ranges and unfluctuating over the past year. No crash category is in a position to decline beneath the current status in the near future. The Department faced no major challenges in the area of data quality last year and anticipates none in FY 2021-FY 2023.

Program Activities FY 2021 - 2023: Describe activities that will be taken to maintain a "Good" overall SSDQ rating. These activities should include all measures listed in the table above. Also, describe program activities to achieve a "Good" rating for all SSDQ measures based upon the Problem Statement Narrative including measurable milestones.

The state continues to maintain its "Good" or "No Flag" status in all categories. The Department will continue to reach as close to 100% rating as possible in all categories. We do not foresee any difficulties in maintaining this status in the coming fiscal year.

Performance Measurements and Monitoring: Describe all performance measures that will be used and include how the State will conduct ongoing monitoring of progress in addition to quarterly SF-PPR reporting.

Performance Measurement 1: The Department will maintain or improve its "good" or "no flag" SSDQ rating in all crash and inspection categories, including timeliness, accuracy, and completeness.

The timeliness and accuracy of crash record, inspection, and traffic enforcement data from the State of Texas to the Motor Carrier Management Information System (MCMIS) continues to progress positively. The Motor Carrier Bureau, in partnership with FMCSA Technical Support, continues to work to ensure we maintain the highest possible data quality standards. In addition, the Department maintains procedures for data entry personnel to research carrier information to ensure crashes are correctly designated. Monthly reviews of our State Safety Data Quality (SSDQ) statistics, as well as strict procedural requirements, have helped us maintain "good" crash and inspection data quality ratings.

The Department will continue to closely monitor all SSDQ categories to maintain the "good" rating in all currently "good" categories and make every effort to improve any deficient category that becomes deficient.

Performance Measurement 2: The Department is an active participant in the Data Q system and refers to the FMCSA's best practices user manual as necessary. It has been found that with the implementation of the Compliance – Safety – Accountability program, motor carriers are more likely to challenge roadside inspection and crash reports. In recent years we have seen an increase in motor carrier data inquiry challenges. Texas has since allocated and trained additional personnel to assist with the state's data inquiry program.

The Department will continue to monitor inspection Data Inquiries in a timely fashion and will respond to them within the FMCSA 10 day guideline.

Part 2 Section 9 - New Entrant Safety Audits

States must conduct interstate New Entrant safety audits in order to participate in the MCSAP ([49 CFR 350.201](#).) A State may conduct intrastate New Entrant safety audits at the State's discretion if the intrastate safety audits do not negatively impact their interstate new entrant program.

Note: A State or a third party may conduct New Entrant safety audits. If a State authorizes a third party to conduct safety audits on its behalf, the State must verify the quality of the work conducted and remains solely responsible for the management and oversight of the New Entrant activities.

Yes	No	Question
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Does your State conduct Offsite safety audits in the New Entrant Web System (NEWS)? NEWS is the online system that carriers selected for an Offsite Safety Audit use to submit requested documents to FMCSA. Safety Auditors use this same system to review documents and communicate with the carrier about the Offsite Safety Audit.
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Does your State conduct Group safety audits at non principal place of business locations?
<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Does your State intend to conduct intrastate safety audits and claim the expenses for reimbursement, state match, and/or Maintenance of Effort on the MCSAP Grant?

Trend Analysis for 2015 - 2019

In the table below, provide the number of New Entrant safety audits conducted in the past 5 years.

New Entrant Safety Audits	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Interstate	3170	2762	3225	3475	3770
Intrastate	0	0	0	0	0
Total Audits	3170	2762	3225	3475	3770

Note: Intrastate safety audits will not be reflected in any FMCSA data systems—totals must be derived from State data sources.

Narrative Overview for FY 2021 - 2023

Enter the agency name conducting New Entrant activities, if other than the Lead MCSAP Agency: NA

Program Goal: Reduce the number and severity of crashes, injuries, and fatalities involving commercial motor vehicles by reviewing interstate new entrant carriers. At the State's discretion, intrastate motor carriers are reviewed to ensure they have effective safety management programs.

Program Objective: The Statutory time limit for processing and completing interstate safety audits is: If entry date into the New Entrant program (as shown in FMCSA data systems) October 1, 2013 or later, a safety audit must be completed within 12 months for all motor carriers and 120 days for motor carriers of passengers.

Projected Goals for FY 2021 - 2023

For the purpose of completing the table below:

- **Onsite safety audits** are conducted at the carrier's principal place of business.
- **Offsite safety audit** is a desktop review of a single New Entrant motor carrier's basic safety management controls and can be conducted from any location other than a motor carrier's place of business. Offsite audits are conducted by States that have completed the FMCSA New Entrant training for offsite audits.
- **Group audits** are neither an onsite nor offsite audit. Group audits are conducted on multiple carriers at an alternative location (i.e., hotel, border inspection station, State office, etc.).

Projected Goals for FY 2021 - 2023 - New Entrant Safety Audits						
	FY 2021		FY 2022		FY 2023	
Number of Safety Audits/Non-Audit Resolutions	Interstate	Intrastate	Interstate	Intrastate	Interstate	Intrastate
# of Safety Audits (Onsite)	399	0	2000	0	2200	0
# of Safety Audits (Offsite)	1800	0	748	0	847	0
# Group Audits	1	0	2	0	3	0
TOTAL Safety Audits	2200	0	2750	0	3050	0
# of Non-Audit Resolutions	0	0	0	0	0	0

Strategies: Describe the strategies that will be utilized to meet the program objective above. Provide any challenges or impediments foreseen that may prevent successful completion of the objective.

Program Overview

The FMCSA has established minimum requirements for interstate new entrant motor carriers to ensure that they are knowledgeable about the applicable federal motor carrier safety regulations in order to continue operating in interstate commerce. The New Entrant Safety Assurance Program is designed to improve the safety performance of new entrant motor carriers by providing educational and technical assistance to new motor carriers as they begin their new business in the motor carrier industry. To accomplish this objective, each new entrant motor carrier will receive a safety audit. The safety audit will be used to both educate the new carrier on compliance with the federal motor carrier safety regulations and hazardous material regulations, and to determine areas where the carrier might be deficient in terms of compliance.

One of the most important objectives of the DPS, through its Commercial Vehicle Safety Plan, is to reduce commercial vehicle crashes through enforcement of the motor carrier safety regulations. In support of this mission responsibility, the DPS submitted a funding proposal to the FMCSA that initiated our participation in the New Entrant Safety Assurance Program. As a result of sustained program funding by FMCSA, the DPS has been able to employ, train, and equip sufficient full-time employees to support the New Entrant Safety Assurance Program in the State of Texas.

Previous Year

The Texas Department of Public Safety projected that there would be approximately 2,750 new motor carriers in Texas in Fiscal Year 2019 that would fall under the auspices of the New Entrant Safety Assurance Program. This estimation required that the DPS would need to be conducting approximately 229 safety audits each month.

The Department completed 3,770 safety audits during FY 2019 period and delivered a total of 3,770 copies of A Motor Carrier Guide to Highway Safety to these motor carriers.

The increase in carriers far exceeded our original expectations but the Department has managed to consistently complete 100% of all safety audits in the system on eligible new entrant carriers throughout the fiscal year. The Department will make every effort to complete 100% of eligible safety audits throughout FY 2020 period but there are challenges detailed below (see impediments).

The Department has had 0 carriers reach an overdue or "rotten" status without justification in FY 2020 as a result of our scheduling.

Program Resources

Currently, the DPS has 26 MCSAP grant funded employees dedicated to the New Entrant Program. Of these 26 personnel, 1 is the program supervisor, 20 are field investigators, and 5 provide staff support. Texas also utilizes state and 4 MCSAP funded compliance review investigators who are certified to perform new entrant safety audits to augment the program to ensure our goals are met. The DPS has trained 41 out of our 54 state-funded compliance review investigators to perform New Entrant Safety Audits. These 41 compliance review investigators will still be primarily tasked with performing compliance reviews, but will be utilized as needed to assist our New Entrant Program staff in performing safety audits.

Investigators have received and will continue to receive all levels of FMCSA training related to the New Entrant and NAS inspections process.

Methods

1. The Department will work with the FMCSA to identify new carriers in order to schedule and complete audits in a timely fashion.
2. Investigators will be hired, trained and equipped as necessary to maintain sufficient staffing levels of qualified investigative and administrative personnel.
3. Supervisory oversight will be provided to ensure a quality work product.
4. Safety audits will be scheduled in an efficient and timely fashion.
5. Authorized funding will be monitored and will be utilized effectively and efficiently.
6. The Department will continue to develop innovative methods to streamline the safety audit process in order to reduce costs and improve the efficiency of the new entrant program within the state.
7. Group audits will be utilized when strategically advantageous.

Resources Needed

DPS believes that in order to effectively sustain our New Entrant Safety Assurance Program to address interstate new entrants across the state, the program requires the following funding resources:

1. Salary and Benefits for Personnel
2. Travel Expenses for Program Delivery
3. Office Rental/Utilities
4. Equipment
5. Office Consumables

Impediments Anticipated

The state has experienced a reduced capacity to train and certify new investigators during the FY 2019 period at a time when we already are experiencing a greater than average number of vacancies. Because of this and of the uncertainty related to the virus in the FY 2021 period, expectations related to safety audit statistics have been set lower than previous years for the FY 2021 period.

When scheduling carriers for safety audits, the state continues to find that several have no intention of operating interstate and should not be eligible for review. If FMCSA would better manage its call center to vet these carriers prior to being entered for evaluation then it would save a great deal of wasted time on the states' part in tracking them down for scheduling. This issue was also raised at the FY 2019 MCSAP meeting in Chicago.

The program is tested and proven. Aside from the potential increase in overall number of carriers, no impediments are anticipated in reaching the FY 2021-2023 New Entrant goals. It is uncertain at this time what effect the COVID-19 virus will have on the number of new carriers applying for audits in FY 2021.

Activity Plan for FY 2021 - 2023: Include a description of the activities proposed to help achieve the objectives. If group audits are planned, include an estimate of the number of group audits.

The Department will assist the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) in reducing the number of crashes and fatalities involving large trucks and commercial buses by participation in the New Entrant Safety Assurance Program.

The Department will continue to assist the FMCSA in conducting New Entrant Safety Audits during FY 2021-2023. The Department will provide supervisory oversight to ensure audits are complete and performed in a timely manner to achieve the goal of completing 100% of the eligible new entrant motor carriers up to those anticipated in the columns above.

The Department will provide access to publications, website information, and seminars when requested by the motor carrier industry. The Department will distribute copies of "A Texas Motor Carrier's Guide to Highway Safety" publications at the conclusion of all safety audits. Investigators will provide educational and technical assistance to 100% of eligible New Entrant motor carriers to promote safe operation by these new motor carriers.

The Department will utilize investigators to conduct compliance reviews during FY 2018 in order to maintain proficiency and

required certifications. The Department will evaluate statistical data and provide supervisory oversight to ensure compliance reviews are thorough, comprehensive, and performed in a timely manner.

The Department's investigators will complete at least the minimum number of inspections required to maintain essential certifications. Supervisors will review investigator statistics and provide managerial oversight to ensure inspections are performed correctly and within required timeframe.

Program Activity 1: Require all New Entrant motor carriers to demonstrate sufficient compliance with applicable Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations (FMCSRs) and Hazardous Materials Regulations (HMRs).

Program Activity 2: Provide educational and technical assistance to 100% of eligible New Entrant motor carriers to promote safe operation by these new motor carriers.

Program Activity 3: Utilize grant funding effectively and efficiently to ensure every New Entrant motor carrier receives a safety audit within the required timeframe.

Performance Measurement Plan: Describe how you will measure progress toward meeting the objective, such as quantifiable and measurable outputs (staffing, work hours, carrier contacts, inspections, etc.). The measure must include specific benchmarks to be reported on in the quarterly progress report, or as annual outputs.

Performance Measurement 1: The Department will measure the total number of safety audits performed by investigators.

Performance Measurement 2: The Department will measure the total number of copies of "A Texas Motor Carrier Guide to Highway Safety" distributed to motor carriers by investigators.

Performance Measurement 3: The Department will measure the number of carriers that fail to receive a timely audit within USDOT guidelines due to our scheduling practices and so are relegated to a "rotten" status.

Monitoring and Evaluation

The Department will evaluate quarterly the number of safety audits performed and submit a financial and performance report within 30 days of the end of each quarter, as required by FMCSA.

Part 2 Section 10 - Border Enforcement

States sharing a land border with another country will conduct a border commercial motor vehicle safety program focusing on international commerce, including enforcement and related projects ([49 CFR 350.201](#)). If a State sharing a land border with another country declines to engage in border related activities, it will forfeit all border enforcement funds the State is eligible to receive.

Trend Analysis for 2015 - 2019

In the table below, provide the number of inspections conducted in the past 5 years.

Inspection Types	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Level 1: Full	99730	98490	106731	103575	95015
Level 2: Walk-Around	17396	25946	21074	26905	25120
Level 3: Driver-Only	9331	9435	7173	4644	3244
Level 4: Special Inspections	2	0	0	8	0
Level 5: Vehicle-Only	73	9	8	0	0
Level 6: Radioactive Materials	0	0	0	0	0
Total	126532	133880	134986	135132	123379

Narrative Overview for FY 2021 - 2023

☐ The State chooses not to engage in border enforcement activities in FY 2021 - 2023. If this box is checked, no additional narrative is necessary in this section.

Enter the Agency name conducting Border Enforcement activities if other than the Lead Agency:

Program Objectives: In addition to the primary goal of the program as stated below, a State must identify at least one of the following priority objectives as a focus within their border enforcement program to be considered for participating within this focus area.

Program Goal: Border States should conduct a border CMV safety program. The focus is on international commerce that includes enforcement and related projects, to ensure motor carriers and drivers operating CMVs (primarily those entering the United States from a foreign country) are in compliance with U.S. CMV safety standards and regulations, financial responsibility regulations, and registration requirements. It also ensures drivers of those vehicles are qualified and properly licensed to operate a CMV in the U.S.

Check all objectives that apply (minimum of 1):

☒ **Objective 1: International Motorcoach Inspections** - Facilitate the conducting of inspections of motorcoaches engaged in international commerce at bus stations, terminals, border crossings, maintenance facilities, destination locations, or other locations where a motor carrier may make a planned stop (excluding a weigh station). FMCSA encourages States to examine their previous years of data on international motorcoach activity and use that data to establish reasonable goals that will result in an appropriate level of motorcoach-focused activities. States must justify the goals set and provide the data or data source references.

☒ **Objective 2: High Crash Corridor Enforcement Focused on International Commerce** - Conduct international commerce CMV enforcement activities (inspections and traffic enforcement) within corridors where the data indicate that there are a high number of crashes involving vehicles engaged in international commerce.

☒ **Objective 3: International Commerce CMV Inspections at Remote Border Sites Away from Border Crossings** - Conduct international commerce CMV safety inspections at identified sites where known international commerce activity occurs near the Canadian and Mexican borders but where there is no official border crossing facility. Site(s) must be identified in the narrative below and describe how far these locations are from the nearest official border crossing facility, if any.

Projected Goals for FY 2021 - 2023

Summarize projected border enforcement activities in the table below.

Note: An inspection is counted as international commerce regardless of whether the transportation originated within the United States (US) or outside the US. All non-international commerce inspections conducted should be included in the Driver Vehicle Inspections section of the eCVSP, and not be indicated in BEG inspections on the inspection report which is uploaded into ASPEN.

Projected Goals for FY 2021 - 2023 - Border Enforcement			
	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Number of International Commerce Regular CMV	130000	131000	132000
Number of International Commerce HM	6200	6200	6200
Number of International Commerce Passenger	1200	1300	1400
Total International Commerce Inspections	137400	138500	139600
Number of Fixed Facility International Inspections	136200	137200	137200
Number of Non-Fixed Facility International Inspections	0	0	0
Traffic Enforcement	0	0	0
Strike Force Activities (CMVs)	0	0	0
Strike Force Activities (Passenger CMVs)	1200	1300	1400

Strategies: Include a description of the strategies that will be utilized to meet the program objective(s) above. The applicant must include any challenges or impediments foreseen.

Scope and Purpose of Project

In FFY 2002, the Texas DPS and FMCSA first entered into a Cooperative Agreement to provide for infrastructure to support the commercial vehicle safety inspection personnel at the Texas-Mexico border. Since the time of that initial agreement DPS has continued to make periodic manpower adjustments to ensure that our border inspection personnel are stationed where the maximum number of vehicles can be inspected and appropriate supervisory span of control is maintained.

The Texas DPS believes that our Border Staffing Plan supports our general commercial vehicle enforcement activities. The Department's primary mission at the Texas-Mexico border is:

- To ensure public safety and security;
- To prevent the premature and unnecessary deterioration of the state highway infrastructure;
- To ensure compliance with all state and federal regulations governing commercial vehicles and their drivers;
- To create an environment that promotes vital and safe commerce in Texas;
- Encourage the Mexican trucking industry to take a greater participatory role in resolving transportation safety issues.

Evaluation of Border Enforcement Efforts

Texas ports-of-entry continue to account for approximately 69% of all commercial motor vehicle crossings from Mexico based on 2019 data. This translates into significant commercial motor vehicle traffic throughout the state on highways leading to and from the border. Laredo still leads the nation as the busiest southern port for commercial vehicle traffic. El Paso, Pharr, and Brownsville are not far behind. The total number of commercial vehicles that entered Texas from Mexico at each port-of-entry in recent calendar years suggests these statistics are only increasing.

In order to ensure border-related commercial vehicle safety on the highways, Department Inspectors must provide enforcement both at fixed inspection facilities at the ports-of-entry and along major highway routes leading into the state from those locations. With the assistance of the FMCSA, Texas has constructed three permanent border safety inspection facilities and four temporary border safety inspection facilities located either on or adjacent the U.S. Customs and Border Protection ports-of-entry at seven of the eight busiest ports between Texas and the United Mexican States. The Texas Border Staffing Plan provides personnel, equipment, and weigh-in motion technology to these sites. The addition of these personnel has significantly enhanced the Department's ability to conduct the required safety and weight inspections as required by Congress, and to provide enforcement coverage during the same hours when U.S. Customs and Border Protection allow commercial vehicles to enter Texas from Mexico.

Faced with the task of ensuring that the commercial vehicles from Mexico, as well as the very significant numbers of U.S. commercial vehicles traveling to and from the border commercial zones comply with regulations, the Department has had to alter its enforcement program along the border and in the areas north of the border. The Department has increased its Commercial Vehicle Enforcement personnel in the border counties by approximately 300% since 1995. In order to reduce the out-of-service rate and improve the overall compliance level of these vehicles, the Department has tasked Commercial Vehicle Enforcement (CVE) Inspectors with the responsibility of providing a daily enforcement presence at the border safety inspection facilities in Brownsville, Eagle Pass, El Paso (2), Laredo, Los Indios and Pharr. In addition to the daily enforcement presence at the border, CVE Inspectors have also manned fixed inspection facilities on major highways leading away from the border on a daily basis in order to regulate those Mexican commercial motor vehicles that can or who have chosen to operate beyond the border commercial zones.

The Texas DPS shares the FMCSA's desire to increase inspections of motor coaches along the border and fully understands the position that 5 percent of the projected inspections be performed on motor coaches. The Department is aware of the significant number of motor coach crossings along the Texas/Mexico border and emphasizes the inspection of these types of commercial vehicles where it is safe and practical. Unfortunately, the vast majority of motor coaches cross the border at non-commercial vehicle crossings that have never been designed to accommodate these types of inspections. As a result, we are only able to safely conduct motor coach inspections and provide reasonable accommodations for passengers at the Lincoln-Juarez Bridge in Laredo and the Hidalgo Reynosa Bridge in McAllen. We work in direct cooperation with the FMCSA – Texas Division to maximize our effectiveness at these locations. Because of these constraints, it has never possible for the DPS to commit to 5 percent of our projected border bus inspections.

The following table summarizes inspection totals and out of service rates for Commercial Motor Vehicles at Texas ports of entry from FY 2015 through FY 2019.

POE Inspections, Voos, Doos and Hazmat

FFY	Inspections	Voos	Voos %	Doos	Doos %	Hazmat	HM %
2013	133,714	20,745	15.51%	670	0.50%	5,524	4.13%
2014	131,746	21,286	16.16%	719	0.55%	5,499	4.17%
2015	126,532	22,390	17.70%	722	0.57%	4,644	3.67%
2016	133,880	21,948	16.39%	831	0.62%	5,121	3.83%
2017	134,986	23,173	17.17%	866	0.64%	5,352	3.96%
2018	135,125	23,050	17.06%	1,097	0.81%	6,382	4.72%
2019	123,379	19,609	15.89%	691	0.56%	7,056	5.72%

The DPS purchased semi-portable static weight scales during the earliest phase of the Texas Border Staffing Plan. These semi-portable static weight scales have been assigned to thirteen (13) of the commercial vehicle crossings between Texas and Mexico, including the five (5) remaining temporary border safety inspection facilities. The following table lists the operational status of the semi-portable scales that have been assigned to the border safety inspection facilities (BSIF).

Current Status of BSIF Fixed & Semi-Portable Scales:

Location	Operational Status	Repair Status
Los Tomates POE	Operational	N/A
Los Indios POE	Operational	N/A
Progreso POE	Operational	N/A
Pharr POE	Operational	N/A
World Trade POE	Operational	N/A
Columbia POE	Operational	Pending Repair
Camino Real POE	Non-Operational	Pending Repair
Del Rio POE	Non-Operational	Pending Repair
Presidio POE	Operational	N/A
BOTA POE (Fixed)	Operational	N/A
Ysleta POE (Fixed)	Operational	N/A

The DPS is responsible for the repairs and maintenance on all of the semi-portable scales assigned to the border regions. After many years of use, several of these scales are in various states of disrepair and so procurement processes are currently underway to replace them utilizing MCSAP/Border funding.

Weigh-in-motion scales have also been installed at seven of the border safety inspection facilities. The following table lists the operational status of the weigh-in-motion scales that have been installed. A additional WIM located at the World Trade Bridge in Laredo was removed this year when an expansion of the highway outside the Customs Lot required expansion.

Current Status of BSIF WIM Scales:

Location	Status
Los Tomates POE	Operational
Los Indios POE	Operational
Pharr POE	Pending Repair
World Trade Bridge POE	Operational
Columbia POE	Operational
Camino Real POE	Operational
BOTA POE	Operational
Ysleta POE	Operational

On May 10, 2002, an interagency agreement between the Texas Department of Public Safety and the Texas Department of Transportation was executed and identified the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) as the responsible party for the repair and maintenance of the weigh-in-motion scales. The Department will continue its efforts to obtain the technical and financial assistance needed from TxDOT to ensure that each of the weigh-in-motion scales remain fully operational on a daily basis per the requirements of Section 350 of the Federal Fiscal Year 2002 U.S. Department of Transportation Appropriations Act (Pub. L. 107-87). Additionally, the Department will continue to

report the status of all border static and weigh-in-motion scales utilized in the Texas Border Staffing Plan in our update report that is submitted to the FMCSA, Texas Division on a quarterly basis. The Department's goal remains that each vehicle that enters one of the eight (8) Border Safety Inspection Facilities will be screened for compliance with the weight statutes via weigh-in-motion scales, and that any vehicle that fails the weigh-in-motion screening will be weighed for enforcement purposes on our static scales.

The Department will continue to evaluate new and innovative technologies that could provide an improved compliance status of commercial motor vehicles, drivers and motor carriers entering the United States from Mexico.

In addition, DPS personnel have received numerous hours of training regarding homeland security concerns and the Department has deployed radiation detection devices at each of the Texas-Mexico ports-of-entry.

The Texas Department of Public Safety believes that it is essential that it has the manpower and infrastructure in place to effectively regulate commercial vehicles both at the border and along border corridors resulting from crossings in to the United States from Mexico.

Staffing:

Border inspection facilities have been built and are located at the following seven (7) ports-of-entry: Bridge of the Americas (BOTA) in El Paso, Zaragoza/Ysleta International Bridge in El Paso, Columbia-Solidarity International Bridge in Laredo, Eagle Pass Camino Real International Bridge, Pharr-Reynosa International Bridge, Los Indios Free Trade Bridge, and the Veterans International Bridge at Los Tomates in Brownsville. The World Trade Bridge in Laredo was located inside the U.S. Customs and Border Protection Bureau facility and had to be abandoned in 2018 due to an inability to reach agreement with the General Services Administration regarding rents and repair costs.

DPS enforcement responsibilities at these border facilities include the inspection of commercial motor vehicles entering the United States for compliance with size and weight limitations, vehicle registration, insurance, CDL's, fuel permits, motor carrier safety, federal out of service orders and the hazardous material regulations. DPS, in cooperation with FMCSA, staffs these border safety inspection facilities during the hours when commercial motor vehicles are allowed to enter according to U.S. Customs and Border Protection. The Department also maintains sufficient training, support, and administrative personnel to support the Texas Border Staffing Plan.

The following chart depicts the current staffing levels specific to the state's border enforcement efforts at the POEs:

Type of Personnel	Number of Personnel
Commissioned Field Troopers & Supervisory Personnel Directly at Border	70
Commissioned Field Troopers & Supervisory Personnel Border at Sites Leading Away	10
CMV Inspectors at POE	97
CMV Inspectors at Sites Leading Away	14
Administrative Assistants	9
Total Staff	200

At present, the DPS has a total of 200 personnel that are 100% dedicated to border commercial vehicle enforcement activities. In addition to the seven of the eight highest volume border crossings, DPS border enforcement personnel, in conjunction with FMCSA regulatory personnel, also periodically staff the four U.S. Customs and Border Protection ports-of-entry located in Presidio, Del Rio, Rio Grande City, and Progreso. With coverage at these additional border crossings, the DPS and FMCSA provide enforcement coverage at eleven ports-of-entry at the Texas-Mexico border.

Activity Plan for FY 2021 - 2023: Describe the specific activities planned to reach border enforcement goals.

Performance Objectives and Goals

The Department's primary objectives for FY 2021-2023 will be to provide necessary personnel and infrastructure at the border and at points leading away from it as well as to maintain the vehicle out-of-service rate at the Texas-Mexico border at equal to or below the national average for vehicles inspected and to maintain the present driver out-of-service rate at less than 1%.

Objective 1: To maintaining the personnel, facilities and equipment deemed necessary by the Department to staff the highest volume commercial motor vehicle border crossings in order to inspect and weigh commercial motor vehicles from Mexico that will be operating throughout the State of Texas and the United States.

The Department's goal for FY 2021-2023 will be to maintain or exceed the number of property commercial motor vehicle inspections and motorcoach inspections conducted at the Texas-Mexico border during the period. Additionally, the Department conducts CDL, operating authority, federal OOS and financial responsibility verification on each vehicle and driver inspected at the border.

Objective 2: To maintain or reduce the out-of-service rate for inspections conducted on Mexican commercial motor vehicle drivers and vehicles entering Texas.

The DPS continues to place high emphasis on the compliance level of the commercial vehicles entering Texas from Mexico. The out-of-service rates for both drivers and vehicles entering Texas from Mexico were considerably higher than the national average for both driver and vehicle out-of-service rates at the inception of the Texas Border Staffing Plan. When the Department first began to staff the ports-of-entry with enforcement personnel in December 1995, the out-of-service rate for Mexican commercial vehicles ranged from 85 to 95 percent. By maintaining a diligent border enforcement program that continues to emphasize both the inspection of vehicles and the education of drivers and companies, the out-of-service rates for Mexican commercial vehicles in FY 2019 was 15.59% for vehicles and remained at less than 1% for drivers. Inspection totals through the early quarters of FY 2020 indicate that the vehicle out-of-service rate has declined to 14.29% and the driver out-of-service rate continues to be less than 1% for foreign-based commercial vehicles entering Texas from Mexico. The FY 2019 vehicle out-of-service rate at the Texas – Mexico border is considerably lower than the national out-of-service rate for commercial vehicles of 20.64%, while the driver out-of-service rate is well below the national average of 5.00%.

The Department believes that through a strategy of continued daily enforcement presence at the border ports-of-entry, the out-of service rates for both drivers and commercial vehicles entering Texas from Mexico can be further reduced.

The Department's goals for FY 2018-2020 will be to provide necessary personnel and infrastructure as well as to maintain the vehicle out-of-service rate at the Texas-Mexico border at equal to or below the national average for vehicles inspected and to maintain the present driver out-of-service rate at less than 1%.

Objective 3: In FY 2021-2023, the Department will continue to station civilian and commissioned personnel along crash corridors but not directly at border safety inspection facilities in order to conduct enforcement activities where the data indicates there are a high number of commercial crashes related to traffic resulting from international commerce.

In addition to the daily enforcement presence directly at the border, CVE Troopers and non-commissioned Inspectors have historically manned fixed inspection facilities on major highways leading away from the border on a daily basis in order to regulate those commercial motor vehicles transporting cargo originating in border cities as a result of international commerce. Fixed facilities located on US 77 near Riviera, US 281 near Falfurrias, IH 35 near Devine and IH-10 near Kingsbury are now supported by MCSAP/Border Enforcement Grant funding.

The state will continue to explore the use of funds at more locations to combat statistically identified crash corridor problems resulting from international commerce but happening away from the border zone. DPS will also continue to deploy personnel in border counties to reduce crashes resulting from international commerce but away from the actual ports of entry.

In addition to the three primary objectives detailed above, the Department also pursues a strategy of educational outreach to the Mexican motor carrier industry as well as US carriers located in the vicinity of the border or travelling to and from the border zones. The Department will continue to distribute the publications "A Texas Motor Carrier's Guide to Highway Safety" (MCS-9 published in both English and Spanish) relating to general motor carrier requirements and "Texas Guide to Farm Vehicle Compliance" (CVE-13), relating to commercial vehicle safety requirements for farm industry transportation. The Department currently submits regular monitoring reports to FMCSA which provide details that include time and attendance during these public safety and awareness seminars. This activity has been and will continue to be monitored as a function of regular MCSAP activity.

Current Impediments and Planned Resolutions

COVID-19

As a result of the COVID-19 virus, the state has experienced a reduced capacity to train and certify new inspectors during the FY 2019 period. Many inspectors have developed the virus or been necessarily quarantined due to exposure. Because of this and of the general uncertainty related to the virus in the FY 2021 period, the Department's expectations related to inspection goals have been reduced for both the border zone and the entire state. The Department will make adjustments in scheduling staff and placing infrastructure as necessary as the situation develops to maximize enforcement efforts and

inspector safety.

Goals

1. To provide inspection personnel and equipment at the highest volume commercial motor vehicle border crossings between Texas and Mexico.
2. To maintain or reduce the current out-of-service rates for inspections conducted on Mexican commercial motor vehicle drivers and vehicles entering Texas.
3. To explore the advantages of focusing enforcement efforts on crash corridors away from the border where data indicates high CMV related crashes resulting from international commerce with Mexico.

Performance Measurement Plan: Describe how you will measure progress toward the performance objective goal, to include quantifiable and measurable outputs (work hours, carrier contacts, inspections, etc.) and in terms of performance outcomes. The measure must include specific benchmarks that can be reported on in the quarterly progress report, or as annual outcomes.

Performance Measurement 1: The personnel counts at the US-Mexico ports of entry (POEs) and along traffic corridors leading away from POE locations.

Performance Measurement 2: The number of commercial motor vehicles and motorcoaches inspected at the commercial motor vehicle ports-of-entry.

Performance Measurement 3: The collection of the out-of-service rates for inspections conducted on Mexican commercial busses and property-carrying vehicles entering the state through the ports-of-entry and comparing these rates with drivers and vehicles inspected elsewhere in the country and in the State of Texas.

Monitoring & Evaluation:

The Department will evaluate quarterly border enforcement statistics and submit financial and performance activity reports as required by FMCSA.

Part 3 - National Emphasis Areas and State Specific Objectives

FMCSA establishes annual national priorities (emphasis areas) based on emerging or continuing issues, and will evaluate CVSPs in consideration of these national priorities. Part 3 allows States to address the national emphasis areas/priorities outlined in the Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) and any State-specific objectives as necessary. Specific goals and activities must be projected for the three fiscal year period (FYs 2021 - 2023).

Part 3 Section 1 - Enforcement of Federal OOS Orders during Roadside Activities

Instructions:

FMCSA has established an Out-of-Service (OOS) catch rate of 85 percent for carriers operating while under an OOS order. In this part, States will indicate their catch rate is at least 85 percent by using the check box or completing the problem statement portion below.

Check this box if:

☐ As evidenced by the data provided by FMCSA, the State identifies at least 85 percent of carriers operating under a Federal IH or UNSAT/UNFIT OOS order during roadside enforcement activities and will not establish a specific reduction goal. However, the State will maintain effective enforcement of Federal OOS orders during roadside inspections and traffic enforcement activities.

Narrative Overview for FY 2021 - 2023

Enter your State's OOS Catch Rate percentage if below 85 percent: 67%

Projected Goals for FY 2021 - 2023: Enter a description of the State's performance goals.

Fiscal Year	Goal (%)
2021	85
2022	85
2023	85

The OOS catch rate for Imminent Hazard/Unfit Carriers Identified in Texas in FY 2020 to date for all carriers identified is 66.7 percent, a profound improvement over FY 2019 rate of 60.7. The catch rate percentage has also improved even more substantially.

The Department utilizes inspection software that identifies PRISM targeted federal out-of-service (OOS) carriers and trains inspectors to identify and take appropriate action when encountering those subject to such OOS orders.

The majority of carriers posing a challenge to Texas efforts at identification are in fact South American carriers passing through a single port of entry. Drivers for these companies will frequently do their best to evade identification when dealing with our inspectors.

The issue is also impacted by the fact that Texas has developed a very large number of outside agencies that are certified to perform inspections but not MCSAP recipients. These enforcement agencies must do manual searches of SAFER in order to identify targeted carriers. It's more difficult to impress on such agencies the urgency of taking enforcement action on PRISM targeted carriers subject to federal OOS orders. Education related to this issue has been included in the state's recertification training provided to outside all outside MCSAP agencies this year.

While an 85 percent catch rate continues to elude the state, the statistics indicate continued improvements each successive year.

Program Activities for FY 2021 - 2023: Describe policies, procedures, and/or technology that will be utilized to identify OOS carriers at roadside. Include how you will conduct quality assurance oversight to ensure that inspectors are effectively identifying OOS carriers and preventing them from operating.

Performance Objective: To educate all Texas inspectors to recognize and take action against carriers operating under a federal OOS order. Texas inspectors will recognize and place out of service at least 85% of all CMVs operating under an OOS order in FY 2021-FY 2023.

The Department will evaluate the list provided by our federal partners of carriers inspected while subject to a Federal OOS order. Those cases that are not placed OOS will be evaluated and inspectors educated as necessary.

The Department lacks the authority to place OOS carriers subject to a Federal OOS order only when operating solely in intrastate commerce and not determined to be OOS for an imminent hazard.

Performance Measurements and Monitoring: Describe all performance measures and how the State will conduct ongoing monitoring of progress in addition to quarterly SF-PPR reporting.

Performance Measurement:

Texas will increase the number of carriers placed out of service when appropriate to 85 percent in FY 2021 through FY 2023.

Monitoring and Evaluation:

The Department will evaluate the number of carriers stopped for inspection each month based on statistics provided by our federal partners. We will evaluate those that are subject to a federal OOS order and confirm that appropriate enforcement action was taken. The number of carriers stopped for inspection while subject to a federal OOS order will be specified in the quarterly reports.

Part 3 Section 2 - Passenger Carrier Enforcement

Instructions:

FMCSA requests that States conduct enhanced investigations for motor carriers of passengers and other high risk carriers. Additionally, States are asked to allocate resources to participate in the enhanced investigations training being offered by FMCSA. Finally, States are asked to continue partnering with FMCSA in conducting enhanced investigations and inspections at carrier locations.

Check this box if:

☐ As evidenced by the trend analysis data, the State has not identified a significant passenger transportation safety problem. Therefore, the State will not establish a specific passenger transportation goal in the current fiscal year. However, the State will continue to enforce the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations (FMCSRs) pertaining to passenger transportation by CMVs in a manner consistent with the [MCSAP Comprehensive Policy](#) as described either below or in the roadside inspection section.

Narrative Overview for FY 2021 - 2023

Problem Statement Narrative: Describe the problem as identified by performance data and include the baseline data.

While the state does not have a statistically significant problem with motor coach crashes when compared to the state's overall commercial crash numbers, the Department recognizes that no passenger vehicle crashes, injuries or fatalities are acceptable and so will continue to work to reduce statistics in all categories.

Projected Goals for FY 2021 - 2023: Enter the performance goal for the three year CVSP period for the State's passenger carrier enforcement initiative. Annual passenger carrier enforcement benchmarks for FY 2021, 2022 and 2023 must also be included.

To reduce passenger commercial motor vehicle fatality crashes by 5% per fiscal year during the 3 year period from 2018 – 2020 based on each previous year. In FY 2018, the state met its goal and in fact saw a profound reduction with only 16 fatal crashes occurring after the 40 in the previous FY 2017 year. The state continues to examine the factors behind this substantial reduction.

For FY 2019 the goal was then set at no greater than 15 fatality crashes following the 16 that occurred but Texas was unable to achieve this outcome.

The FY 2021 goal will rely on FY 2020 statistics that are not yet available but will be by the time of reporting.

Program Activities for FY 2021 - 2023: Provide additional information regarding how these activities will be implemented.

The Department of Public Safety has developed an active passenger vehicle inspection program with a goal of enhancing passenger carrier safety in Texas. The Department conducts passenger carrier inspections in accordance with the MCSAP Comprehensive Policy Version 3.1. Both Level I and V inspections are conducted during terminal and destination inspections. Because of the necessity to limit the time that a passenger vehicle is delayed during an inspection, the passenger vehicle becomes a "priority vehicle" and every effort is made to move the passenger vehicle forward so that it can be inspected as quickly as possible. The safety and comfort of the passengers traveling on a passenger vehicle that is to be placed out-of-service also becomes a priority when selecting the out-of-service location.

While the state adheres to the current MCSAP Comprehensive Policy, Texas DPS management maintains that the constricting nature of this rule with regard to restricting when passenger vehicles are eligible for inspection has reduced commercial vehicle inspections statewide and ultimately makes the highways less safe for passenger carrying motor vehicles.

The Department will increase the number of passenger vehicle inspections conducted in Texas by conducting 4,000 passenger vehicle inspections each year starting in FY 2021 and ending in FY 2023. The Department will place particular attention on conditionally rated passenger vehicle carriers and passenger vehicle carriers with safety ratings over 5 years old. Additionally, the Department will make compliance reviews of passenger carriers a priority. The Department will continue to train CVE troopers in passenger vehicle inspections. Lastly, we will seek industry support through educational contacts.

Performance Measurements and Monitoring: Describe all performance measures and how the State will conduct ongoing monitoring of progress in addition to quarterly SF-PPR reporting.

Performance Measurement 1: The Department will monitor the overall number of passenger vehicle crashes, fatality crashes, injuries and fatalities.

Performance Measurement 2: The Department will monitor all levels of inspections conducted on passenger vehicles in the coming fiscal year.

Performance Measurement 3: The Department will monitor the number of carrier interventions on passenger carriers in the coming fiscal year.

Part 3 Section 3 - State Specific Objectives – Past

Instructions:

Describe any State-specific CMV problems that were addressed with FY 2020 MCSAP funding. Some examples may include hazardous materials objectives, Electronic Logging Device (ELD) implementation, and crash reduction for a specific segment of industry, etc. Report below on year-to-date progress on each State-specific objective identified in the FY 2020 CVSP.

Progress Report on State Specific Objectives(s) from the FY 2020 CVSP

Please enter information to describe the year-to-date progress on any State-specific objective(s) identified in the State's FY 2020 CVSP. Click on "Add New Activity" to enter progress information on each State-specific objective.

Activity #1

Activity: Describe State-specific activity conducted from previous year's CVSP.

The Department will conduct special task force operations focused on Interstate Highway-35 crash corridor which has been identified as having Texas highest crash and fatality crash numbers. Twelve (12) taskforce operations are to be conducted in the vicinity of the IH-35 Crash Corridor. Task force operations will be conducted once per quarter for each of three regions located along the corridor. Operations will consist of at least twenty (20) personnel working for at least two consecutive days.

Goal: Insert goal from previous year CVSP (#, %, etc., as appropriate).

To lower the overall number of crashes and fatality crashes within the Interstate Highway 35 Region by 1% per year over the period of FY 2018 to FY 2020. The goal each year of the coming FY 2018-2020 period will be based on the previous year.

Actual: Insert year to date progress (#, %, etc., as appropriate).

During each quarterly task force operation in FY 2018-2020, an average of 740 inspections were conducted. Unfortunately, Texas' growth related to a more robust than average economy, ever expanding trade with Mexico, high speed limits and the strong energy sector are believed to contribute to an increase in crashes that enforcement efforts struggled to keep pace with. Crashes continued to rise along the IH-35 corridor for each year of period with the exception of FY 2018. Data utilized in this performance measure is derived from the Texas Department of Public safety database. All quarters have been updated to reflect the most current statistical data available.

Narrative: Describe any difficulties achieving the goal, problems encountered, obstacles overcome, lessons learned, etc.

In 2019, the IH-35 Texas crash corridor experienced 7.5% of all commercial crashes and 7.3 % of all commercial fatality crashes in the state. Texas leads the nation in Commercial Motor Vehicle crashes. Crashes occur with the greatest frequency along the IH-35 crash corridor. This is a data identified top crash location and statewide statistics are provided as an attachment. Task force operations along the corridor result in increased inspection activity, CMV traffic enforcement, and visibility of commercial motor vehicle enforcement units during the periods. Traffic along the corridor continues to rise as a result of strong trade with Mexico and a robust Texas economy. The task force operations along the IH-35 corridor will continue in the FY 2021-FY 2023 period.

Activity #2

Activity: Describe State-specific activity conducted from previous year's CVSP.

Goal: Insert goal from previous year CVSP (#, %, etc., as appropriate).

Actual: Insert year to date progress (#, %, etc., as appropriate).

Narrative: Describe any difficulties achieving the goal, problems encountered, obstacles overcome, lessons learned, etc.

Part 3 Section 4 - State Specific Objectives – Future

Instructions:

The State may include additional objectives from the national priorities or emphasis areas identified in the NOFO as applicable. In addition, the State may include any State-specific CMV problems identified in the State that will be addressed with MCSAP funding. Some examples may include hazardous materials objectives, Electronic Logging Device (ELD) implementation, and crash reduction for a specific segment of industry, etc.

Describe any State-specific objective(s) identified for FY 2021 - 2023. Click on "Add New Activity" to enter information on each State-specific objective. This is an optional section and only required if a State has identified a specific State problem planned to be addressed with grant funding.

State Objective #1

Enter the title of your State-Identified Objective.

Task Force Operations -Interstate Highway 35

Narrative Overview for FY 2021 - 2023

Problem Statement Narrative: Describe problem identified by performance data including baseline data.

In 2019, the IH-35 Texas crash corridor experienced 7.5% of all commercial crashes and 7.3 % of all commercial fatality crashes in the state. Texas leads the nation in Commercial Motor Vehicle crashes. Crashes occur with the greatest frequency along the IH-35 crash corridor. This is a data identified top crash location and statewide statistics have been provided as an attachment.

Projected Goals for FY 2021 - 2023:

Enter performance goal.

To lower the overall number of crashes and fatality crashes within the Interstate Highway 35 Region by 1% per year over the period of FY 2021 to FY 2023. The specific FY 2021 goal will rely on FY 2020 statistics that are not yet available but will be by the time of reporting.

Program Activities for FY 2021 - 2023: Describe the activities that will be implemented including level of effort.

The Department will conduct special task force operations focused on Interstate Highway-35 crash corridor which has been identified as having Texas highest crash and fatality crash numbers. Twelve (12) taskforce operations are to be conducted in the vicinity of the IH-35 Crash Corridor. Task force operations will be simultaneously conducted once per quarter in all of the three regions located along the IH-35 corridor. Operations will consist of at least twenty (20) personnel working for at least two consecutive days.

Performance Measurements and Monitoring: Describe all performance measures and how the State will conduct ongoing monitoring of progress in addition to quarterly SF-PPR reporting.

Performance Measurement 1: The Department will track inspection activity and related OOS rates within the IH-35 region during the periods of the task force operations.

Performance Measurement 2: The Department will track traffic enforcement activity (Moving Violations) within the IH-35 region during the periods of the task force operations.

Performance Measurement 3: The Department will track the overall number of crashes and fatality crashes in the related IH-35 region.

Part 4 - Financial Information

Part 4 Section 1 - Overview

The Spending Plan is an explanation of each budget component, and should support the cost estimates for the proposed work. The Spending Plan should focus on how each item will achieve the proposed project goals and objectives, and explain how costs are calculated. The Spending Plan must be clear, specific, detailed, and mathematically correct. Sources for assistance in developing the Spending Plan include [2 CFR part 200](#), [2 CFR part 1201](#), [49 CFR part 350](#) and the [MCSAP Comprehensive Policy](#).

Before any cost is billed to or recovered from a Federal award, it must be allowable ([2 CFR §200.403](#), [2 CFR §200 Subpart E – Cost Principles](#)), reasonable and necessary ([2 CFR §200.403](#) and [2 CFR §200.404](#)), and allocable ([2 CFR §200.405](#)).

- **Allowable** costs are permissible under the OMB Uniform Guidance, DOT and FMCSA regulations and directives, MCSAP policy, and all other relevant legal and regulatory authority.
- **Reasonable and Necessary** costs are those which a prudent person would deem to be judicious under the circumstances.
- **Allocable** costs are those that are charged to a funding source (e.g., a Federal award) based upon the benefit received by the funding source. Benefit received must be tangible and measurable.
 - For example, a Federal project that uses 5,000 square feet of a rented 20,000 square foot facility may charge 25 percent of the total rental cost.

Instructions

The Spending Plan should include costs for FY 2021 only. This applies to States completing a multi-year CVSP or an Annual Update to their multi-year CVSP.

The Spending Plan data tables are displayed by budget category (Personnel, Fringe Benefits, Travel, Equipment, Supplies, Contractual and Subaward, and Other Costs). You may add additional lines to each table, as necessary. Please include clear, concise explanations in the narrative boxes regarding the reason for each cost, how costs are calculated, why they are necessary, and specific information on how prorated costs were determined.

The following definitions describe Spending Plan terminology.

- **Federal Share** means the portion of the total project costs paid by Federal funds. Federal share is 85 percent of the total project costs for this FMCSA grant program.
- **State Share** means the portion of the total project costs paid by State funds. State share is 15 percent of the total project costs for this FMCSA grant program. A State is only required to contribute up to 15 percent of the total project costs of all budget categories combined as State share. A State is NOT required to include a 15 percent State share for each line item in a budget category. The State has the flexibility to select the budget categories and line items where State match will be shown.
- **Total Project Costs** means total allowable costs incurred under a Federal award and all required cost sharing (sum of the Federal share plus State share), including third party contributions.
- **Maintenance of Effort (MOE)** means the level of effort Lead State Agencies are required to maintain each fiscal year in accordance with [49 CFR § 350.301](#). The State has the flexibility to select the budget categories and line items where MOE will be shown. Additional information regarding MOE can be found in the MCSAP Comprehensive Policy (MCP) in section 3.6.

On Screen Messages

The system performs a number of edit checks on Spending Plan data inputs to ensure calculations are correct, and values are as expected. When anomalies are detected, alerts will be displayed on screen.

- Calculation of Federal and State Shares

Total Project Costs are determined for each line based upon user-entered data and a specific budget category formula. Federal and State shares are then calculated by the system based upon the Total Project Costs and are added to each line item.

The system calculates an 85 percent Federal share and 15 percent State share automatically and populates these

values in each line. Federal share is the product of Total Project Costs x .85. State share equals Total Project Costs minus Federal share. If Total Project Costs are updated based upon user edits to the input values, the 85 and 15 percent values will not be recalculated by the system and should be reviewed and updated by users as necessary.

States may edit the system-calculated Federal and State share values at any time to reflect actual allocation for any line item. For example, States may allocate a different percentage to Federal and State shares. States must ensure that the sum of the Federal and State shares equals the Total Project Costs for each line before proceeding to the next budget category.

An error is shown on line items where Total Project Costs does not equal the sum of the Federal and State shares. Errors must be resolved before the system will allow users to 'save' or 'add' new line items.

Territories must insure that Total Project Costs equal Federal share for each line in order to proceed.

- **MOE Expenditures**

States may enter MOE on individual line items in the Spending Plan tables. The Personnel, Fringe Benefits, Equipment, Supplies, and Other Costs budget activity areas include edit checks on each line item preventing MOE costs from exceeding allowable amounts.

- If "Percentage of Time on MCSAP grant" equals 100%, then MOE must equal \$0.00.
- If "Percentage of Time on MCSAP grant" equals 0%, then MOE may equal up to Total Project Costs as expected at 100%.
- If "Percentage of Time on MCSAP grant" > 0% AND < 100%, then the MOE maximum value cannot exceed "100% Total Project Costs" minus "system-calculated Total Project Costs".

An error is shown on line items where MOE expenditures are too high. Errors must be resolved before the system will allow users to 'save' or 'add' new line items.

The Travel and Contractual budget activity areas do not include edit checks for MOE costs on each line item. States should review all entries to ensure costs reflect estimated expenditures.

- **Financial Summary**

The Financial Summary is a summary of all budget categories. The system provides warnings to the States on this page if the projected State Spending Plan totals are outside FMCSA's estimated funding amounts. States should review any warning messages that appear on this page and address them prior to submitting the eCVSP for FMCSA review.

The system will confirm that:

- Overtime value does not exceed the FMCSA limit.
- Planned MOE Costs equal or exceed FMCSA limit.
- States' proposed Federal and State share totals are each within \$5 of FMCSA's Federal and State share estimated amounts.
- Territories' proposed Total Project Costs are within \$5 of \$350,000.

ESTIMATED Fiscal Year Funding Amounts for MCSAP			
	85.01% Federal Share	14.99% State Share	Total Estimated Funding
Total	\$30,432,727.00	\$5,370,060.00	\$35,802,787.00

Summary of MCSAP Funding Limitations	
Allowable amount for Overtime without written justification (14.99% of MCSAP Award Amount):	\$5,370,060.00
MOE Baseline:	\$8,268,560.93

Part 4 Section 2 - Personnel

Personnel costs are salaries for employees working directly on a project.

Note: Do not include any personally identifiable information (PII) in the CVSP. The final CVSP approved by FMCSA is required to be posted to a public FMCSA website.

List grant-funded staff who will complete the tasks discussed in the narrative descriptive sections of the CVSP. Positions may be listed by title or function. It is not necessary to list all individual personnel separately by line. The State may use average or actual salary and wages by personnel category (e.g., Trooper, Civilian Inspector, Admin Support, etc.). Additional lines may be added as necessary to capture all your personnel costs.

The percent of each person's time must be allocated to this project based on the amount of time/effort applied to the project. For budgeting purposes, historical data is an acceptable basis.

Note: Reimbursement requests must be based upon documented time and effort reports. Those same time and effort reports may be used to estimate salary expenses for a future period. For example, a MCSAP officer's time and effort reports for the previous year show that he/she spent 35 percent of his/her time on approved grant activities. Consequently, it is reasonable to budget 35 percent of the officer's salary to this project. For more information on this item see [2 CFR §200.430](#).

In the salary column, enter the salary for each position.

Total Project Costs equal the Number of Staff x Percentage of Time on MCSAP grant x Salary for both Personnel and Overtime (OT).

If OT will be charged to the grant, only OT amounts for the Lead MCSAP Agency should be included in the table below. If the OT amount requested is greater than the 14.99 percent limitation in the MCSAP Comprehensive Policy (MCP), then justification must be provided in the CVSP for review and approval by FMCSA headquarters.

Activities conducted on OT by subrecipients under subawards from the Lead MCSAP Agency must comply with the 14.99 percent limitation as provided in the MCP. Any deviation from the 14.99 percent limitation must be approved by the Lead MCSAP Agency for the subrecipients.

Summary of MCSAP Funding Limitations

Allowable amount for Lead MCSAP Agency Overtime without written justification (14.99% of MCSAP Award Amount):	\$5,370,060.00
---	----------------

Personnel: Salary and Overtime Project Costs							
Salary Project Costs							
Position(s)	# of Staff	% of Time on MCSAP Grant	Salary	Total Project Costs (Federal + State)	Federal Share	State Share	MOE
Noncommissioned CVE Trainers	5	100.0000	\$65,361.00	\$326,805.00	\$277,784.00	\$49,021.00	\$0.00
Inspector Non-Commissioned Inspection Hours	107700	100.0000	\$17.40	\$1,873,980.00	\$1,592,883.00	\$281,097.00	\$0.00
Trooper Traffic Enforcement Hours	10000	100.0000	\$34.89	\$348,900.00	\$296,565.00	\$52,335.00	\$0.00
Investigator Compliance Review Hours	26000	100.0000	\$22.25	\$578,500.00	\$491,725.00	\$86,775.00	\$0.00
Administrative Assistants	15	100.0000	\$34,302.00	\$514,530.00	\$437,350.50	\$77,179.50	\$0.00
Fiscal Affairs Administrators	2	100.0000	\$59,820.00	\$119,640.00	\$101,694.00	\$17,946.00	\$0.00
Training Program Supervisor	1	100.0000	\$38,844.00	\$38,844.00	\$33,017.00	\$5,827.00	\$0.00
New Entrant Audit Section Evaluators	6	100.0000	\$34,302.00	\$205,812.00	\$174,940.00	\$30,872.00	\$0.00
Database Administrator B26	1	100.0000	\$75,988.20	\$75,988.20	\$64,589.97	\$11,398.23	\$0.00
Database Administrator B20	2	100.0000	\$46,287.12	\$92,574.24	\$78,688.10	\$13,886.14	\$0.00
Programmer V	1	100.0000	\$101,339.76	\$101,339.76	\$86,138.80	\$15,200.96	\$0.00
Programmer IV	1	100.0000	\$90,313.44	\$90,313.44	\$76,766.42	\$13,547.02	\$0.00
Investigator New Entrant Audit Hours	6250	100.0000	\$22.25	\$139,062.50	\$118,203.13	\$20,859.37	\$0.00
Trooper Out-of-Service Verification Hours	1000	100.0000	\$34.89	\$34,890.00	\$29,656.50	\$5,233.50	\$0.00
Trooper and Inspector Train-the-Trainer Hours	1200	100.0000	\$34.89	\$41,868.00	\$35,587.80	\$6,280.20	\$0.00
Commissioned CVE Troopers	64	100.0000	\$72,560.00	\$4,643,840.00	\$3,947,264.00	\$696,576.00	\$0.00
Civilian CVE Inspectors	121	100.0000	\$36,192.00	\$4,379,232.00	\$3,722,347.00	\$656,885.00	\$0.00
Civilian CR/NE Investigators	24	100.0000	\$46,287.00	\$1,110,888.00	\$944,255.00	\$166,633.00	\$0.00
Commissioned CVE Line Sergeants	14	100.0000	\$80,538.00	\$1,127,532.00	\$958,402.00	\$169,130.00	\$0.00
Commissioned CVE Line Lieutenants	2	100.0000	\$89,280.00	\$178,560.00	\$151,776.00	\$26,784.00	\$0.00
Assistant State Commercial Vehicle Safety Coordinator	1	100.0000	\$110,866.00	\$110,866.00	\$94,236.00	\$16,630.00	\$0.00
NE/CR Program Coordinators	2	100.0000	\$89,273.60	\$178,547.20	\$151,765.12	\$26,782.08	\$0.00
Trooper NAS (Part A) Training Hrs	7200	100.0000	\$34.89	\$251,208.00	\$213,526.80	\$37,681.20	\$0.00
Trooper NAS (Part B) Training Hrs	7200	100.0000	\$34.89	\$251,208.00	\$213,526.80	\$37,681.20	\$0.00
Trooper General Hazardous Materials Training Hrs	6000	100.0000	\$34.89	\$209,340.00	\$177,939.00	\$31,401.00	\$0.00
Trooper Cargo Tank Inspection Training Hrs	4200	100.0000	\$34.89	\$146,538.00	\$124,557.30	\$21,980.70	\$0.00

Trooper Other Bulk Packaging Training Hrs	2520	100.0000	\$34.89	\$87,922.80	\$74,734.38	\$13,188.42	\$0.00
Investigator Compliance Review Training Hrs	3840	100.0000	\$22.25	\$85,440.00	\$72,624.00	\$12,816.00	\$0.00
Trooper and Inspector Passenger Vehicle Training Hrs	1728	100.0000	\$34.89	\$60,289.92	\$51,246.43	\$9,043.49	\$0.00
CVE MCSAP Trainers	5	100.0000	\$80,539.00	\$402,695.00	\$342,291.00	\$60,404.00	\$0.00
Commissioned Trooper Inspection Hours	130000	100.0000	\$34.89	\$4,535,700.00	\$3,855,345.00	\$680,355.00	\$0.00
MOE State Personnel	1	0.0000	\$12,145,984.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$12,145,984.00
Civilian CVE Inspector Supervisors	2	100.0000	\$52,284.00	\$104,568.00	\$88,893.26	\$15,674.74	\$0.00
Subtotal: Salary				\$22,447,422.06	\$19,080,318.31	\$3,367,103.75	\$12,145,984.00
Overtime Project Costs							
Overtime for all Positions and Projects	1	100.0000	\$2,206,048.00	\$2,206,048.00	\$1,875,460.00	\$330,588.00	\$0.00
Subtotal: Overtime				\$2,206,048.00	\$1,875,460.00	\$330,588.00	\$0.00
TOTAL: Personnel				\$24,653,470.06	\$20,955,778.31	\$3,697,691.75	\$12,145,984.00
Accounting Method:	Accrual						

Enter a detailed explanation of how the personnel costs were derived and allocated to the MCSAP project.
Spending Plan: Personnel MCSAP

Hourly rate is based on mid-range salary for civilian and commissioned personnel calculated to include pay adjustments resulting from legislative appropriation confirmed semi-annually. (Trooper III salary x 12 months / 2,080 Hours).

Inspection Hours:

During FY 2021, the Department will request reimbursement for 237,700 of the inspection hours we estimate conducting in the course of our regular roadside enforcement program utilizing state funded inspectors. These inspections will consist of all levels with emphasis on Level I, II, & III Inspections and will be based on an average of one hour per inspection. This amount of time is needed to locate the vehicle, perform the inspection; complete the inspection report and CDL verification status check; file charges in the appropriate court, and oversee out-of-service drivers and vehicles. These inspection activities will be charged an hourly rate of \$34.89 for CVE commissioned officers and \$17.40 for non-commissioned CVE Inspectors. These rates are based on state FY 2020 salary expenditures for the CVE Service.

Commissioned Trooper Inspections
 130,000 @ \$34.89 (wage) = \$4,535,700

Non-Commissioned Inspector Inspections
 107,700 @ \$17.40 (wage) = \$1,873,980

Allocated Budget for Driver/Vehicle Inspections: \$6,409,680

CMV Trooper Traffic Enforcement Hours:

The DPS will conduct regular shift traffic enforcement through the use of commissioned CVE officers and the officers of the Highway Patrol (HP) Service who have been trained to conduct Level II and III Inspections targeting operators of commercial motor vehicles that commit serious traffic violations during FY 2021. The DPS will devote the deployment of this effort to high crash corridors, construction zones, and areas where large numbers of violations are known to be committed by CMV operators. Speeding, following too close, improper lane usage, and sign/signal violations will receive the highest priority. As well, the DPS will continue to ensure CDL verification status is obtained

on all drivers. Officers working these details will be required to perform at a minimum, a Level III Inspection on each vehicle stopped unless the officer deems the location of the stop too unsafe to do so. The DPS plans to expend 10,000 man-hours of traffic enforcement, which should result in approximately 18,000 enforcement actions on commercial motor vehicles. These traffic enforcement hours will charge against the grant at an hourly rate of \$34.89 for CVE commissioned officers.

Commissioned Trooper CMV Traffic Enforcement Hours
10,000 @ \$34.89 (wage) = \$348,900.00

Compliance Review Investigation Hours:

The Department will conduct 1,425 compliance reviews on interstate and intrastate motor carriers during FY 2021, using non-commissioned CVE Investigators who have been certified to conduct these reviews. A total of 26,000 hours will be allocated to billing for this activity. These Compliance Review hours will be charged against the grant at an hourly rate of \$22.25 per hour.

Non-commissioned Investigator Hours
26,000 @ \$22.25 (wages) = \$578,500.00

New Entrant Investigator Audit Hours:

The Department will conduct 2,200 new entrant safety audits on interstate and motor carriers during FY 2021, using non-commissioned CVE Investigators who have been certified to conduct these reviews. A total of 5,000 hours will be allocated to billing for this activity. These Compliance Review hours will be charged against the grant at an hourly rate of \$22.25 per hour.

Non-commissioned Investigator Hours
5,000 @ \$22.25 (wages) = \$ 111,250

Trooper Out-of-Service Verification

The Department has fifty-five (55) sergeant areas. Each sergeant area will be responsible for conducting at least forty-eight (48) hours of covert operations. A total of 1,000 hours will be needed for this program. These Out-of-Service Verification hours will charge against the grant at an hourly rate of \$34.89 for CVE commissioned officers.

Allocated Budget for Trooper OOS Verification
1,000 hours @ \$34.89 (wage) = \$34,890.00

Training Plan

The Department will conduct training during FY 2021, in all of the required NTC courses as well as other state training courses for troopers as well as non-commissioned inspector and investigator personnel as follows:

Courses Students Hourly Rate Cost

NAS (Part A) Training	180 X 40 hrs. @ \$34.89 = \$251,208.00
NAS (Part B) Training	180 X 40 hrs. @ \$34.89 = \$251,208.00
General Hazardous Materials Training	150 X 40 hrs. @ \$34.89 = \$209,340.00
Cargo Tank Inspection Training	150 X 28 hrs. @ \$34.89 = \$146,538.00
Other Bulk Packaging Training	90 X 28 hrs. @ \$34.89 = \$87,922.80
Compliance Review Training	48 X 80 hrs. @ \$22.25 = \$85,440.00
Passenger Vehicle Training	72 X 24 hrs. @ \$34.89 = \$60,289.92

Total \$1,091,946.72

Train-the-Trainer Hours:

The Department plans to have twelve (12) CVE Troopers and Non-Commissioned Investigators serving as Associate Staff members of the National Training Center during FY 2021. These troopers will instruct the training courses listed below in the Training Plan. Additionally, these instructors will be utilized to provide instruction in recertification schools for municipal and county MCSAP enforcement officers. The Department is allocating 1,200 hours for these instructors to provide the training. These Train-the-Trainer hours will charge against the grant at an hourly rate of \$34.89 for CVE commissioned officers.

Total Train-the-Trainer Expense

1,200 hours @ \$34.89 (wage) = \$41,868.00
Total for all Hours = \$8,170,626.27

Positions:

(Note: Salaries include wages, hazardous duty pay and stipends in some case. Overtime calculated at 15% of total

wages).

Salary and Benefits for sixty four (64) Commissioned Commercial Vehicle Enforcement Troopers to be located strategically along the Texas border and at strategically located facilities along crash corridor locations within the interior of the state. Troopers conduct inspections roadside and at ports of entry to satisfy border enforcement related goals.

64 positions @ \$72,560 = \$4,643,840

Salary and Benefits for one hundred and twenty three (121) Civilian Commercial Vehicle Enforcement Inspectors and two civilian inspector supervisors (2) to be located strategically along the Texas border and at designated inspection/scale sites that are along major highway crash corridors. (Including overtime).

121 positions @ \$36,192 = \$4,379,232

2 positions @ \$52,284 = \$104,568

Salary and Benefits for twenty four (24) Civilian Compliance Review/New Entrant Investigators strategically placed around state to augment the compliance review and New Entrant programs (Non-Commissioned positions at Salary Group B20, including overtime.)

24 positions @ \$46,287 = \$1,110,888

Salary and Benefits for fourteen (14) Commissioned Commercial Vehicle Enforcement Sergeants to manage the activities of the troopers and inspectors. Sergeants supervise daily operation of work and staff, provide staff training/technical assistance, coordinate staff work schedule/assignments, track and following-up on procedures to meet quality assurance, and track policy to ensure compliance.

14 positions @ \$80,538 = \$1,127,532

Salary and Benefits for two (2) Commissioned Commercial Vehicle Enforcement Lieutenants to be stationed at strategic locations along the border and to act as assistants to the captains as supervisors and to support border related activities.

2 positions @ \$89,280 = \$178,560

Salary and Benefits for Assistant State Commercial Vehicle Safety Coordinator position for grant preparation pertaining to CMV safety and to oversee federally funded projects, purchases and expenditures. (Commissioned officer at the rank of Captain, including overtime.)

1 position @ \$110,866

Salary and Benefits for two (2) New Entrant/Compliance Review Program Coordinator positions to assist with the overall management and supervision of the Compliance Review and New Entrant Program personnel. (Commissioned officer at the rank of Lieutenant or non-commissioned employee at a similar pay classification, including overtime)

2 position @ \$89,273.60 x 2 = \$178,547.2

Salary and Benefits for five (5) Commissioned Commercial Vehicle Enforcement Trainer positions certified to instruct North American Standard Schools to all inspector personnel. (Commissioned officer at the rank of Sergeant, including overtime)

5 position @ \$80,539 = \$402,695

Salary and Benefits for three (5) Civilian Commercial Vehicle Enforcement Trainer positions certified to instruct North American Standard Schools to all inspector personnel. (Noncommissioned instructor, including overtime)

5 position @ \$65,361 = \$326,805

Salary and Benefits for fifteen (15) Administrative Assistant positions to be located at strategic locations along the border (9), the Austin MCB training office (2), the THP headquarters office in Austin (1), the CVE office in Corpus Christi (1), THP HQ Austin Motor Carrier Bureau to support New Entrant Coordinator and one (1) additional position to also be added at Mt. Pleasant CVE Office (1). (Including Overtime)

15 positions @ \$34,302 = \$514,530

Salary and Benefits for two (2) Fiscal Affairs Administrators to monitor federally appropriated funds and expenditures, including the administration of purchasing, developing and maintenance of financial records. Administration and maintenance of equipment inventory records. (Non-Commissioned positions at Salary Group B19, including overtime.)

2 positions @ \$59,820 = \$119,640

Salary and Benefits for one (1) Civilian Motor Carrier Training Program Supervisor in Austin to enhance the review,

approval, and processing of compliance reviews conducted by field investigators. (Non-Commissioned position at Salary Group B17, including overtime.)

1 position @ \$38,844

Salary and Benefits for four (6) Civilian New Entrant Audit Section Evaluators in Austin to enhance the review and processing of audits conducted by field investigators. (Non-Commissioned position at Salary of Administrative Assistant III, including overtime)

6 positions @ \$34,302 = \$205,812

Accurate and timely inspection, crash, and traffic enforcement data is essential to both the individual state programs and the National MCSAP Program. The Department has developed a State Inspection Database System (Formerly referred to as SIDS, now the CVE-3 application) to upload timely inspection and traffic enforcement data into SafetyNet and MCMIS. The Department continues to work with FMCSA to improve our existing interface to continue to enhance the timely uploads of CMV data to SafetyNet and MCMIS. The Department will utilize the service of three (3) full-time Database Administrators and two (2) programmers to ensure timely data uploads as well as maintenance, operations and ongoing enhancements to this mission-critical system. (Including Overtime)

Salary and Benefits, including overtime for the Database Administrators (Salary Groups B20 and B26)

1 @ \$ 75,988.20

2 @ \$ 46,287.12

Salary and Benefits, including overtime for the Programmers (Programmer IV and V)

1 @ \$101,339.76

1 @ \$90,313.44

Total Allocated for Data Collection =\$360,215.64

Note on Overtime

Overtime may be utilized by personnel not fully MCSAP funded if working task force operations along border, in energy sector, high CMV crash corridor areas, motor coach inspection or similar MCSAP activities.

Total Combined Personnel = \$ 22,447,422.06

Overtime on all Positions = \$ 2,206,048.00

Total Personnel Cost = \$ 24,653,470.06

Part 4 Section 3 - Fringe Benefits

*Fringe costs are benefits paid to employees, including the cost of employer's share of FICA, health insurance, worker's compensation, and paid leave. Only non-Federal grantees that use the **accrual basis** of accounting may have a separate line item for leave, and is entered as the projected leave expected to be accrued by the personnel listed within Part 4.2 – Personnel. Reference [2 CFR §200.431\(b\)](#).*

Show the fringe benefit costs associated with the staff listed in the Personnel section. Fringe costs may be estimates, or based on a fringe benefit rate approved by the applicant's Federal cognizant agency for indirect costs. If using an approved rate, a copy of the indirect cost rate agreement must be provided through grants.gov. For more information on this item see [2 CFR §200.431](#).

Show how the fringe benefit amount is calculated (i.e., actual fringe benefits, rate approved by HHS Statewide Cost Allocation or cognizant agency). Include a description of the specific benefits that are charged to a project and the benefit percentage or total benefit cost.

The cost of fringe benefits are allowable if:

- *Costs are provided under established written policies.*
- *Costs are equitably allocated to all related activities, including Federal awards.*
- *Accounting basis (cash or accrual) selected for each type of leave is consistently followed by the non-Federal entity or specified grouping of employees.*

Depending on the State, there are fixed employer taxes that are paid as a percentage of the salary, such as Social Security, Medicare, State Unemployment Tax, etc.

- *For each of these standard employer taxes, under Position you may list "All Positions," the benefits would be the respective standard employer taxes, followed by the respective rate with a base being the total salaries for Personnel in Part 4.2.*
- *The base multiplied by the respective rate would give the total for each standard employer tax. Workers' Compensation is rated by risk area. It is permissible to enter this as an average, usually between sworn and unsworn—any grouping that is reasonable and clearly explained in the narrative is allowable.*
- *Health Insurance and Pensions can vary greatly and can be averaged; and like Workers' Compensation, can sometimes be broken into sworn and unsworn.*

In the Position column include a brief position description that is associated with the fringe benefits.

*The **Fringe Benefit Rate** is:*

- *The rate that has been approved by the State's cognizant agency for indirect costs; or a rate that has been calculated based on the aggregate rates and/or costs of the individual items that your agency classifies as fringe benefits.*
- *For example, your agency pays 7.65 percent for FICA, 42.05 percent for health/life/dental insurance, and 15.1 percent for retirement. The aggregate rate of 64.8 percent (sum of the three rates) may be applied to the salaries/wages of personnel listed in the table.*

*The **Base Amount** is:*

- *The salary/wage costs within the proposed budget to which the fringe benefit rate will be applied.*
- *For example, if the total wages for all grant-funded staff is \$150,000 and the percentage of time on the grant is 50 percent, then that is the amount the fringe rate of 64.8 (from the example above) will be applied. The calculation is: \$150,000 x 64.8 x 50% / 100 = \$48,600 Total Project Costs.*

Total Project Costs equal the Fringe Benefit Rate x Percentage of Time on MCSAP grant x Base Amount divided by 100.

Fringe Benefits Project Costs							
Position(s)	Fringe Benefit Rate	% of Time on MCSAP Grant	Base Amount	Total Project Costs (Federal + State)	Federal Share	State Share	MOE
MOE Fringe Benefits	100.0000	0.0000	\$408,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$220,973.00
All Positions and Hours Billed	33.4300	100.0000	\$22,447,422.00	\$7,504,173.17	\$6,378,547.20	\$1,125,625.97	\$0.00
TOTAL: Fringe Benefits				\$7,504,173.17	\$6,378,547.20	\$1,125,625.97	\$220,973.00

Enter a detailed explanation of how the fringe benefit costs were derived and allocated to the MCSAP project.

Spending Plan: Fringe Benefits

During this fiscal period, there is one uniform fringe rate of 33.43% applied to all positions. Benefits are calculated as base pay, per federal reimbursement policies outlined in Texas Accounting Policy Statement 023. These account for group insurance, retirement contributions, and benefit replacement pay. Fringe benefits are applied to expense for regular wages and billed hours only and not to overtime costs. This amount is a budgetary place-holder as the state only bills FMCSA for actual costs.

Texas Accounting Policy Statement 023 Link:

https://fm.x.cpa.state.tx.us/fm/pubs/aps/23/a017_001.php

Allocated Budget = \$7,623,840.53

Part 4 Section 4 - Travel

Itemize the positions/functions of the people who will travel. Show the estimated cost of items including but not limited to, airfare, lodging, meals, transportation, etc. Explain in detail how the MCSAP program will directly benefit from the travel.

Travel costs are funds for field work or for travel to professional meetings.

List the purpose, number of persons traveling, number of days, percentage of time on MCSAP Grant, and total project costs for each trip. If details of each trip are not known at the time of application submission, provide the basis for estimating the amount requested. For more information on this item see [2 CFR §200.474](#).

Total Project Costs should be determined by State users, and manually input in the table below. There is no system calculation for this budget category.

Travel Project Costs							
Purpose	# of Staff	# of Days	% of Time on MCSAP Grant	Total Project Costs (Federal + State)	Federal Share	State Share	MOE
New Entrant Audit Training	25	10	100.0000	\$17,000.00	\$14,450.00	\$2,550.00	\$0.00
Passenger Vehicle Inspection School	40	3	100.0000	\$8,110.00	\$6,893.50	\$1,216.50	\$0.00
NAS for HP – Part B School Only	60	5	100.0000	\$20,400.00	\$17,340.00	\$3,060.00	\$0.00
Intrastate Motor Carrier Safety School "Texas Part C"	120	3	100.0000	\$480.00	\$408.00	\$72.00	\$0.00
NAS Level I Annual Recertification School	500	2	100.0000	\$68,000.00	\$57,800.00	\$10,200.00	\$0.00
Level VI Certification School	6	4	100.0000	\$1,632.00	\$1,387.20	\$244.80	\$0.00
NAS Level VI Annual Recertification School	6	1	100.0000	\$408.00	\$346.80	\$61.20	\$0.00
CVSA Workshop OOS	12	5	100.0000	\$18,000.00	\$15,300.00	\$2,700.00	\$0.00
CVSA Annual Conference OOS	12	5	100.0000	\$18,000.00	\$15,300.00	\$2,700.00	\$0.00
North American Inspectors Championship	2	5	100.0000	\$3,600.00	\$3,060.00	\$540.00	\$0.00
COHMED Conference OOS	10	5	100.0000	\$15,000.00	\$12,750.00	\$2,250.00	\$0.00
Roadcheck 2020 Enforcement	150	3	100.0000	\$30,600.00	\$26,010.00	\$4,590.00	\$0.00
Interdiction Training Travel (DIAP) OOS	8	5	100.0000	\$16,000.00	\$13,600.00	\$2,400.00	\$0.00
Intrastate Instructor Travel	8	5	100.0000	\$16,000.00	\$13,600.00	\$2,400.00	\$0.00
Compliance Review Related Travel	74	3	100.0000	\$50,000.00	\$42,500.00	\$7,500.00	\$0.00
New Entrant Audit Related Travel	74	3	100.0000	\$50,000.00	\$42,500.00	\$7,500.00	\$0.00
Miscellaneous MCSAP Operations Related Travel	100	5	100.0000	\$70,000.00	\$59,500.00	\$10,500.00	\$0.00
IH-35 Task Force	40	6	100.0000	\$16,320.00	\$13,872.00	\$2,448.00	\$0.00
District Task Force Operations	40	16	100.0000	\$43,520.00	\$36,992.00	\$6,528.00	\$0.00
MOE State Travel	1	365	0.0000	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$327,860.00
North American Standard (Part A) School	120	5	100.0000	\$40,800.00	\$34,680.00	\$6,120.00	\$0.00
North American Standard (Part B) School	120	5	100.0000	\$40,800.00	\$34,680.00	\$6,120.00	\$0.00
General Hazardous Materials School	100	5	100.0000	\$34,000.00	\$28,900.00	\$5,100.00	\$0.00
Cargo Tank Inspection School	100	5	100.0000	\$34,000.00	\$28,900.00	\$5,100.00	\$0.00
Other Bulk Packaging School	50	4	100.0000	\$13,600.00	\$11,560.00	\$2,040.00	\$0.00
Compliance Review Investigator School	25	10	100.0000	\$17,000.00	\$14,450.00	\$2,550.00	\$0.00
Texas CMV Safety Summit	6	4	100.0000	\$9,000.00	\$7,650.00	\$1,350.00	\$0.00
TOTAL: Travel				\$652,270.00	\$554,429.50	\$97,840.50	\$327,860.00

Enter a detailed explanation of how the travel costs were derived and allocated to the MCSAP project.

Spending Plan: Travel

Travel is calculated for each individual at a maximum per day cost of \$51.00 for meals and \$85.00 for lodging (total \$136) which is then divided in half to account for the Department's policy of double occupancy of rooms whenever practicable. Out of state travel is estimated.

North American Standard (Part A) School

Personnel to be trained – 120 Personnel for 5 days

(In-state, includes travel, meals & lodging)
\$40,800

North American Standard (Part B) School
Personnel to be trained – 120 Personnel for 5 days
(In-state, includes travel, meals & lodging)
\$40,800

General Hazardous Materials School
Personnel to be trained – 100 Personnel for 5 days
(In-state, includes travel, meals & lodging)
\$34,000

Cargo Tank Inspection School
Personnel to be trained – 100 Personnel for 5 days
(In-state, includes travel, meals, lodging)
\$34,000

Other Bulk Packaging School
Personnel to be trained – 50 Personnel for 4 days
(In-state, includes travel, meals, lodging)
\$13,600

Compliance Review Investigator School
Personnel to be trained – 25 Personnel for 10 days
(In-state, includes travel, meals, lodging)
\$17,000

New Entrant Audit Training
Personnel to be trained – 25 Personnel for 10 days
(In-state, includes travel, meals, lodging)
\$17,000

Passenger Vehicle Inspection School
Personnel to be trained – 40 Personnel for 3 days
(In-state, includes travel, meals, lodging)
\$8,110

NAS for HP – Part B School Only
Personnel to be trained – 60 Personnel for 10 days
(In-state, includes travel, meals, lodging)
\$20,400

Intrastate Motor Carrier Safety School "Texas Part C"
Course curriculum includes: Intrastate Regulations relating to Applicability, Equipment, Operating Authority, Driver Qualifications, Commercial Driver License, Commercial Vehicle Inspection, Hazardous Materials, and Insurance Regulations.
Personnel to be trained – 120 Personnel for 3 days
(In-state, includes travel, meals, lodging)
\$24,480

NAS Annual Recertification School
Personnel to be trained – 500 Personnel for 2 days
(In-state, includes travel, meals, lodging)
\$ 68,000

Level VI Certification School
Personnel to be trained – 6 Personnel for 4 days
(In-state, includes travel, meals, lodging)
\$1,632

NAS Level VI Annual Recertification School

Personnel to be trained – 6 Personnel for 1 day
(In-state, includes travel, meals, lodging)
\$408

CVSA Workshop

Out-of State
12 personnel @ \$1,800 each
\$3,600

CVSA Annual Conference

Out-of-state
12 personnel @ \$1,500 each
\$18,000

North American Inspectors Championship

Out-of-State
International Inspector Competition
2 personnel @ \$1,800 each
\$3,600

COHMED Conference

Out-of-State
10 personnel @ \$1,500 each
\$15,000

Texas CMV Safety Summits

6 personnel @ \$1,500 each
\$9,000

Roadcheck 2020 Enforcement

150 personnel for 3 Days
\$30,600

Interdiction Training Travel (DIAP)

Out-of-State
8 personnel @ \$2,000 each
\$16,000

Intrastate Instructor Travel

to teach NAS Parts A & B, General Hazardous Materials, Cargo Tank, Passenger Vehicle Inspection, and specialized training schools
\$16,000

Compliance Review Related Travel

Compliance Review Investigators, Commercial Vehicle Inspectors conducting MCSAP related activities other than training in Austin.
\$50,000

New Entrant Audit Related Travel

(In-state, includes travel, meals, lodging)
\$50,000

Miscellaneous MCSAP Operations Related Travel

Out-of-Area meetings; Conferences for MCSAP Staff; Out of State Travel; FMCSA planned activities; Certification Maintenance; Travel for competitors for the State Challenge Competition; ELD Training; General MCSAP related travel; State CMV Conference related travel
(includes travel, meals, lodging) (In-state, includes travel, meals, lodging)
\$70,000

IH-35 Task Force

Twelve (12) taskforce operations to be conducted in the vicinity of the IH-35 Crash Corridor. Task force operations to be conducted one per quarter for each of the three regions located along the corridor. Operations will consist of up to twenty (20) personnel for working for two consecutive days. Only ten (10) personnel will require travel. (3 x 4 x 10 x 2 x \$136.00 x .5) (In-state, includes travel, meals, lodging)
\$16,320

MCSAP Task Force Operations

Four (4) taskforce operations in each of the eight (8) captain's districts throughout the state will target aggressive driving of commercial vehicles and other traffic comingling with commercial vehicles. Taskforce operations will on average be conducted quarterly and consist of 10 personnel in each district working for 2 consecutive days during each operation. These personnel will be strategically located throughout each district to maximize efforts to reduce crashes. Level II and III inspections will be performed with the level III being the preferred level of inspection. These operations will target fatigued drivers and traffic enforcement violations such as speeding, following too close, lane violations, radar detector violations, etc. (4 x 8 x 10 x 2 x \$136.00 x .5) (In-state, includes travel, meals, lodging)
\$43,520

Note regarding CVSA related travel: The state is responsible for approximately 10% of all inspections performed nationwide and provides training opportunities to all states for both student and instructor certification. In some classes, as much as 50% of students attending Texas MCSAP schools might be from outside the state. The state frequently works in collaboration with NTC on program development. Last year, the state's CVE program coordinator was elected to the role of CVSA secretary and will eventually be president. Participation within CVSA and all its committees is required at a high level and is to the benefit of the state, the Alliance and FMCSA.

Allocated Budget (Training and Other) = \$652,270.00

Part 4 Section 5 - Equipment

Equipment is tangible or intangible personal property. It includes information technology systems having a useful life of more than one year, and a per-unit acquisition cost that equals or exceeds the lesser of the capitalization level established by the non-Federal entity (i.e., the State) for financial statement purposes, or \$5,000.

- If your State's equipment capitalization threshold is below \$5,000, check the box below and provide the threshold amount. See [§200.12](#) Capital assets, [§200.20](#) Computing devices, [§200.48](#) General purpose equipment, [§200.58](#) Information technology systems, [§200.89](#) Special purpose equipment, and [§200.94](#) Supplies.

Show the total cost of equipment and the percentage of time dedicated for MCSAP related activities that the equipment will be billed to MCSAP. For example, you intend to purchase a server for \$5,000 to be shared equally among five programs, including MCSAP. The MCSAP portion of the total cost is \$1,000. If the equipment you are purchasing will be capitalized (depreciated), you may only show the depreciable amount, and not the total cost ([2 CFR §200.436](#) and [2 CFR §200.439](#)). If vehicles or large IT purchases are listed here, the applicant must disclose their agency's capitalization policy.

Provide a description of the equipment requested. Include the quantity, the full cost of each item, and the percentage of time this item will be dedicated to MCSAP grant.

Total Project Costs equal the Number of Items x Full Cost per Item x Percentage of Time on MCSAP grant.

Equipment Project Costs							
Item Name	# of Items	Full Cost per Item	% of Time on MCSAP Grant	Total Project Costs (Federal + State)	Federal Share	State Share	MOE
Scale Calibration Press	1	\$25,849.00	100	\$25,849.00	\$21,971.65	\$3,877.35	\$0.00
Bus Ramps	1	\$12,000.00	100	\$12,000.00	\$10,200.00	\$1,800.00	\$0.00
MOE State Equipment	1	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Portable Inspection Trailer	1	\$12,500.00	100	\$12,500.00	\$10,625.00	\$1,875.00	\$0.00
Officer Vehicles	2	\$30,227.00	100	\$60,454.00	\$51,385.90	\$9,068.10	\$0.00
Patrol Vehicle Make Ready Equipment	2	\$19,761.00	100	\$39,522.00	\$33,593.70	\$5,928.30	\$0.00
Supervisory Vehicles	1	\$30,227.00	100	\$30,227.00	\$25,692.95	\$4,534.05	\$0.00
Supervisory Vehicle Make Ready Equipment	1	\$9,516.00	100	\$9,516.00	\$8,088.60	\$1,427.40	\$0.00
Semi Portable Scales w/ Trailer	2	\$53,000.00	100	\$106,000.00	\$90,100.00	\$15,900.00	\$0.00
Computer Servers	3	\$7,600.00	100	\$22,800.00	\$19,380.00	\$3,420.00	\$0.00
ATIS	1	\$260,000.00	100	\$260,000.00	\$221,026.00	\$38,974.00	\$0.00
ATPS	1	\$34,511.27	100	\$34,511.27	\$29,338.03	\$5,173.24	\$0.00
TOTAL: Equipment				\$613,379.27	\$521,401.83	\$91,977.44	\$0.00
Equipment threshold is greater than \$5,000.							

Enter a detailed explanation of how the equipment costs were derived and allocated to the MCSAP project.

Spending Plan: Equipment MCSAP

Officer Vehicles (Vehicle replacement cycle is based on a goal of 100,000 miles or else ten year interval).

Chevrolet Tahoe 4WD Pursuit Vehicle and Upfit

2 @ \$30,227 = \$60,454

Patrol Vehicle Make Ready Equipment

To include In-Car Computer, Mobile APX Radio, and WatchGuard 4RE Mobile Video System

2 @ \$19,761 = \$39,522

Supervisory Vehicles

Ford Police Interceptor Tahoe with Upfit

1 @ \$30,227 = \$30,227

Supervisory Vehicle Make Ready Equipment

To include In-Car Computer and Mobile APX Radio

1 @ \$9,516 = \$9,516

Scale Calibration Press

To calibrate scales in order to weigh vehicles to ensure compliance with tire weight rating limitations in the FMCSR and other related weight laws. A CVSA level of inspection will be conducted and documented on all CMVs weighed. Will be part of the state's incentive allocation.

1 @ \$25,849 = \$25,849

Bus Ramps

To examine undercarriage of motor coaches for compliance with the FMCSRs

1 Set @ \$12,000 = \$12,000

Portable Office Inspection Trailer

For performing mobile task force operations to inspect CMVs

1 @ \$12,500 = \$12,500

Replacement of CVE Office Servers

Servers for Offices and Inspection Stations housing MCSAP Troopers and Inspectors

3 @ \$7,600 = \$22,800

Two sets of Semi-Portable Scales with Trailer to Carry

Texas' policy is to perform full inspection on all CMVs cited for weight violation.

2 @ \$53,000 = \$106,000

Automated Thermal Inspection System (ATIS)

Installation at Station TBD for the inspection of brake and undercarriage violations as a site-specific installation and not part of any current ITD deployment. Quote based on information provided by two separate and reputable US vendors of like technologies.

\$260,000

Automated Tire Pressure Sensing (ATPS)

To be installed in conjunction with WIM for the detection of tire violations as a site-specific installation and not part of any current ITD deployment. Quote based on information provided by two separate and reputable US vendors of like technologies.

\$34,511.27

Allocated Budget = \$613,379.27

Part 4 Section 6 - Supplies

Supplies means all tangible property other than that described in [§200.33](#) Equipment. A computing device is a supply if the acquisition cost is less than the lesser of the capitalization level established by the non-Federal entity for financial statement purposes or \$5,000, regardless of the length of its useful life. See also [§200.20](#) Computing devices and [§200.33](#) Equipment.

Estimates for supply costs may be based on the same allocation as personnel. For example, if 35 percent of officers' salaries are allocated to this project, you may allocate 35 percent of your total supply costs to this project. A different allocation basis is acceptable, so long as it is reasonable, repeatable and logical, and a description is provided in the narrative.

Provide a description of each unit/item requested, including the quantity of each unit/item, the unit of measurement for the unit/item, the cost of each unit/item, and the percentage of time on MCSAP grant.

Total Project Costs equal the Number of Units x Cost per Unit x Percentage of Time on MCSAP grant.

Supplies Project Costs							
Item Name	# of Units/ Unit of Measurement	Cost per Unit	% of Time on MCSAP Grant	Total Project Costs (Federal + State)	Federal Share	State Share	MOE
Stop Sticks	3 Unit	\$506.00	100.0000	\$1,518.00	\$1,290.00	\$228.00	\$0.00
Ammunition and Firearms Supplies	412 Varies	\$35.00	100.0000	\$14,420.00	\$12,257.00	\$2,163.00	\$0.00
Vehicle Fuel	59 Varies	\$1,400.00	100.0000	\$82,600.00	\$70,210.00	\$12,390.00	\$0.00
Uniforms Commissioned	103 Uniform	\$350.00	100.0000	\$36,050.00	\$30,642.50	\$5,407.50	\$0.00
Uniforms for Non- commissioned	225 Uniform	\$95.00	100.0000	\$21,375.00	\$18,168.75	\$3,206.25	\$0.00
Educational Handouts (Public Education)	32000 Book	\$0.25	100.0000	\$8,000.00	\$6,800.00	\$1,200.00	\$0.00
Computers with Accessories	50 Item	\$1,450.00	100.0000	\$72,500.00	\$61,625.00	\$10,875.00	\$0.00
Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations	1925 Book	\$9.00	100.0000	\$17,325.00	\$14,726.25	\$2,598.75	\$0.00
Federal Hazardous Material Regulations	1375 Book	\$17.50	100.0000	\$24,062.50	\$20,453.13	\$3,609.37	\$0.00
DVD-RW's	600 Spindle (100)	\$46.00	100.0000	\$27,600.00	\$23,460.00	\$4,140.00	\$0.00
Cargo Seals – High and Standard Security	35000 Item	\$0.45	100.0000	\$15,750.00	\$13,387.50	\$2,362.50	\$0.00
Televisions	6 Item	\$280.00	100.0000	\$1,680.00	\$1,428.00	\$252.00	\$0.00
Digital Cameras with Data Cards	25 Item	\$294.00	100.0000	\$7,350.00	\$6,247.00	\$1,103.00	\$0.00
Out of Service Stickers	15000 Sticker	\$0.25	100.0000	\$3,750.00	\$3,187.50	\$562.50	\$0.00
Portable Document Scanners	30 Item	\$1,200.00	100.0000	\$36,000.00	\$30,600.00	\$5,400.00	\$0.00
Maintenance Items for Portable Printers	920 Cartridges	\$75.00	100.0000	\$69,000.00	\$58,650.00	\$10,350.00	\$0.00
Training Supplies	1 Varies	\$15,000.00	100.0000	\$15,000.00	\$12,750.00	\$2,250.00	\$0.00
Portable Scales	12 Scale	\$4,995.00	100.0000	\$59,940.00	\$50,949.00	\$8,991.00	\$0.00
Radar Detector Detectors	5 Item	\$1,300.00	100.0000	\$6,500.00	\$5,525.00	\$975.00	\$0.00
Bolt Cutters	10 Item	\$85.00	100.0000	\$850.00	\$722.00	\$128.00	\$0.00
Portable Breath Testers	5 Item	\$330.00	100.0000	\$1,650.00	\$1,402.00	\$248.00	\$0.00
Wheel Chocks	50 Set	\$31.00	100.0000	\$1,550.00	\$1,317.50	\$232.50	\$0.00
Inspection Creepers	100 Item	\$45.00	100.0000	\$4,500.00	\$3,825.00	\$675.00	\$0.00
Speed Detection Equipment (Radar, LIDAR)	55 Item	\$2,950.00	100.0000	\$162,250.00	\$137,912.00	\$24,338.00	\$0.00
Other Inspection Related Tools and Supplies	559 Varies	\$50.00	100.0000	\$27,950.00	\$23,757.50	\$4,192.50	\$0.00
Gloves – High Quality	1400 Pair	\$19.00	100.0000	\$26,600.00	\$22,610.00	\$3,990.00	\$0.00
State Challenge Inspection	1 Varies	\$15,000.00	100.0000	\$15,000.00	\$12,750.00	\$2,250.00	\$0.00

Competition Supplies							
CVSA Out-of-Service Criteria Pictorial Handbooks	900 Book	\$27.00	100.0000	\$24,300.00	\$20,655.00	\$3,645.00	\$0.00
Office Supplies, Basic Tools and Furniture	559 Varies	\$50.00	100.0000	\$27,950.00	\$23,757.50	\$4,192.50	\$0.00
Other Essential Software Licenses	559 License	\$100.00	100.0000	\$55,900.00	\$47,515.00	\$8,385.00	\$0.00
Adobe Professional Software Licenses	150 License	\$300.00	100.0000	\$45,000.00	\$38,250.00	\$6,750.00	\$0.00
Magnetic Strip Readers	240 Item	\$55.00	100.0000	\$13,200.00	\$11,220.00	\$1,980.00	\$0.00
Safety Glasses	900 Pair	\$6.50	100.0000	\$5,850.00	\$4,972.50	\$877.50	\$0.00
GPS Vehicle Navigation Systems	5 Item	\$85.00	100.0000	\$425.00	\$361.00	\$64.00	\$0.00
Printers	45 Item	\$490.00	100.0000	\$22,050.00	\$18,742.00	\$3,308.00	\$0.00
Thermal Paper	1 Rolls	\$3,000.00	100.0000	\$3,000.00	\$2,550.00	\$450.00	\$0.00
MOE State Supplies	1 Varies	\$874,640.00	0.0000	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$874,640.00
Cordless Portable Lighting Systems	20 Tower	\$260.00	100.0000	\$5,200.00	\$4,420.00	\$780.00	\$0.00
Flashlights	125 Unit	\$109.00	100.0000	\$13,625.00	\$11,581.25	\$2,043.75	\$0.00
Tint Meters	10 Item	\$115.00	100.0000	\$1,150.00	\$977.62	\$172.38	\$0.00
TOTAL: Supplies				\$978,420.50	\$831,654.50	\$146,766.00	\$874,640.00

Enter a detailed explanation of how the supply costs were derived and allocated to the MCSAP project.

Spending Plan: Supplies MCSAP

Laptop, Desktop or Tablet Computers with Accessories

(As part of three year replacement interval)

50 @ \$1,450 = \$72,500

Mobile Laptop Computers

(As part of three year replacement interval)

34 @ \$1,800 = \$61,200

Tablet Computers

5 @ \$650 = \$3,250

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations

(49 CFR 390 et seq)

1,925 books @ \$9 = \$27,600

Federal Hazardous Material Regulations

(49 CFR 100-185)

1,375 books @ \$17.50 = \$24,062.5

DVD-RW's

(For the WatchGuard in-car video system to document commercial vehicle inspection and drug and alcohol enforcement activities)

600 spindles of 100 DVD-RW's @ \$46 = \$18,400

Cargo Seals – High and Standard Security

(To re-seal transport vehicles after FMCSR/HMR related inspections)

35,000 @ \$.45 = \$15,750

Gloves – High Quality

(To conduct commercial vehicle inspections)

1,400 pair @ \$19 = \$26,600

State Challenge Inspection Competition Supplies

which includes all training materials needed for testing modules and event supplies.

\$15,000

CVSA Out-of-Service Criteria Pictorial Handbooks

900 @ \$27 = \$24,300

Office Supplies, Basic Tools and Furniture

for personnel that administer the MCSAP program to include commissioned and non-commissioned staff throughout the state.

559 personnel @ \$100 = \$55,900

Adobe Professional Software Licenses

(To process CR, NE, Data Qs, and other CVE related documents)

150 @ \$300 = \$45,000

Other Essential Software Licenses

(To enhance security of data on laptop computers)

559 @ \$50 = \$27,950

Magnetic Strip Readers

(To ensure CDL data is captured accurately roadside)

240 @ \$55 = \$ 13,200

Safety Glasses

(For eye protection while conducting inspections)

900 @ \$6.50 = \$ 5,850

USB Flash Drives

55 @ \$35 = \$ 1,925

GPS Vehicle Navigation Systems

5 @ \$85 = \$425

Printers

(To print CVE Inspection Reports and documents)

45 @ \$490 = \$22,050

Thermal Paper

(For performing Inspections)

\$3,000

Televisions

(For CVE supervisors to review inspection contacts as well as alcohol and drug related cases pertaining to commercial vehicle operation to ensure proper procedures are followed and to enhance the overall quality of inspection activities. Officers' primary duty is the inspection of CMVs. Supervisory review of activities is essential to inspectors' professional development)

6 @ \$280 = \$1,680

Digital Cameras with Cases and Data Cards

(To document inspection defects for court & training)

25 @ \$294 = \$7,350

Out of Service Stickers

(Sticker to be placed on OOS vehicles as required)

15,000 @ \$0.25 = \$3,750

Portable Document Scanners

(To scan CRs and other CVE related documents)

30 @ \$1,200 = \$ 36,000

Maintenance Items for Portable Printers

(Ink Cartridges and Drums)

920 cartridges @ \$75 = \$69,000

Training Supplies

(For all schools listed in the Training Plan)

\$15,000

Portable Scales

(To weigh vehicles to ensure compliance with tire weight rating limitations in the FMCSR and other related weight laws. A CVSA level of inspection will be conducted and documented on all CMVs weighed with these portable scales). Will be part of the state's incentive allocation.

12 @ \$4,995 = \$59,940

Radar Detector Detectors

(To enforce FMCSRs related to the possession and use of radar detectors). Will be part of the state's incentive allocation.

5 @ \$1,300 = \$ 6,500

Bolt Cutters

(To enable inspectors to cut seals and locks on trailers in order to determine load securement)

10 @ \$85 = \$ 850

Portable Breath Testers

(To enable inspectors to determine driver compliance with alcohol related state laws and federal regulations)

5 @ \$330 = \$ 1,650

Tint Meters

(To enable inspectors to check compliance)

10 @ \$115 = \$ 1,150

Wheel Chocks

(To secure commercial vehicles for level one inspections)

50 Sets @ \$31 = \$ 3,100

Inspection Creepers

(For performing inspections of CMV undercarriages)

100 @ \$45 = \$4,500

Speed Detection Equipment (Radar, LIDAR)

To enforce CMV speed laws throughout the state

55 @ \$2,950 = \$162,250

Other Inspection Related Tools and Supplies

For commissioned and non-commissioned inspectors

559 @ \$25 = \$ 27,950

Uniforms Commissioned

For commissioned MCSAP funded officers

103 @ \$350 = \$ 13,975

Uniforms for Non-commissioned

For commissioned MCSAP funded inspectors and investigators

225 @ \$95 = \$ 21,375

Ammunition and Firearms Supplies

For Commissioned Trooper MCSAP Inspectors

412 @ \$35 = \$ 14,420

Cordless Portable Lighting Systems

For Inspection Stations

20 @ \$260 = \$5,200

Flashlights

For performing inspections during night operations

125 @ \$109 = \$13,625

Stop Sticks and other Specifically Law Enforcement Related Equipment

Vehicle Pursuit Stopping System for Fully Funded Commissioned Employees

3 @ \$506 = \$1,518

Vehicle Fuel

For MCASP Activity Employees' Vehicles

59 @ \$1,800 = \$106,200

Educational Handouts (Public Education)

Public education and awareness activities are essential in order to increase the level of compliance with safety regulations. This program is needed in light of the increased emphasis placed on the Compliance Review Program in order to educate carriers who are less familiar with the Compliance – Safety – Accountability (CSA) program. The Department plans to distribute publications on “A Texas Motor Carrier’s Guide to Highway Safety” and “A Texas Guide to Farm Vehicle Compliance,” commercial vehicle safety requirements for farm industry transportation, and driver and vehicle requirements for commercial transportation in Texas.

Cost to Produce and Ship Educational Materials

(32,000 @ Approximately \$.25 / Publication) = \$8,000

Allocated Budget = \$1,002,020.50

Part 4 Section 7 - Contractual and Subaward

This section includes contractual costs and subawards to subrecipients. Use the table below to capture the information needed for both contractual agreements and subawards. The definitions of these terms are provided so the instrument type can be entered into the table below.

Contractual – A contract is a legal instrument by which a non-Federal entity purchases property or services needed to carry out the project or program under a Federal award ([2 CFR §200.22](#)). All contracts issued under a Federal award must comply with the standards described in [2 CFR §200 Procurement Standards](#).

Note: Contracts are separate and distinct from subawards; see [2 CFR §200.330](#) for details.

Subaward – A subaward is an award provided by a pass-through entity to a subrecipient for the subrecipient to carry out part of a Federal award received by the pass-through entity. It does not include payments to a contractor or payments to an individual that is a beneficiary of a Federal program. A subaward may be provided through any form of legal agreement, including an agreement that the pass-through entity considers a contract ([2 CFR §200.92](#) and [2 CFR §200.330](#)).

Subrecipient - Subrecipient means a non-Federal entity that receives a subaward from a pass-through entity to carry out part of a Federal program, but does not include an individual who is a beneficiary of such program. A subrecipient may also be a recipient of other Federal awards directly from a Federal awarding agency ([2 CFR §200.93](#)).

Enter the legal name of the vendor or subrecipient if known. If unknown at this time, please indicate 'unknown' in the legal name field. Include a description of services for each contract or subaward listed in the table. Entering a statement such as "contractual services" with no description will not be considered meeting the requirement for completing this section.

Enter the DUNS or EIN number of each entity. There is a drop-down option to choose either DUNS or EIN, and then the State must enter the corresponding identification number.

Select the Instrument Type by choosing either Contract or Subaward for each entity.

Total Project Costs should be determined by State users and input in the table below. The tool does not automatically calculate the total project costs for this budget category.

Operations and Maintenance-If the State plans to include O&M costs that meet the definition of a contractual or subaward cost, details must be provided in the table and narrative below.

Please describe the activities these costs will be using to support (i.e., ITD, PRISM, SSDQ or other services.)

Contractual and Subaward Project Costs							
Legal Name	DUNS/EIN Number	Instrument Type	% of Time on MCSAP Grant	Total Project Costs (Federal + State)	Federal Share	State Share	MOE
MOE - Contractual	DUNS 0	Contract	0.0000	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$10,543.00
Description of Services: MOE							
Lease of Portable Modular Building at Austin	EIN 0	Contract	100.0000	\$65,000.00	\$55,250.00	\$9,750.00	\$0.00
Description of Services: Office to House NE and CR Investigators and Storage for Training							
Lease of Portable Modular Buildings at Border Sites	EIN 0	Contract	100.0000	\$364,000.00	\$309,400.00	\$54,600.00	\$0.00
Description of Services: To Office Inspectors and to To act as Inspection Facilities (Los Indios and World Trade Bridge)							
Lease of Office Facilities in Laredo	EIN 742677884	Contract	100.0000	\$44,600.00	\$37,910.00	\$6,690.00	\$0.00
Description of Services: To office Laredo NE and CR investigators							
Facility Operations and Maintenance (O&M)	EIN 0	Contract	100.0000	\$260,800.00	\$221,680.00	\$39,120.00	\$0.00
Description of Services: For readers located at fixed inspection facilities and on main lane highways							
Replacement Set Up and Lease of New Portable Building Inspection Facility	EIN 0	Contract	100.0000	\$262,000.00	\$222,700.00	\$39,300.00	\$0.00
Description of Services: To office inspectors and to act as inspection facility. Price includes make ready and yearly lease at Del Rio, Mount Pleasant or Queen City TBD							
Janitorial Contracts	DUNS 0	Contract	100.0000	\$23,600.00	\$20,062.36	\$3,537.64	\$0.00
Description of Services: At Inspection Facilities for CMV Inspectors							
TOTAL: Contractual and Subaward				\$1,020,000.00	\$867,002.36	\$152,997.64	\$10,543.00

Enter a detailed explanation of how the contractual and subaward costs were derived and allocated to the MCSAP project.

Spending Plan: Contractual

Note: Contracts entered in to by the Texas Department of Public Safety follow a careful and standardized procurement process and are reviewed at multiple managerial levels as well as by the Office of General Council when appropriate to ensure vendors a fair and equitable opportunity to enter in to an arm's length association for obtaining goods and services.

Lease of Portable Modular Buildings at Austin

For Training and to Office NE/CR Investigators and Storage for Training
\$65,000

Lease of Portable Modular Buildings at Border Sites and Throughout the State

To office inspectors and to act as inspection facilities
\$364,000

Janitorial Contracts at Inspection Facilities

These office CMV inspectors
\$ 23,600

Lease of Office Facilities in Laredo

To office San Antonio NE and CR investigators
\$44,600

Fabrication, delivery, set-up, utilities connect and lease of two New Portable Buildings at Facility Locations TBD
To office inspectors and to act as inspection facility and includes make ready and yearly lease
\$262,000

Operations and Maintenance (O&M)

Screening and Main Lane Sorting Systems -LPR, DOT-R, Tire Anomaly, Over-Height and WIM Maintenance
Agreements at Falfurrias Inspection Facility (ITD 2018)
\$71,800

Screening and Sorting Systems -LPR, DOT-R, Thermal Imaging (ATIS), Over-Height and WIM Maintenance
Agreements at Kingsbury/Seguin Inspection Facility (ITD 2016)
\$82,000

Screening and Sorting Systems – LPR, DOT-R, Over-height detection maintenance agreements at New Waverly and
Devine NB and SB Inspection Facilities (PRISM Screening, Credentialing and OOS Detection)
\$52,000

Screening Systems -LPR, DOT-R, Maintenance Agreements at Queen City Inspection Facility (PRISM Screening,
Credentialing and OOS Detection)
\$29,000

Screening Systems -LPR, DOT-R, Maintenance Agreements at Riviera Inspection Facility (PRISM Screening,
Credentialing and OOS Detection)
\$26,000

Total Operations and Maintenance = \$260,800

Total Contractual Costs = \$996,400.00

Part 4 Section 8 - Other Costs

Other Costs are those not classified elsewhere and are allocable to the Federal award. These costs must be specifically itemized and described. The total costs and allocation bases must be explained in the narrative. Examples of Other Costs (typically non-tangible) may include utilities, leased property or equipment, fuel for vehicles, employee training tuition, meeting registration costs, etc. The quantity, unit of measurement (e.g., monthly, annually, each, etc.), unit cost, and percentage of time on MCSAP grant must be included.

Operations and Maintenance—If the State plans to include O&M costs that do not meet the definition of a contractual or subaward cost, details must be provided in the table and narrative below. Please identify these costs as ITD O&M, PRISM O&M, or SSDQ O&M. Sufficient detail must be provided in the narrative that explains what components of the specific program are being addressed by the O&M costs.

Enter a description of each requested Other Cost.

Enter the number of items/units, the unit of measurement, the cost per unit/item, and the percentage of time dedicated to the MCSAP grant for each Other Cost listed. Show the cost of the Other Costs and the portion of the total cost that will be billed to MCSAP. For example, you intend to purchase air cards for \$2,000 to be shared equally among five programs, including MCSAP. The MCSAP portion of the total cost is \$400.

Total Project Costs equal the Number of Units x Cost per Item x Percentage of Time on MCSAP grant.

Indirect Costs

Information on Indirect Costs ([2 CFR §200.56](#)) is captured in this section. This cost is allowable only when an approved indirect cost rate agreement has been provided in the “My Documents” area in the eCVSP tool and through Grants.gov. Applicants may charge up to the total amount of the approved indirect cost rate multiplied by the eligible cost base. Applicants with a cost basis of salaries/wages and fringe benefits may only apply the indirect rate to those expenses. Applicants with an expense base of modified total direct costs (MTDC) may only apply the rate to those costs that are included in the MTDC base ([2 CFR §200.68](#)).

- **Cost Basis** — is the accumulated direct costs (normally either total direct salaries and wages or total direct costs exclusive of any extraordinary or distorting expenditures) used to distribute indirect costs to individual Federal awards. The direct cost base selected should result in each Federal award bearing a fair share of the indirect costs in reasonable relation to the benefits received from the costs.
- **Approved Rate** — is the rate in the approved Indirect Cost Rate Agreement.
- **Eligible Indirect Expenses** — means after direct costs have been determined and assigned directly to Federal awards and other activities as appropriate. Indirect costs are those remaining to be allocated to benefitted cost objectives. A cost may not be allocated to a Federal award as an indirect cost if any other cost incurred for the same purpose, in like circumstances, has been assigned to a Federal award as a direct cost.
- **Total Indirect Costs** equal Approved Rate x Eligible Indirect Expenses divided by 100.

Your State will not claim reimbursement for Indirect Costs.

Other Costs Project Costs							
Item Name	# of Units/ Unit of Measurement	Cost per Unit	% of Time on MCSAP Grant	Total Project Costs (Federal + State)	Federal Share	State Share	MOE
MOE State Other	1 NA	\$423,209.00	0.0000	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$423,209.00
Computer Network Upgrades	1 Varies	\$39,000.00	100.0000	\$39,000.00	\$33,150.00	\$5,850.00	\$0.00
Registration Fees State CMV Conference	75 Fee	\$200.00	100.0000	\$15,000.00	\$12,750.00	\$2,250.00	\$0.00
Utilities	1 Varies	\$90,000.00	100.0000	\$90,000.00	\$76,500.00	\$13,500.00	\$0.00
Commercial Vehicle Safety Alliance Participation	1 Annual Membership Du	\$19,100.00	100.0000	\$19,100.00	\$16,235.00	\$2,865.00	\$0.00
CVSA Inspection Decals	100000 Decal	\$0.32	100.0000	\$32,000.00	\$27,200.00	\$4,800.00	\$0.00
Registration Fees CVSA	24 Fee	\$500.00	100.0000	\$12,000.00	\$10,200.00	\$1,800.00	\$0.00
Registration Fees DIAP	6 Fee	\$1,000.00	100.0000	\$6,000.00	\$5,100.00	\$900.00	\$0.00
Cellular Phone Service Contracts	52 Contract	\$591.00	100.0000	\$30,732.00	\$26,122.00	\$4,610.00	\$0.00
Cellular Air Card Contracts	3 Contract	\$519.00	100.0000	\$1,557.00	\$1,323.00	\$234.00	\$0.00
Pest Control and Other Reoccurring Maintenance Costs	1 Varies	\$12,500.00	100.0000	\$12,500.00	\$10,625.00	\$1,875.00	\$0.00
Employee Drug Testing, Background Check, Foreign Language Testing and Other Administrative Costs	64 Test	\$60.00	100.0000	\$3,840.00	\$3,264.00	\$576.00	\$0.00
Postage and Shipping	1 Varies	\$5,345.00	100.0000	\$5,345.00	\$4,543.00	\$802.00	\$0.00
Vehicle Maintenance Costs	1 Varies	\$39,000.00	100.0000	\$39,000.00	\$33,150.00	\$5,850.00	\$0.00
Maintenance/Improvements to Inspection Facility and CVE Office Infrastructure	1 Varies	\$75,000.00	100.0000	\$75,000.00	\$63,750.00	\$11,250.00	\$0.00
TOTAL: Other Costs				\$381,074.00	\$323,912.00	\$57,162.00	\$423,209.00

Enter a detailed explanation of how the 'other' costs were derived and allocated to the MCSAP project.
Spending Plan: Other

Commercial Vehicle Safety Alliance Participation

The Department will continue to be an active member of CVSA.

Annual Membership Dues = \$19,100

CVSA Inspection Decals

100,000 decals @ 0.32 = \$32,000

Registration Fees CVSA

For CVSA Related Conferences

24 @ \$500= \$12,000

Registration Fees

Outside MCSAP Related Training including (Drug Interdiction Assistance Program) DIAP

\$6,000

Registration Fees-State CMV Conference – (TXTA Conference, State Challenge)

Registration fees are utilized by enforcement attendees at conferences and could be utilized for any state trucking association event. Primarily, the Texas Trucking Association is the state's principal motor carrier alliance and hosts the cooperative State Trucking Competition and agency Challenge, an educational event for both industry and enforcement personnel.

75 @ \$200 = \$15,000

Cellular Phone Service Contracts

For Grant funded investigators and supervisors to conduct MCSAP activities

52 contracts at \$591 =\$ 30,732

Cellular Air Card Contracts

To conduct MCSAP work related activities

3 contracts at \$522 =\$1,566

Landline Phone Services

To conduct MCSAP work related activities

\$5,125

Pest Control and Other Reoccurring Facility Maintenance Costs

For facilities used to office MCSAP funded employees

\$12,500

Employee Drug Testing, Background Check, Foreign Language Testing and Other Administrative Costs

For MCSAP funded employees

64 @ \$60 = \$ 3,840

Postage and Shipping

for the Motor Carrier Bureau to mail compliance review and other MCSAP related correspondence

\$5,345

Vehicle Maintenance Costs

For commissioned personnel assigned vehicles to include service, inspection, periodic replacement or brakes, tires, belts, hoses and other permissible essentials. Cost estimated based on previous years.

\$39,000

Utilities

To include including electric, water, wastewater, internet, janitorial and other ongoing costs at Border Safety Inspection Facilities, Devine weigh strip facility along IH-35 crash corridor and MCSAP training classroom at Austin. Cost estimated based on previous years.

\$90,000

Computer Network Upgrades

At CVE Offices and Inspection Facilities

\$39,000

Maintenance/Improvements to Inspection Facility and CVE Office Infrastructure

To include items approved on a case by case basis within the boundaries of recent policy revision regarding infrastructure improvements.

\$75,000

Allocated Budget = \$381,074.00

Part 4 Section 9 - Comprehensive Spending Plan

The Comprehensive Spending Plan is auto-populated from all line items in the tables and is in read-only format. Changes to the Comprehensive Spending Plan will only be reflected by updating the individual budget category table(s).

ESTIMATED Fiscal Year Funding Amounts for MCSAP			
	85.01% Federal Share	14.99% State Share	Total Estimated Funding
Total	\$30,432,727.00	\$5,370,060.00	\$35,802,787.00

Summary of MCSAP Funding Limitations	
Allowable amount for Overtime without written justification (14.99% of Basic Award Amount):	\$5,370,060.00
MOE Baseline:	\$8,268,560.93

Estimated Expenditures				
Personnel				
	Federal Share	State Share	Total Project Costs (Federal + Share)	MOE
Noncommissioned CVE Trainers	\$277,784.00	\$49,021.00	\$326,805.00	\$0.00
Inspector Non-Commissioned Inspection Hours	\$1,592,883.00	\$281,097.00	\$1,873,980.00	\$0.00
Trooper Traffic Enforcement Hours	\$296,565.00	\$52,335.00	\$348,900.00	\$0.00
Investigator Compliance Review Hours	\$491,725.00	\$86,775.00	\$578,500.00	\$0.00
Administrative Assistants	\$437,350.50	\$77,179.50	\$514,530.00	\$0.00
Fiscal Affairs Administrators	\$101,694.00	\$17,946.00	\$119,640.00	\$0.00
Training Program Supervisor	\$33,017.00	\$5,827.00	\$38,844.00	\$0.00
New Entrant Audit Section Evaluators	\$174,940.00	\$30,872.00	\$205,812.00	\$0.00
Database Administrator B26	\$64,589.97	\$11,398.23	\$75,988.20	\$0.00
Database Administrator B20	\$78,688.10	\$13,886.14	\$92,574.24	\$0.00
Programmer V	\$86,138.80	\$15,200.96	\$101,339.76	\$0.00
Programmer IV	\$76,766.42	\$13,547.02	\$90,313.44	\$0.00
Investigator New Entrant Audit Hours	\$118,203.13	\$20,859.37	\$139,062.50	\$0.00
Trooper Out-of-Service Verification Hours	\$29,656.50	\$5,233.50	\$34,890.00	\$0.00
Trooper and Inspector Train-the-Trainer Hours	\$35,587.80	\$6,280.20	\$41,868.00	\$0.00
Commissioned CVE Troopers	\$3,947,264.00	\$696,576.00	\$4,643,840.00	\$0.00
Civilian CVE Inspectors	\$3,722,347.00	\$656,885.00	\$4,379,232.00	\$0.00
Civilian CR/NE Investigators	\$944,255.00	\$166,633.00	\$1,110,888.00	\$0.00
Commissioned CVE Line Sergeants	\$958,402.00	\$169,130.00	\$1,127,532.00	\$0.00
Commissioned CVE Line Lieutenants	\$151,776.00	\$26,784.00	\$178,560.00	\$0.00
Assistant State Commercial Vehicle Safety Coordinator	\$94,236.00	\$16,630.00	\$110,866.00	\$0.00
NE/CR Program Coordinators	\$151,765.12	\$26,782.08	\$178,547.20	\$0.00
Trooper NAS (Part A) Training Hrs	\$213,526.80	\$37,681.20	\$251,208.00	\$0.00
Trooper NAS (Part B) Training Hrs	\$213,526.80	\$37,681.20	\$251,208.00	\$0.00
Trooper General Hazardous Materials Training Hrs	\$177,939.00	\$31,401.00	\$209,340.00	\$0.00
Trooper Cargo Tank Inspection Training Hrs	\$124,557.30	\$21,980.70	\$146,538.00	\$0.00
Trooper Other Bulk Packaging Training Hrs	\$74,734.38	\$13,188.42	\$87,922.80	\$0.00
Investigator Compliance Review Training Hrs	\$72,624.00	\$12,816.00	\$85,440.00	\$0.00
Trooper and Inspector Passenger Vehicle Training Hrs	\$51,246.43	\$9,043.49	\$60,289.92	\$0.00
CVE MCSAP Trainers	\$342,291.00	\$60,404.00	\$402,695.00	\$0.00
Commissioned Trooper Inspection Hours	\$3,855,345.00	\$680,355.00	\$4,535,700.00	\$0.00
MOE State Personnel	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$12,145,984.00
Civilian CVE Inspector Supervisors	\$88,893.26	\$15,674.74	\$104,568.00	\$0.00
Salary Subtotal	\$19,080,318.31	\$3,367,103.75	\$22,447,422.06	\$12,145,984.00
Overtime for all Positions and Projects	\$1,875,460.00	\$330,588.00	\$2,206,048.00	\$0.00
Overtime subtotal	\$1,875,460.00	\$330,588.00	\$2,206,048.00	\$0.00
Personnel total	\$20,955,778.31	\$3,697,691.75	\$24,653,470.06	\$12,145,984.00

Fringe Benefits				
	Federal Share	State Share	Total Project Costs (Federal + State)	MOE
MOE Fringe Benefits	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$220,973.00
All Positions and Hours Billed	\$6,378,547.20	\$1,125,625.97	\$7,504,173.17	\$0.00
Fringe Benefits total	\$6,378,547.20	\$1,125,625.97	\$7,504,173.17	\$220,973.00

Travel				
	Federal Share	State Share	Total Project Costs (Federal + State)	MOE
New Entrant Audit Training	\$14,450.00	\$2,550.00	\$17,000.00	\$0.00
Passenger Vehicle Inspection School	\$6,893.50	\$1,216.50	\$8,110.00	\$0.00
NAS for HP – Part B School Only	\$17,340.00	\$3,060.00	\$20,400.00	\$0.00
Intrastate Motor Carrier Safety School “Texas Part C”	\$408.00	\$72.00	\$480.00	\$0.00
NAS Level I Annual Recertification School	\$57,800.00	\$10,200.00	\$68,000.00	\$0.00
Level VI Certification School	\$1,387.20	\$244.80	\$1,632.00	\$0.00
NAS Level VI Annual Recertification School	\$346.80	\$61.20	\$408.00	\$0.00
CVSA Workshop OOS	\$15,300.00	\$2,700.00	\$18,000.00	\$0.00
CVSA Annual Conference OOS	\$15,300.00	\$2,700.00	\$18,000.00	\$0.00
North American Inspectors Championship	\$3,060.00	\$540.00	\$3,600.00	\$0.00
COHMED Conference OOS	\$12,750.00	\$2,250.00	\$15,000.00	\$0.00
Roadcheck 2020 Enforcement	\$26,010.00	\$4,590.00	\$30,600.00	\$0.00
Interdiction Training Travel (DIAP) OOS	\$13,600.00	\$2,400.00	\$16,000.00	\$0.00
Intrastate Instructor Travel	\$13,600.00	\$2,400.00	\$16,000.00	\$0.00
Compliance Review Related Travel	\$42,500.00	\$7,500.00	\$50,000.00	\$0.00
New Entrant Audit Related Travel	\$42,500.00	\$7,500.00	\$50,000.00	\$0.00
Miscellaneous MCSAP Operations Related Travel	\$59,500.00	\$10,500.00	\$70,000.00	\$0.00
IH-35 Task Force	\$13,872.00	\$2,448.00	\$16,320.00	\$0.00
District Task Force Operations	\$36,992.00	\$6,528.00	\$43,520.00	\$0.00
MOE State Travel	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$327,860.00
North American Standard (Part A) School	\$34,680.00	\$6,120.00	\$40,800.00	\$0.00
North American Standard (Part B) School	\$34,680.00	\$6,120.00	\$40,800.00	\$0.00
General Hazardous Materials School	\$28,900.00	\$5,100.00	\$34,000.00	\$0.00
Cargo Tank Inspection School	\$28,900.00	\$5,100.00	\$34,000.00	\$0.00
Other Bulk Packaging School	\$11,560.00	\$2,040.00	\$13,600.00	\$0.00
Compliance Review Investigator School	\$14,450.00	\$2,550.00	\$17,000.00	\$0.00
Texas CMV Safety Summit	\$7,650.00	\$1,350.00	\$9,000.00	\$0.00
Travel total	\$554,429.50	\$97,840.50	\$652,270.00	\$327,860.00

Equipment				
	Federal Share	State Share	Total Project Costs (Federal + State)	MOE
Scale Calibration Press	\$21,971.65	\$3,877.35	\$25,849.00	\$0.00
Bus Ramps	\$10,200.00	\$1,800.00	\$12,000.00	\$0.00
MOE State Equipment	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Portable Inspection Trailer	\$10,625.00	\$1,875.00	\$12,500.00	\$0.00
Officer Vehicles	\$51,385.90	\$9,068.10	\$60,454.00	\$0.00
Patrol Vehicle Make Ready Equipment	\$33,593.70	\$5,928.30	\$39,522.00	\$0.00
Supervisory Vehicles	\$25,692.95	\$4,534.05	\$30,227.00	\$0.00
Supervisory Vehicle Make Ready Equipment	\$8,088.60	\$1,427.40	\$9,516.00	\$0.00
Semi Portable Scales w/ Trailer	\$90,100.00	\$15,900.00	\$106,000.00	\$0.00
Computer Servers	\$19,380.00	\$3,420.00	\$22,800.00	\$0.00
ATIS	\$221,026.00	\$38,974.00	\$260,000.00	\$0.00
ATPS	\$29,338.03	\$5,173.24	\$34,511.27	\$0.00
Equipment total	\$521,401.83	\$91,977.44	\$613,379.27	\$0.00

Supplies				
	Federal Share	State Share	Total Project Costs (Federal + State)	MOE
Stop Sticks	\$1,290.00	\$228.00	\$1,518.00	\$0.00
Ammunition and Firearms Supplies	\$12,257.00	\$2,163.00	\$14,420.00	\$0.00
Vehicle Fuel	\$70,210.00	\$12,390.00	\$82,600.00	\$0.00
Uniforms Commissioned	\$30,642.50	\$5,407.50	\$36,050.00	\$0.00
Uniforms for Non-commissioned	\$18,168.75	\$3,206.25	\$21,375.00	\$0.00
Educational Handouts (Public Education)	\$6,800.00	\$1,200.00	\$8,000.00	\$0.00
Computers with Accessories	\$61,625.00	\$10,875.00	\$72,500.00	\$0.00
Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations	\$14,726.25	\$2,598.75	\$17,325.00	\$0.00
Federal Hazardous Material Regulations	\$20,453.13	\$3,609.37	\$24,062.50	\$0.00
DVD-RW's	\$23,460.00	\$4,140.00	\$27,600.00	\$0.00
Cargo Seals – High and Standard Security	\$13,387.50	\$2,362.50	\$15,750.00	\$0.00
Televisions	\$1,428.00	\$252.00	\$1,680.00	\$0.00
Digital Cameras with Data Cards	\$6,247.00	\$1,103.00	\$7,350.00	\$0.00
Out of Service Stickers	\$3,187.50	\$562.50	\$3,750.00	\$0.00
Portable Document Scanners	\$30,600.00	\$5,400.00	\$36,000.00	\$0.00
Maintenance Items for Portable Printers	\$58,650.00	\$10,350.00	\$69,000.00	\$0.00
Training Supplies	\$12,750.00	\$2,250.00	\$15,000.00	\$0.00
Portable Scales	\$50,949.00	\$8,991.00	\$59,940.00	\$0.00
Radar Detector Detectors	\$5,525.00	\$975.00	\$6,500.00	\$0.00
Bolt Cutters	\$722.00	\$128.00	\$850.00	\$0.00
Portable Breath Testers	\$1,402.00	\$248.00	\$1,650.00	\$0.00
Wheel Chocks	\$1,317.50	\$232.50	\$1,550.00	\$0.00
Inspection Creepers	\$3,825.00	\$675.00	\$4,500.00	\$0.00
Speed Detection Equipment (Radar, LIDAR)	\$137,912.00	\$24,338.00	\$162,250.00	\$0.00
Other Inspection Related Tools and Supplies	\$23,757.50	\$4,192.50	\$27,950.00	\$0.00
Gloves – High Quality	\$22,610.00	\$3,990.00	\$26,600.00	\$0.00
State Challenge Inspection Competition Supplies	\$12,750.00	\$2,250.00	\$15,000.00	\$0.00
CVSA Out-of-Service Criteria Pictorial Handbooks	\$20,655.00	\$3,645.00	\$24,300.00	\$0.00
Office Supplies, Basic Tools and Furniture	\$23,757.50	\$4,192.50	\$27,950.00	\$0.00
Other Essential Software Licenses	\$47,515.00	\$8,385.00	\$55,900.00	\$0.00
Adobe Professional Software Licenses	\$38,250.00	\$6,750.00	\$45,000.00	\$0.00
Magnetic Strip Readers	\$11,220.00	\$1,980.00	\$13,200.00	\$0.00
Safety Glasses	\$4,972.50	\$877.50	\$5,850.00	\$0.00
GPS Vehicle Navigation Systems	\$361.00	\$64.00	\$425.00	\$0.00
Printers	\$18,742.00	\$3,308.00	\$22,050.00	\$0.00
Thermal Paper	\$2,550.00	\$450.00	\$3,000.00	\$0.00
MOE State Supplies	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$874,640.00
Cordless Portable Lighting Systems	\$4,420.00	\$780.00	\$5,200.00	\$0.00
Flashlights	\$11,581.25	\$2,043.75	\$13,625.00	\$0.00
Tint Meters	\$977.62	\$172.38	\$1,150.00	\$0.00
Supplies total	\$831,654.50	\$146,766.00	\$978,420.50	\$874,640.00

Contractual and Subaward				
	Federal Share	State Share	Total Project Costs (Federal + State)	MOE
MOE - Contractual	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$10,543.00
Lease of Portable Modular Building at Austin	\$55,250.00	\$9,750.00	\$65,000.00	\$0.00
Lease of Portable Modular Buildings at Border Sites	\$309,400.00	\$54,600.00	\$364,000.00	\$0.00
Lease of Office Facilities in Laredo	\$37,910.00	\$6,690.00	\$44,600.00	\$0.00
Facility Operations and Maintenance (O&M)	\$221,680.00	\$39,120.00	\$260,800.00	\$0.00
Replacement Set Up and Lease of New Portable Building Inspection Facility	\$222,700.00	\$39,300.00	\$262,000.00	\$0.00
Janitorial Contracts	\$20,062.36	\$3,537.64	\$23,600.00	\$0.00
Contractual and Subaward total	\$867,002.36	\$152,997.64	\$1,020,000.00	\$10,543.00

Other Costs				
	Federal Share	State Share	Total Project Costs (Federal + State)	MOE
MOE State Other	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$423,209.00
Computer Network Upgrades	\$33,150.00	\$5,850.00	\$39,000.00	\$0.00
Registration Fees State CMV Conference	\$12,750.00	\$2,250.00	\$15,000.00	\$0.00
Utilities	\$76,500.00	\$13,500.00	\$90,000.00	\$0.00
Commercial Vehicle Safety Alliance Participation	\$16,235.00	\$2,865.00	\$19,100.00	\$0.00
CVSA Inspection Decals	\$27,200.00	\$4,800.00	\$32,000.00	\$0.00
Registration Fees CVSA	\$10,200.00	\$1,800.00	\$12,000.00	\$0.00
Registration Fees DIAP	\$5,100.00	\$900.00	\$6,000.00	\$0.00
Cellular Phone Service Contracts	\$26,122.00	\$4,610.00	\$30,732.00	\$0.00
Cellular Air Card Contracts	\$1,323.00	\$234.00	\$1,557.00	\$0.00
Pest Control and Other Reoccurring Maintenance Costs	\$10,625.00	\$1,875.00	\$12,500.00	\$0.00
Employee Drug Testing, Background Check, Foreign Language Testing and Other Administrative Costs	\$3,264.00	\$576.00	\$3,840.00	\$0.00
Postage and Shipping	\$4,543.00	\$802.00	\$5,345.00	\$0.00
Vehicle Maintenance Costs	\$33,150.00	\$5,850.00	\$39,000.00	\$0.00
Maintenance/Improvements to Inspection Facility and CVE Office Infrastructure	\$63,750.00	\$11,250.00	\$75,000.00	\$0.00
Other Costs total	\$323,912.00	\$57,162.00	\$381,074.00	\$423,209.00

Total Costs				
	Federal Share	State Share	Total Project Costs (Federal + State)	MOE
Subtotal for Direct Costs	\$30,432,725.70	\$5,370,061.30	\$35,802,787.00	\$14,003,209.00
Total Costs Budgeted	\$30,432,725.70	\$5,370,061.30	\$35,802,787.00	\$14,003,209.00

Part 4 Section 10 - Financial Summary

The Financial Summary is auto-populated by the system by budget category. It is a read-only document and can be used to complete the SF-424A in Grants.gov. Changes to the Financial Summary will only be reflected by updating the individual budget category table(s).

- The system will confirm that percentages for Federal and State shares are correct for Total Project Costs. The edit check is performed on the **"Total Costs Budgeted"** line only.
- The system will confirm that Planned MOE Costs equal or exceed FMCSA funding limitation. The edit check is performed on the **"Total Costs Budgeted"** line only.
- The system will confirm that the Overtime value does not exceed the FMCSA funding limitation. The edit check is performed on the **"Overtime subtotal"** line.

ESTIMATED Fiscal Year Funding Amounts for MCSAP			
	85.01% Federal Share	14.99% State Share	Total Estimated Funding
Total	\$30,432,727.00	\$5,370,060.00	\$35,802,787.00

Summary of MCSAP Funding Limitations	
Allowable amount for Overtime without written justification (14.99% of Basic Award Amount):	\$5,370,060.00
MOE Baseline:	\$8,268,560.93

Estimated Expenditures				
	Federal Share	State Share	Total Project Costs (Federal + State)	Planned MOE Costs
Salary Subtotal	\$19,080,318.31	\$3,367,103.75	\$22,447,422.06	\$12,145,984.00
Overtime Subtotal	\$1,875,460.00	\$330,588.00	\$2,206,048.00	\$0.00
Personnel Total	\$20,955,778.31	\$3,697,691.75	\$24,653,470.06	\$12,145,984.00
Fringe Benefits Total	\$6,378,547.20	\$1,125,625.97	\$7,504,173.17	\$220,973.00
Travel Total	\$554,429.50	\$97,840.50	\$652,270.00	\$327,860.00
Equipment Total	\$521,401.83	\$91,977.44	\$613,379.27	\$0.00
Supplies Total	\$831,654.50	\$146,766.00	\$978,420.50	\$874,640.00
Contractual and Subaward Total	\$867,002.36	\$152,997.64	\$1,020,000.00	\$10,543.00
Other Costs Total	\$323,912.00	\$57,162.00	\$381,074.00	\$423,209.00
	85.01% Federal Share	14.99% State Share	Total Project Costs (Federal + State)	Planned MOE Costs
Subtotal for Direct Costs	\$30,432,725.70	\$5,370,061.30	\$35,802,787.00	\$14,003,209.00
Indirect Costs	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	NA
Total Costs Budgeted	\$30,432,725.70	\$5,370,061.30	\$35,802,787.00	\$14,003,209.00

Part 5 - Certifications and Documents

Part 5 includes electronic versions of specific requirements, certifications and documents that a State must agree to as a condition of participation in MCSAP. The submission of the CVSP serves as official notice and certification of compliance with these requirements. State or States means all of the States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, American Samoa, Guam, and the Virgin Islands.

If the person submitting the CVSP does not have authority to certify these documents electronically, then the State must continue to upload the signed/certified form(s) through the "My Documents" area on the State's Dashboard page.

Part 5 Section 1 - State Certification

The State Certification will not be considered complete until the four questions and certification declaration are answered. Selecting 'no' in the declaration may impact your State's eligibility for MCSAP funding.

1. What is the name of the person certifying the declaration for your State? Chris Nordloh
2. What is this person's title? Major
3. Who is your Governor's highway safety representative? James M. Bass
4. What is this person's title? Executive Director

The State affirmatively accepts the State certification declaration written below by selecting 'yes'.

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

State Certification declaration:

I, Chris Nordloh, Major, on behalf of the State of TEXAS, as requested by the Administrator as a condition of approval of a grant under the authority of [49 U.S.C. § 31102](#), as amended, certify that the State satisfies all the conditions required for MCSAP funding, as specifically detailed in [49 C.F.R. § 350.211](#).

Part 5 Section 2 - Annual Review of Laws, Regulations, Policies and Compatibility Certification

You must answer all three questions and indicate your acceptance of the certification declaration. Selecting 'no' in the declaration may impact your State's eligibility for MCSAP funding.

1. What is the name of your certifying State official? Chris Nordloh
2. What is the title of your certifying State official? Major
3. What are the phone # and email address of your State official? 512-424-2775 Chris.Nordloh@dps.texas.gov

The State affirmatively accepts the compatibility certification declaration written below by selecting 'yes'.

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

I, Chris Nordloh, certify that the State has conducted the annual review of its laws and regulations for compatibility regarding commercial motor vehicle safety and that the State's safety laws remain compatible with the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations (49 CFR parts 390-397) and the Hazardous Materials Regulations (49 CFR parts 107 (subparts F and G only), 171-173, 177, 178, and 180) and standards and orders of the Federal government, except as may be determined by the Administrator to be inapplicable to a State enforcement program. For the purpose of this certification, Compatible means State laws or regulations pertaining to interstate commerce that are identical to the FMCSRs and HMRs or have the same effect as the FMCSRs and identical to the HMRs and for intrastate commerce rules identical to or within the tolerance guidelines for the FMCSRs and identical to the HMRs.

If there are any exceptions that should be noted to the above certification, include an explanation in the text box below.
NA

Part 5 Section 3 - New Laws/Legislation/Policy Impacting CMV Safety

Has the State adopted/enacted any new or updated laws (i.e., statutes) impacting CMV safety since the last CVSP or annual update was submitted?

☒ Yes ☐ No

In the table below, please provide the bill number and effective date of any new legislation. Include the code section which was changed because of the bill and provide a brief description of the legislation. Please include a statute number, hyperlink or URL, in the summary. Do NOT include the actual text of the Bill as that can be very lengthy.

Legislative Adoption			
Bill Number	Effective Date	Code Section Changed	Summary of Changes
Agency TRD#202000302	02/07/2020	Texas Administrative Code	The adopted amendments harmonize updates to 49CFR with those laws adopted by Texas. The Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration granted an exemption for interstate drivers of waste and recycle vehicles utilizing the short term hours of service exemption. This exemption allows them to return to their primary work-reporting location within 14 hours instead of the previous rule of 12 hours. This amendment adopts this same exemption for intrastate waste and recycling vehicle drivers.
Agency TRD#202000303	02/07/2020	Texas Administrative Code	The Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration requires carriers to submit valid positive results on alcohol and drug tests. Code of Federal Regulations, Title 49, Part 40 (adopted in Texas Administrative Code, §4.12 of this title, relating to Exemptions and Exceptions) requires that these results be signed by a medical review officer. This signature allows for investigators to validate positive results during a compliance review or safety audit. Currently, §4.21 does not specify "signed." The adopted change harmonizes the Texas Administrative Code with CFR Part 40 and makes the requirement clear for both industry and enforcement personnel.

Has the State adopted/enacted any new administrative actions or policies impacting CMV safety since the last CVSP?

☒ Yes ☐ No

In the table below, provide the section changed and the effective date of the administrative change or policy adoption. Include a brief description of the policy or action. Please include a hyperlink or URL, in the summary if available.

Administrative and Policy Adoption		
Section Changed	Effective Date	Summary of Changes
Texas Attorney General Opinion	06/10/2020	Opinion No. KP-0313 Re: Whether over-the-road buses traveling on interstate highways in Texas are subject to the tandem axle weight limitations established in Transportation Code subsection 621.101(a)(2) (RQ-0320-KP) Transportation Code subsection 621.101(a)(2) prohibits operation of a vehicle over or on a public highway if the vehicle has a tandem axle weight heavier than 34,000 pounds, consistent with the provision in 23 U.S.C. § 127(a)(2) also limiting the tandem axle weight of vehicles allowed to use interstate highways to 34,000 pounds. The exemption from the federal law for over-the-road buses does not preempt a state law imposing a 34,000-pound restriction otherwise applicable to those buses. Thus, over-the-road buses traveling on interstate highways in Texas are subject to the tandem axle weight limitations in Texas Transportation Code subsection 621.101(a)(2).

2 - Annual Review of Laws, Regulations, Policies and Compatibility Certification

You must answer all three questions and indicate your acceptance of the certification declaration. Selecting 'no' in the declaration may impact your State's eligibility for MCSAP funding.

1. What is the name of your certifying State official? Jeremy Christopher Nordloh
2. What is the title of your certifying State official? THP Major
3. What are the phone # and email address of your State official? 512-424-2775
Chris.Nordloh@dps.texas.gov

The State affirmatively accepts the compatibility certification declaration written below by selecting 'yes'.

Yes ☒

Yes, uploaded certification document

No

State Compatibility Review of Laws, Regulations, Standards, and Orders on CMV Safety Certification

I, Chris Nordloh, on behalf of the State of Texas have the authority to make the following certification on behalf of the State. I certify that the State has conducted the annual review required by 49 C.F.R. section 350.303 of its laws, regulations, standards, and orders on commercial motor vehicle (CMV) safety and that the State's safety laws, regulations, standards, and orders on CMV safety are compatible with the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations (49 C.F.R. parts 390, 391, 392, 393, 395, 396, and 397) and the Hazardous Material Regulations (49 C.F.R. parts 107 (subparts F and G only), 171-173, 177, 178, and 180), except as may be determined by the Administrator to be inapplicable to a State enforcement program.

For the purpose of this certification, *compatible* means State laws, regulations, standards, and orders on CMV safety that:

- (1) As applicable to interstate commerce not involving the movement of hazardous materials:
 - (i) Are identical to or have the same effect as the FMCSRs; or
 - (ii) If in addition to or more stringent than the FMCSRs, have a safety benefit, do not unreasonably frustrate the Federal goal of uniformity, and do not cause an unreasonable burden on interstate commerce when enforced;
- (2) As applicable to intrastate commerce not involving the movement of hazardous materials:
 - (i) Are identical to or have the same effect as the FMCSRs; or
 - (ii) Fall within the limited variances from the FMCSRs allowed under 49 C.F.R. sections 350.305 or 350.307; and
- (3) As applicable to interstate and intrastate commerce involving the movement of hazardous materials, are identical to the HMRs.

FY 2021 Certification of MCSAP Conformance (State Certification)

I Chris Nordloh, Texas Highway Patrol Major, on behalf of the State of Texas, as requested by the Administrator as a condition of approval of a grant under the authority of 49 U.S.C. § 31102, as amended, do hereby certify as follows:

1. The State has designated the Texas Department of Public Safety as the Lead State Agency to administer the Commercial Vehicle Safety Plan (CVSP) throughout the State for the grant sought and the Texas Department of Public Safety to perform defined functions under the CVSP.
2. The State has assumed responsibility for and adopted commercial motor carrier and highway hazardous materials safety regulations, standards and orders that are compatible with the FMCSRs and the HMRs, and the standards and orders of the Federal Government.
3. The State will cooperate in the enforcement of financial responsibility requirements under 49 C.F.R. part 387.
4. The State will enforce registration (i.e., operating authority) requirements under 49 U.S.C §§ 13902 and 31134 by prohibiting the operation of any vehicle discovered to be operating without the required registration or beyond the scope of the motor carrier's registration.
5. The laws of the State provide the State's enforcement officials right of entry (or other method a State may use that is adequate to obtain the necessary information) and inspection sufficient to carry out the purposes of the CVSP, as approved.
6. The Lead State Agency and any subrecipient of MCSAP funds have the legal authority, resources, and qualified personnel necessary to enforce the State's commercial motor carrier, driver, and highway hazardous materials safety laws, regulations, standards, and orders.
7. The State has undertaken efforts to emphasize and improve enforcement of State and local traffic laws as they pertain to CMV safety.
8. The State will obligate the funds or resources necessary to provide a matching share to the Federal assistance provided in the grant to administer the plan submitted and to enforce the State's commercial motor carrier safety, driver, and hazardous materials laws, regulations, standards, and orders in a manner consistent with the approved plan.
9. The State will maintain the maintenance of effort required under 49 C.F.R. § 350.225.
10. The State requires that all reports required in the CVSP be available to FMCSA upon request, meets the reporting requirements, and uses the forms for recordkeeping, inspections, and investigations that FMCSA prescribes.
11. The State implements performance-based activities, including deployment and maintenance of technology, to enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of CMV safety programs.

12. The State dedicates sufficient resources to a program to ensure that accurate, complete, and timely motor carrier safety data are collected and reported, and to ensure the State's participation in a national motor carrier safety data correction system prescribed by FMCSA.
13. The State will ensure that the Lead State Agency will coordinate the CVSP, data collection, and information systems with the State highway safety improvement program under 23 U.S.C. § 148(c).
14. The State will ensure participation in information technology and data systems as required by FMCSA for jurisdictions receiving MCSAP funding.
15. The State will ensure that information is exchanged with other States in a timely manner.
16. The laws of the State provide that the State will grant maximum reciprocity for inspections conducted pursuant to the North American Standard Inspection procedure, through the use of a nationally accepted system allowing ready identification of previously inspected CMVs.
17. The State will conduct comprehensive and highly visible traffic enforcement and CMV safety inspection programs in high-risk locations and corridors.
18. The State will ensure that it has departmental policies stipulating that roadside inspections will be conducted at locations that are adequate to protect the safety of drivers and enforcement personnel.
19. The State will ensure that, except in the case of an imminent or obvious safety hazard, an inspection of a vehicle transporting passengers for a motor carrier of passengers is conducted at a bus station, terminal, border crossing, maintenance facility, destination, or other location where motor carriers may make planned stops (excluding a weigh station).
20. The State will address activities in support of the national program elements listed in 49 C.F.R. § 350.203.
21. The State will ensure that detection of criminal activities and CMV size and weight enforcement activities described in 49 C.F.R. § 350.227(b) funded with MCSAP funds will not diminish the effectiveness of other CMV safety enforcement programs.
22. The State will ensure that violation sanctions imposed and collected by the State are consistent, effective, and equitable.
23. The State will include, in the training manual for the licensing examination to drive a non-CMV and the training manual for the licensing examination to drive a CMV, information on best practices for safe driving in the vicinity of noncommercial and commercial motor vehicles.
24. The State has in effect a requirement that registrants of CMVS demonstrate their knowledge of the applicable FMCSRs, HMRs, or compatible State laws, regulations, standards, and orders on CMV safety.

25. The State will transmit to its roadside inspectors at the notice of each Federal exemption granted pursuant to 49 U.S.C. § 31315(b) and 49 C.F.R. §§ 390.32 and 390.25 as provided to the State by FMCSA, including the name of the person granted the exemption and any terms and conditions that apply to the exemption.
26. Except for a territory of the United States, the State will conduct safety audits of interstate and, at the State's discretion, intrastate new entrant motor carriers under 49 U.S.C. § 31144(g). The State must verify the quality of the work conducted by a third party authorized to conduct safety audits under 49 U.S.C. §31144(g) on its behalf, and the State remains solely responsible for the management and oversight of the activities.
27. The State willfully participates in the performance and registration information systems management program under 49 U.S.C. §31106(b) not later than October 1, 2020, or demonstrates to FMCSA an alternative approach for identifying and immobilizing a motor carrier with serious safety deficiencies in a manner that provides an equivalent level of safety.
28. The State will ensure that it cooperates in the enforcement of hazardous materials safety permits issued under subpart E of part 385 of this subchapter by verifying possession of the permit when required while conducting vehicle inspections and investigations, as applicable.
29. In the case of a State that shares a land border with another country, the State may conduct a border CMV safety program focusing on international commerce that includes enforcement and related projects or will forfeit all MCSAP funds based on border-related activities.
30. In the case that a State meets all MCSAP requirements and funds operation and maintenance costs associated with innovative technology deployment with MCSAP funds, the State agrees to comply with the requirements established in 49 C.F.R. subpart D.

Date 10/02/2020

Signature  Major Chris Nordloh

FY 20 IH-35 Crash and Inspection Data

Texas Total CMV Crash Statistics

Year	Fatal Accidents	Deaths	Injury Accidents	Injured	Non-Injury Accidents	Total Accidents	HM Spill
FY 2016	615	715	11,753	19,097	39,103	51,578	101
FY 2017	595	703	11,376	18,511	39,033	51,004	129
FY 2018	570	670	12,080	19,164	42,794	55,444	179
FY 2019	655	743	12,677	20,315	45,963	59,295	192
FY 2020 Q1	139	155	3,161	5,040	11,717	15,017	41
FY 2020 Q2	140	154	2,853	4,333	10,741	13,734	32
FY 2020 Q3	117	135	1,966	3,017	7,102	9,185	21
TOTALS	396	444	7,980	12,390	29,560	37,936	94

I35 OOS Stats

Dates	Inspections	Doos	Doos%	Voos	Voos%
FY 2018	16630	1023	6.15%	5431	32.66%
FY 2019	17820	1124	6.31%	5861	32.89%
FY 2020 Q1	3821	282	7.38%	1118	29.26%
FY 2020 Q2	4193	247	5.89%	1363	32.51%
FY 2020 Q3	1590	67	4.21%	501	31.51%
Total	9604	596	6.21%	2982	31.05%

I35 Crash Stats

Fiscal Year	CRASHES	CRAS
FY 2018	4075	36
FY 2019	4473	48
FY 2020 Q1	1110	5
FY 2020 Q2	1073	8
FY 2020 Q3	615	5
TOTAL	2798	18