

ILLINOIS

Commercial Vehicle Safety Plan

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration's Motor Carrier Safety Assistance Program

Fiscal Years 2020 - 2022 Annual Update FY 2021

Date of Approval: August 16, 2021

FINAL CVSP



Part 1 - MCSAP Overview

Part 1 Section 1 - Introduction

The Motor Carrier Safety Assistance Program (MCSAP) is a Federal grant program that provides financial assistance to States to help reduce the number and severity of accidents and hazardous materials incidents involving commercial motor vehicles (CMV). The goal of the MCSAP is to reduce CMV-involved accidents, fatalities, and injuries through consistent, uniform, and effective CMV safety programs.

A State lead MCSAP agency, as designated by its Governor, is eligible to apply for grant funding by submitting a commercial vehicle safety plan (CVSP), in accordance with the provisions of 49 CFR 350.209, 350.211 and 350.213. The lead agency must submit the State's CVSP to the FMCSA Division Administrator on or before the due date each year. For a State to receive funding, the CVSP needs to be complete and include all required documents. Currently, the State must submit a performance-based plan or annual update each year to receive MCSAP funds.

The online CVSP tool (eCVSP) outlines the State's CMV safety objectives, strategies, activities and performance measures and is organized into the following five parts:

- Part 1: MCSAP Overview (FY 2020 2022)
- Part 2: Crash Reduction and National Program Elements (FY 2020 2022)
- Part 3: National Emphasis Areas and State Specific Objectives (FY 2020 2022)
- Part 4: Financial Information (FY 2021)
- Part 5: Certifications and Documents (FY 2021)

You will find that each of the five eCVSP parts listed above contains different subsections. Each subsection category will provide you with detailed explanation and instruction on what to do for completing the necessary tables and narratives.

The MCSAP program includes the eCVSP tool to assist States in developing and monitoring their grant applications. The eCVSP provides ease of use and promotes a uniform, consistent process for all States to complete and submit their plans. States and territories will use the eCVSP to complete the CVSP and to submit a 3-year plan or an Annual Update to a 3-year plan. As used within the eCVSP, the term 'State' means all the States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, American Samoa, Guam, and the Virgin Islands.

REMINDERS FOR FY 2021:

Multi-Year plans—All States will be utilizing the multi-year CVSP format. This means that objectives, projected goals, and activities in the plan will cover a full three-year period. The financial information and certifications will be updated each fiscal year.

Annual Updates for Multi-Year plans—States in Year 2 or Year 3 of a multi-year plan will be providing an Annual Update only. States will review the project plan submitted the previous year and indicate any updates for the upcoming fiscal year by answering the "Yes/No" question provided in each Section of Parts 1-3.

- If Yes is indicated selected, the information provided for Year 1 will be editable and State users can make any necessary changes to their project plan. (Note: Trend Analysis information that supports your current activities is not editable.) Answer carefully as there is only one opportunity to select "Yes" before the question is locked.
- If "No" is selected, then no information in this section will be editable and the user should move forward to the next section.

All multi-year and annual update plans have been pre-populated with data and information from their FY 2020 plans. States must carefully review and update this information to reflect FY 2021 activities prior to submission to FMCSA. The financial information and certifications will be updated each fiscal year.

- Any information that is added should detail major programmatic changes. Do not include minor modifications that reflect normal business operations (e.g., personnel changes).
- Add any updates to the narrative areas and indicate changes by preceding it with a heading (e.g., FY 2021 update). Include descriptions of the changes to your program, including how data tables were modified.

Personally Identifiable Information - **PII** is information which, on its own or matched with other data, would permit identification of an individual. Examples of PII include: name, home address, social security number, driver's license number or State-issued identification number, date and/or place of birth, mother's maiden name, financial, medical, or educational records, non-work telephone numbers, criminal or employment history, etc. PII, if disclosed to or altered by unauthorized

Page 2 of 59 last updated on: 8/16/2021 2:06:19 PM

individuals, could adversely affect the Agency's mission, personnel, or assets or expose an individual whose information is released to harm, such as identity theft.

States are reminded **not** to include any PII in their CVSP. The final CVSP approved by FMCSA is required to be posted to a public FMCSA website.

Part 1 Section 2 - Mission/Goal Statement

Please review the description of your State's lead CMV agency's goals or mission. Are there changes that need to be made for the upcoming fiscal year? Before selecting "yes," make sure there are changes to be made as once selected, this answer cannot be changed.

Yes, the information in this section must be updated for this upcoming fiscal year. I understand that I must click "Save" to save any changes.

No, the information in this section remains valid for the upcoming fiscal year and no updates are necessary.

Instructions:

Briefly describe the mission or goal of the lead State commercial motor vehicle safety agency responsible for administering this Commercial Vehicle Safety Plan (CVSP) throughout the State.

NOTE: Please do not include information on any other FMCSA grant activities or expenses in the CVSP.

ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

We provide a safe, cost effective transportation for Illinois in ways that enhance quality of life, promote economic prosperity, and demonstrate respect for our environment. The Illinois Department of Transportation's mission is to formulate, coordinate and deliver information, services and programs that will mobilize public and private resources to establish effective public policy and integrated programs to improve highway safety in Illinois.

ILLINOIS STATE POLICE

We will strive for excellence in all we do, seeking to be one of the premier policing agencies in the country. The Illinois State Police (ISP) will promote public safety to improve the quality of life in Illinois, improving the quality of life for our citizens through unimpeachable integrity, public service, training and education. ISP will safguard the public by assisting law enforcement, decreasing traffic fatalities and injuries and reducing crime and the fear of crime. Provide leadership through innovation as a dynamic, diverse, learning organization which promotes personal and professional growth.

Through combined efforts from the IDOT and the ISP, Illinois' goal is to continue its' quest to reduce the Commercial Motor Vehicle (CMV) fatal and injury crashes occurring on Illinois roadways. Preliminary numbers show in 2018, 129 CMV related fatal crashes occurred on Illinois roadways (Data Source: MCMIS data snapshot as of 6/28/2019) including records through 2/28/2019. The IDOT along with the ISP will partner in several details this year including a CMV speed reduction detail, weekend roadside inspections and industry educational seminars.

Page 4 of 59 last updated on: 8/16/2021 2:06:19 PM

Part 1 Section 3 - MCSAP Structure Explanation

Please review your State's CMV enforcement program description. You must answer the questions about your grant activities. You must select "yes" to make changes.

- Yes, the information in this section must be updated for this upcoming fiscal year. I understand that I must click "Save" to save any changes.
- No, the information in this section remains valid for the upcoming fiscal year and no updates are necessary.

Instructions:

Briefly describe the State's commercial motor vehicle (CMV) enforcement program funded by the MCSAP grant.

NOTE: Please do not include activities or expenses associated with any other FMCSA grant program.

The Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT) initiated Illinois activity in the Motor Carrier Safety Assistance Program in 1983. Since that time, the IDOT has served as the state's MCSAP lead agency responsible for the coordination of the administrative and fiscal tasks associated with the program. IDOT has a responsibility to develop appropriate state legislation and rule makings to ensure that state requirements are compatible with those of the USDOT. Additionally, the IDOT, through its Commercial Vehicle Safety Section, conducts a number of compliance reviews. The IDOT will continue its role in the interstate/intrastate compliance reviews and emphasis on passenger carrier enforcement activities. In 1983, the Illinois State Police (ISP) joined the IDOT in the MCSAP program and today both agencies work as partners in motor carrier safety enforcement. As the State Police Agency, the ISP is involved in the enforcement of both motor carrier safety (MCS) requirements and the Illinois Hazardous Materials Transportation Regulations (IHMTR) through roadside inspection procedures. While enforcement of both sets of requirements is a command responsibility of each state police district, staff supervision is exercised by the central office personnel who have distinct responsibilities for each set of requirements. Staff responsibility for MCS requirements and hazardous materials regulations is vested in the Commercial Vehicle Section. They conduct compliance checks and other MCS and hazardous materials enforcement activities are accomplished through the efforts of the field commercial vehicle enforcement officers (CVEO's) assigned to the various district offices. All CVEO's have a working knowledge of the MCS and hazardous materials regulations. Illinois regulator authority extends to for-hire private motor carriers.

The IDOT and ISP use a number of personnel to enforce the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations (FMCSRs) and Hazardous Materials Regulations (HMRs) in Illinois. The IDOT currently employs approximately 32 persons in its Springfield and Schaumburg headquarters with field staff residing throughout the state. Of those staff members, 11 are qualified to perform compliance reviews (CRs) at carrier/shipper facilities, 24 are certified to conduct Safety Audits (SAs), 26 are certified to conduct commercial motor vehicle and driver inspections, 2 perform upper management/secretarial duties for MCSAP for a portion of their time and 4 are office support staff who perform data collection and dissemination, civil forfeiture activities, grant management along with other duties related to the MCSAP Section. The ISP employs approximately 80 full time troopers, sergeants, and supervisors dedicated to commercial motor vehicle enforcement and qualified to perform Level I - Level VI inspections. ISP also currently employs 3 full time code personnel in its Commercial Vehicle Section.

Only 41 of the FTE officers base Salary, Retirement and FICA is paid for out of the MCSAP funds. The other FTE's as well as the approximately 700 officers that are Level III only certified base Salary, Retirement and FICA are paid for with other state funding sources.

Illinois has been limited in its ability to replace personnel over the last several years. Currently, IDOT has been able to review its MCSAP program and identify positions to enhance the program. Several Compliance Officer positions were approved and Illinois hopes to fill those within this fiscal year. Illinois did not include the projected new staff in the number of personnel participating in MCSAP activities in the Lead Agency table on the following page but did include their proposed salary in the budget.

Although both agencies are still down overall in staff, continuing a well established enforcement program is still the top priority.

Page 5 of 59 last updated on: 8/16/2021 2:06:19 PM

Part 1 Section 4 - MCSAP Structure

Please review your State's MCSAP structure information. Are there changes that need to be made for the upcoming fiscal year? Before selecting "yes," make sure there are changes to be made as once selected, this answer cannot be changed.

- Yes, the information in this section must be updated for this upcoming fiscal year. I understand that I must click "Save" to save any changes.
- No, the information in this section remains valid for the upcoming fiscal year and no updates are necessary.

Instructions:

Complete the following tables for the MCSAP lead agency, each subrecipient and non-funded agency conducting eligible CMV safety activities.

The tables below show the total number of personnel participating in MCSAP activities, including full time and part time personnel. This is the total number of non-duplicated individuals involved in all MCSAP activities within the CVSP. (The agency and subrecipient names entered in these tables will be used in the National Program Elements—Roadside Inspections area.)

The national program elements sub-categories represent the number of personnel involved in that specific area of enforcement. FMCSA recognizes that some staff may be involved in more than one area of activity.

| Lead Agency Information | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Agency Name: | ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION | | | | |
| Enter total number of personnel participating in MCSAP activities | 32 | | | | |
| National Program Elements | Enter # personnel below | | | | |
| Driver and Vehicle Inspections | 26 | | | | |
| Traffic Enforcement Activities | 0 | | | | |
| Investigations* | 24 | | | | |
| Public Education and Awareness | 26 | | | | |
| Data Collection and Reporting | 6 | | | | |
| * Formerly Compliance Reviews and Includes New Entrant Safety Audits | | | | | |

| Subrecipient Information | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Agency Name: | ILLINOIS STATE POLICE | | | | |
| Enter total number of personnel participating in MCSAP activities | 850 | | | | |
| National Program Elements | Enter # personnel below | | | | |
| Driver and Vehicle Inspections | 850 | | | | |
| Traffic Enforcement Activities | 78 | | | | |
| Investigations* | 0 | | | | |
| Public Education and Awareness | 78 | | | | |
| Data Collection and Reporting | 3 | | | | |
| * Formerly Compliance Reviews and Includes New Entrant Safety Audits | | | | | |

Page 6 of 59 last updated on: 8/16/2021 2:06:19 PM

| Non-funded Agency Inform | ation |
|---|-------|
| Total number of agencies: | 0 |
| Total # of MCSAP Participating Personnel: | 0 |

Part 2 - Crash Reduction and National Program Elements

Part 2 Section 1 - Overview

Part 2 allows the State to provide past performance trend analysis and specific goals for FY 2020 - 2022 in the areas of crash reduction, roadside inspections, traffic enforcement, audits and investigations, safety technology and data quality, and public education and outreach.

In past years, the program effectiveness summary trend analysis and performance goals were separate areas in the CVSP. Beginning in FY 2017, these areas have been merged and categorized by the National Program Elements as described in 49 CFR 350.109. This change is intended to streamline and incorporate this information into one single area of the CVSP based upon activity type.

Note: For CVSP planning purposes, the State can access detailed counts of its core MCSAP performance measures. Such measures include roadside inspections, traffic enforcement activity, investigation/review activity, and data quality by quarter for the current and past two fiscal years using the State Quarterly Report and CVSP Data Dashboard, and/or the CVSP Toolkit on the A&I Online website. The Data Dashboard is also a resource designed to assist the State with preparing their MCSAP-related quarterly reports and is located at: http://ai.fmcsa.dot.gov/StatePrograms/Home.aspx. A user id and password are required to access this system.

In addition, States can utilize other data sources available on the A&I Online website as well as internal State data sources. It is important to reference the data source used in developing problem statements, baselines and performance goals/objectives.

Page 8 of 59 last updated on: 8/16/2021 2:06:19 PM

Part 2 Section 2 - CMV Crash Reduction

Please review the description of your State's crash reduction problem statement, goals, program activities and monitoring. Are there changes that need to be made for the upcoming fiscal year? Before selecting "yes," make sure there are changes to be made as once selected, this answer cannot be changed.

- Yes, the information in this section must be updated for this upcoming fiscal year. I understand that I must click "Save" to save any changes.
- No, the information in this section remains valid for the upcoming fiscal year and no updates are necessary.

The primary mission of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) is to reduce crashes, injuries and fatalities involving large trucks and buses. MCSAP partners also share the goal of reducing commercial motor vehicle (CMV) related crashes.

Trend Analysis for 2014 - 2018

Instructions for all tables in this section:

Complete the tables below to document the State's past performance trend analysis over the past five measurement periods. All columns in the table must be completed.

- Insert the beginning and ending dates of the five most recent State measurement periods used in the Measurement Period column. The measurement period can be calendar year, Federal fiscal year, State fiscal year, or any consistent 12-month period for available data.
- In the Fatalities column, enter the total number of fatalities resulting from crashes involving CMVs in the State during each measurement period.
- The Goal and Outcome columns allow the State to show its CVSP goal and the actual outcome for each measurement period. The goal and outcome must be expressed in the same format and measurement type (e.g., number, percentage, etc.).
 - In the Goal column, enter the goal from the corresponding CVSP for the measurement period.
 - In the Outcome column, enter the actual outcome for the measurement period based upon the goal that was set
- Include the data source and capture date in the narrative box provided below the tables.
- · If challenges were experienced while working toward the goals, provide a brief narrative including details of how the State adjusted the program and if the modifications were successful.

ALL CMV CRASHES

Select the State's method of measuring the crash reduction goal as expressed in the corresponding CVSP by using the drop-down box options: (e.g. large truck fatal crashes per 100M VMT, actual number of fatal crashes, actual number of fatalities, or other). Other can include injury only or property damage crashes.

Goal measurement as defined by your State: Actual # Fatal Crashes

If you select 'Other' as the goal measurement, explain the measurement used in the text box provided:

| Measurement Period (Include 5 Periods) | | Fatalities | Goal | Outcome |
|--|------------|------------|------|---------|
| Begin Date | End Date | | | |
| 01/01/2018 | 12/31/2018 | 148 | 100 | 129 |
| 01/01/2017 | 12/31/2017 | 149 | 102 | 127 |
| 01/01/2016 | 12/31/2016 | 158 | 104 | 146 |
| 01/01/2015 | 12/31/2015 | 117 | 106 | 108 |
| 01/01/2014 | 12/31/2014 | 139 | 99 | 131 |

MOTORCOACH/PASSENGER CARRIER CRASHES

Select the State's method of measuring the crash reduction goal as expressed in the corresponding CVSP by using the drop-down box options: (e.g. large truck fatal crashes per 100M VMT, actual number of fatal crashes, actual number of fatalities, other, or N/A).

Goal measurement as defined by your State: Other

If you select 'Other' or 'N/A' as the goal measurement, explain the measurement used in the text box provided: Total Number of Fatal & Injury Crashes

| Measurement Period (Include 5 Periods) | | Fatalities | Goal | Outcome |
|--|------------|------------|------|---------|
| Begin Date | End Date | | | |
| 01/01/2018 | 12/31/2018 | 13 | 0 | 452 |
| 01/01/2017 | 12/31/2017 | 9 | 0 | 499 |
| 01/01/2016 | 12/31/2016 | 4 | 0 | 403 |
| 01/01/2015 | 12/31/2015 | 10 | 323 | 408 |
| 01/01/2014 | 12/31/2014 | 11 | 324 | 471 |

Hazardous Materials (HM) CRASH INVOLVING HM RELEASE/SPILL

Hazardous material is anything that is listed in the hazardous materials table or that meets the definition of any of the hazard classes as specified by Federal law. The Secretary of Transportation has determined that hazardous materials are those materials capable of posing an unreasonable risk to health, safety, and property when transported in commerce. The term hazardous material includes hazardous substances, hazardous wastes, marine pollutants, elevated temperature materials, and all other materials listed in the hazardous materials table.

For the purposes of the table below, HM crashes involve a release/spill of HM that is part of the manifested load. (This does not include fuel spilled from ruptured CMV fuel tanks as a result of the crash).

Select the State's method of measuring the crash reduction goal as expressed in the corresponding CVSP by using the drop-down box options: (e.g., large truck fatal crashes per 100M VMT, actual number of fatal crashes, actual number of fatalities, other, or N/A).

Goal measurement as defined by your State: Other

If you select 'Other' or 'N/A' as the goal measurement, explain the measurement used in the text box provided: DOT Reportable Crashes w/HM Released

| Measurement Period (Include 5 Periods) | | Fatalities | Goal | Outcome |
|--|------------|------------|------|---------|
| Begin Date | End Date | | | |
| 01/01/2018 | 12/31/2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 01/01/2017 | 12/31/2017 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 01/01/2016 | 12/31/2016 | 0 | 0 | 75 |
| 01/01/2015 | 12/31/2015 | 0 | 47 | 76 |
| 01/01/2014 | 12/31/2014 | 0 | 0 | 76 |

Enter the data sources and capture dates of the data listed in each of the tables above.

ALL CMV CRASHES Data Source: MCMIS data snapshot as of 6/28/2019. MOTOR COACH/PASSENGER CARRIER CRASHES Data Source: MCMIS data snapshot from June 28, 2019. Please note, since a review of data supported that Illinois does not have a passenger carrier fatal crash problem involving buses within FMCSA jurisdiction, Illinois did not include a passenger carrier crash reduction goal starting with its' FY17 plan. Please note the numbers identified in the Passenger Carrier Trend Analysis table above includes all fatal and injury passenger carrier crashes that occurred in Illinois, not just those within FMCSA jurisdiction. HM CRASHES INVOLVING HM RELEASE/SPILL U.S. Department of Transportation-Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration Office of Hazardous Material Safety. Incident Detail Report as of 7/8/2015. The Goals as identified above for 2014-2016 is for the number of Hazardous Material Incidents occurring on FMCSA Highways. The "Fatalities" as indicated in the above chart include fatalities which HM was present and not necessarily that HM was released for 2014-2016. (Data Source: SNET as of 6/28/2019). Illinois projected a 5 year goal starting with 2015 and therefore there is no goal entered for 2014 above. Please note, since an analysis of crash data supports that Illinois does not have a hazardous material crash problem, Illinois did not include a hazardous material crash reduction goal in starting with its' FY17 plan. Additionally, all years in the Trend Analysis tables above have been updated with the most current data as of the development of this Plan.

Narrative: Describe any difficulties achieving the goal, problems encountered, obstacles overcome, lessons learned, etc.

Preliminary numbers for 2018 indicate a slight increase in CMV related fatal crashes occurring on Illinois roadways.

Prior to the FY2014 eCVSP, Illinois had used a linear projection goal which was later determined to give a false perception of the level Illinois could decrease its' fatal crashes by. As a result, for FY2014 only, Illinois took an average of the last 3 years fo FARS data to project figures.

Starting with FY2015, Illinois established a 5 year goal based on the average of the previous 5 years of complete MCMIS data available (2009-2013). Illinois has identified many fatal crashes involving CMV's were occurring on local roads outside of FMCSA jurisdiction. Illinois continues to strive to form a plan to partnership with local law enforcement agencies to conduct enforcement on local roads where fatal crashes involving CMV's are occurring.

Starting with FY2020 CVSP, Illinois established a 3-year reduction goal based on the average of the last 4 complete years (2015-2018) of MCMIS fatal crash data.

Public locations have been apprehensive about allowing us to conduct inspections at their locations. In the past, this has presented a problem for IDOT and ISP to inspect buses at those locations who do not allow us to conduct inspections on their property. Although this is not a problem at the majority of the locations, it continues to be a problem in some areas. However, through continued education efforts on the importance of passenger carrier safety and with the impact of the national program emphasis, Illinois is welcomed at several locations.

Narrative Overview for FY 2020 - 2022

Instructions:

The State must include a reasonable crash reduction goal for their State that supports FMCSA's mission to reduce the national number of crashes, injuries and fatalities involving commercial motor vehicles. The State has flexibility in setting its goal and it can be based on raw numbers (e.g., total number of fatalities or CMV crashes), based on a rate (e.g., fatalities per 100 million VMT), etc.

Problem Statement Narrative: Describe the identified problem, include baseline data and identify the measurement method.

According to MCMIS data, 127 out of 1,005 Illinois traffic fatal crashes in 2017 resulted from a collision involving a large truck or bus.

| Number of Fatal Crashes involving Large Trucks and Buses | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------|-------------------------|---|-----|----------------|---------|------|------|
| | Actual Year | | Average* (2015-2018) | Projected (2% decrease of the 2020-2023 average per year for next 3 years starting with FY2020) | | | FY2020) | | |
| | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| Fatal Crashes | 108 | 146 | 127 | 129 | 128 | - | 125 | 122 | 119 |
| | Fatal Crashes as reported to date (6/26/20) | | | | | 111 (MCMIS) | | | |

^{*4} years of available MCMIS data. Since 2019 data was not complete during FY20 CVSP creation of 3 year goal, 2015-2019 data was used for average

Preiminay fatal crash numbers for CY2019 shows a decrease in CMV related crashes occuing on Illinois roadways.

A review of data supports that Illinois does not have a passenger carrier fatal crash problem involving buses within FMCSA jurisdication. However, in order to maintain an aggressive program, Illinois will continue to maintain the certification of 19 officers and continue to conduct inspections and compliance reviews on passenger carriers. This activity is outlined in the State Specific section of this Plan.

Additionally, analysis of crash data does not indicate that Illinois has a HM problem. However, Illinois will continue activities for maintnance of the HM Program and those activities are outlined within the State Specific section of this Plan.

Enter the data source and capture date:

MCMIS data snapshot as of 6/28/2019, including records through 2/28/2019. Illinois updated the fatal crash number for CY2019 based on MCMIS from data snapshot as of 6/26/2020, including crash records through 2/29/2020.

Projected Goal for FY 2020 - 2022:

In the table below, state the crash reduction goal for each of the three fiscal years. The method of measurement should be consistent from year to year. For example, if the overall crash reduction goal for the three year period is 12 percent, then each annual goal could be 4 percent.

| Fiscal Year | Annual Crash Reduction Goals | |
|-------------|------------------------------|---|
| 2020 | | 2 |
| 2021 | | 2 |
| 2022 | | 2 |

Illinois will reduce its 2014-2018 average number of fatal crashes involving a CMV by 2% per year over 3 years to 119 by the end of 2022.

Program Activities for FY 2020 - 2022: States must indicate the activities, and the amount of effort (staff hours, inspections, traffic enforcement stops, etc.) that will be resourced directly for the program activities purpose.

Activity 1

ISP and IDOT will conduct National Roadside Check (63 ISP Officers which includes supervisors and 26 MCSAP Officers participating for 3 days, 8 hours per day) and an additional 10 mini details with a total of 240 man hours (3 officers per detail at 8 hours each for a total of 24 man hours per mini detail) at various locations throughout Illinois during the year. Unit managers and the ISP Coordinator will determine areas for the details by looking at locations with the highest large truck fatal crash involvements to conduct CMV traffic enforcement with inspection. This enforcement will be conducted at roadside as well as fixed scales. These activities will target Seatbelt compliance, CMV driver qualifications, hours of service requirements, distracted driving and other serious traffic violations that are contributory causes of commercial vehicle related fatal crashes.

Activity 2

The ISP will participate in Operation Safe Driver. Illinois will use the enforcement effort to remove unsafe and fatigued commercial vehicle drivers from the highway. A total of 260 man hours will be dedicated during the week of this enforcement. ISP is targeting 65 officers working 4 hours per day on high crash coridoors (I-74, I-57, I-24 and I-80). CMV and non-CMV driver behaviors and performance through effective enforcement, education and awareness strategies will be monitored through the following: Commercial vehicle traffic enforcement activities, safety belt enforcement, Level III roadside inspections, commercial driver educational and awareness programs to the motor carrier population and motoring public about safe operations around commercial motor vehicles.

Page 13 of 59 last updated on: 8/16/2021 2:06:19 PM

Performance Measurements and Monitoring: The State will monitor the effectiveness of its CMV Crash Reduction Goal quarterly and annually by evaluating the performance measures and reporting results in the required Standard Form - Performance Progress Reports (SF-PPRs).

Describe how the State will conduct ongoing monitoring of progress in addition to quarterly reporting.

Performance Measure 1

The number of man hours worked, inspections conducted and driver OOS rates will be used to determine if effective. National Road Check will be completed along with activity at scales and roadside in high crash areas as planned. Illinois will report the number of fatal crashes occurred involving large trucks and buses to the most current that data is available.

Performance Measure 2

Illinois will look at the number of man hours worked, inspections conducted and citations issued for the designated areas where the OSD details are conducted during FY21.

Part 2 Section 3 - Roadside Inspections

Please review the description of your State's overall inspection program and identify if changes are needed for the upcoming fiscal year. You must also update the projected roadside inspection goals for the upcoming fiscal year. You must select "yes" to make changes.

- Yes, the information in this section must be updated for this upcoming fiscal year. I understand that I must click "Save" to save any changes.
- No, the information in this section remains valid for the upcoming fiscal year and no updates are necessary.

In this section, provide a trend analysis, an overview of the State's roadside inspection program, and projected goals for FY 2020 - 2022.

Note: In completing this section, do NOT include border enforcement inspections. Border Enforcement activities will be captured in a separate section if applicable.

Trend Analysis for 2014 - 2018

| Inspection Types | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Level 1: Full | 10274 | 10734 | 11565 | 11047 | 11784 |
| Level 2: Walk-Around | 20231 | 18762 | 22178 | 22390 | 22235 |
| Level 3: Driver-Only | 31200 | 57196 | 60261 | 55629 | 52175 |
| Level 4: Special Inspections | 80 | 80 | 38 | 46 | 104 |
| Level 5: Vehicle-Only | 282 | 461 | 344 | 256 | 312 |
| Level 6: Radioactive Materials | 38 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 62105 | 87235 | 94387 | 89368 | 86610 |

Narrative Overview for FY 2020 - 2022

Overview:

Describe components of the State's general Roadside and Fixed-Facility Inspection Program. Include the day-to-day routine for inspections and explain resource allocation decisions (i.e., number of FTE, where inspectors are working and why).

Enter a narrative of the State's overall inspection program, including a description of how the State will monitor its program to ensure effectiveness and consistency.

Illinois MCSAP Officers along with the ISP will conduct roadside/fixed scale inspections throughout the state targeting high crash corridors at various times to ensure a well rounded inspection program. Additionally, the IDOT and the ISP will enforce action against motor carriers who have had their operating authority revoked and are still operating and/or those who are operating in violation of an FMCSA out-of-service order. If a carrier is operating in violation of FMCSA out-of-service order, Illinois will notify the FMCSA Division Office of those carriers. IDOT and the ISP will ensure each motor carriers' authority and operating status are confirmed with every inspection. Illinois DOT officers utilize Query Central while the majority of the Illinois State Police Officers utilize NLETS.

During 2019 and 2020, the ISP made additional enhancements to TraCS, a third party inspection software to address identifying of out-of-service carriers at roadside along with several other data quality enhancements. Additional detailed information on the TraCS enhancements are outlined within the Enforcement of the Federal Out of Service section.

Illinois State Police has projected an number of inspections to be conducted that they feel can be achieved with the current staffing levels. Of the approximately 850 ISP Officers, Sergeants and Supervisors noted, approximately 700 are Level III traffic enforcement only certified.

Additionally, Illinois State Police does patrol and conduct enforcement including inspections on rural roads within Illinois. However, the targeted enforcement on these roads is portable scale enforcement and therefore that activity is not included within the MCSAP Plan.

Please note the COVID-19 pandemic has and continues to affect some inspection activity able to be conducted by Illinois. Without knowing the future of the pandemic, Illinois is not able to determine which details will or will not be able to be

Page 15 of 59 last updated on: 8/16/2021 2:06:19 PM

conducted during FY2021. With that said, Illinois will report in its quarterly report any details that are not able to be conducted or are planned to be conducted at a later date.

Projected Goals for FY 2020 - 2022

Instructions for Projected Goals:

Complete the following tables in this section indicating the number of inspections that the State anticipates conducting during Fiscal Years 2020 - 2022. For FY 2021, there are separate tabs for the Lead Agency, Subrecipient Agencies, and Non-Funded Agencies—enter inspection goals by agency type. Enter the requested information on the first three tabs (as applicable). The Summary table totals are calculated by the eCVSP system.

To modify the names of the Lead or Subrecipient agencies, or the number of Subrecipient or Non-Funded Agencies, visit Part 1, MCSAP Structure.

Note:Per the <u>MCSAP Comprehensive Policy</u>, States are strongly encouraged to conduct at least 25 percent Level 1 inspections and 33 percent Level 3 inspections of the total inspections conducted. If the State opts to do less than these minimums, provide an explanation in space provided on the Summary tab.

MCSAP Lead Agency

Lead Agency is: ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Enter the total number of certified personnel in the Lead agency: 26

| Projected Goals for FY 2021 - Roadside Inspections | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|--------|-----------|-------|------------------------|--|--|
| Inspection Level | Non-Hazmat | Hazmat | Passenger | Total | Percentage by Level | | |
| Level 1: Full | 832 | 182 | 108 | 1122 | 88.21% | | |
| Level 2: Walk-Around | 0 | 78 | 0 | 78 | 6.13% | | |
| Level 3: Driver-Only | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| Level 4: Special Inspections | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| Level 5: Vehicle-Only | 0 | 0 | 72 | 72 | 5.66% | | |
| Level 6: Radioactive Materials | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| Sub-Total Lead Agency | 832 | 260 | 180 | 1272 | | | |

MCSAP subrecipient agency

Complete the following information for each MCSAP subrecipient agency. A separate table must be created for each subrecipient.

Subrecipient is: ILLINOIS STATE POLICE

Enter the total number of certified personnel in this funded agency: 850

| Projected Goals for FY 2021 - Subrecipients | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|--------|-----------|-------|------------------------|--|--|
| Inspection Level | Non-Hazmat | Hazmat | Passenger | Total | Percentage by Level | | |
| Level 1: Full | 8742 | 1749 | 122 | 10613 | 14.80% | | |
| Level 2: Walk-Around | 10818 | 2318 | 13 | 13149 | 18.33% | | |
| Level 3: Driver-Only | 47424 | 0 | 15 | 47439 | 66.14% | | |
| Level 4: Special Inspections | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0.04% | | |
| Level 5: Vehicle-Only | 168 | 35 | 281 | 484 | 0.67% | | |
| Level 6: Radioactive Materials | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0.01% | | |
| Sub-Total Funded Agencies | 67182 | 4107 | 431 | 71720 | | | |

Non-Funded Agencies

| Total number of agencies: | 0 |
|--|---|
| Enter the total number of non-funded certified officers: | 0 |
| Enter the total number of inspections projected for FY 2021: | 0 |

Summary

Projected Goals for FY 2021 - Roadside Inspections Summary

Projected Goals for FY 2021

Summary for All Agencies

MCSAP Lead Agency: ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

certified personnel: 26

Subrecipient Agencies: ILLINOIS STATE POLICE

certified personnel: 850

Number of Non-Funded Agencies: 0

certified personnel: 0 # projected inspections: 0

| Inspection | | | | | Percentage |
|-----------------------------------|------------|--------|-----------|-------|------------|
| Level | Non-Hazmat | Hazmat | Passenger | Total | by Level |
| Level 1: Full | 9574 | 1931 | 230 | 11735 | 16.08% |
| Level 2: Walk-Around | 10818 | 2396 | 13 | 13227 | 18.12% |
| Level 3: Driver-Only | 47424 | 0 | 15 | 47439 | 64.99% |
| Level 4: Special Inspections | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0.04% |
| Level 5: Vehicle-Only | 168 | 35 | 353 | 556 | 0.76% |
| Level 6: Radioactive Materials | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0.01% |
| Total ALL Agencies | 68014 | 4367 | 611 | 72992 | |

Note:If the minimum numbers for Level 1 and Level 3 inspections are less than described in the <u>MCSAP</u> <u>Comprehensive Policy</u>, briefly explain why the minimum(s) will not be met.

Illinois estimates to complete approximately 16.1% of Level I inspections this year. Although this number is below the 25% target outlined in the MCSAP Comprehensive Policy, Illinois believes this to be a more accurate estimate for an attainable goal it can complete with the current resources. Illinois' target is below 25% because currently ISP has approximately 700 officers certified to complete only Level 3 inspections.

Note: States in Year 2 or Year 3 of a multi-year plan cannot edit the table shown below. It should be used as a reference.

| Projected Goals for FY 2021 Roadside Inspections | Lead Agency | Subrecipients | Non-Funded | Total |
|--|-------------|---------------|------------|-------|
| Enter total number of projected inspections | 1272 | 71720 | 0 | 72992 |
| Enter total number of certified personnel | 26 | 41 | 0 | 67 |
| Projected Goals for FY 2022 Roadside Inspections | | | | |
| Enter total number of projected inspections | 1272 | 71720 | 0 | 72992 |
| Enter total number of certified personnel | 26 | 41 | 0 | 67 |

Part 2 Section 4 - Investigations

Please review your State's investigation goals, program activities and monitoring. Are there changes that need to be made for the upcoming fiscal year? Before selecting "yes," make sure there are changes to be made as once selected, this answer cannot be changed.

- Yes, the information in this section must be updated for this upcoming fiscal year. I understand that I must click "Save" to save any changes.
- No, the information in this section remains valid for the upcoming fiscal year and no updates are necessary.

Describe the State's implementation of FMCSA's interventions model for interstate carriers. Also describe any remaining or transitioning compliance review program activities for intrastate motor carriers. Include the number of personnel assigned to this effort. Data provided in this section should reflect interstate and intrastate investigation activities for each year.

The State does not conduct investigations. If this box is checked, the tables and narrative are not required to be completed and won't be displayed.

Trend Analysis for 2014 - 2018

| Investigative Types - Interstate | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|
| Compliance Investigations | 314 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cargo Tank Facility Reviews | | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Non-Rated Reviews (Excludes CSA & SCR) | | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| CSA Off-Site | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CSA On-Site Focused/Focused CR | | 156 | 81 | 40 | 28 |
| CSA On-Site Comprehensive | | 31 | 61 | 61 | 46 |
| Total Investigations | 314 | 209 | 145 | 103 | 75 |
| Total Security Contact Reviews | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total Terminal Investigations | | 3 | 2 | 11 | 84 |

| Investigative Types - Intrastate | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|
| Compliance Investigations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cargo Tank Facility Reviews | | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Non-Rated Reviews (Excludes CSA & SCR) | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CSA Off-Site | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CSA On-Site Focused/Focused CR | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| CSA On-Site Comprehensive | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Investigations | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Total Security Contact Reviews | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Terminal Investigations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 34 |

Narrative Overview for FY 2020 - 2022

Instructions:

Describe the State's implementation of FMCSA's interventions model to the maximum extent possible for interstate carriers and any remaining or transitioning compliance review program activities for intrastate motor carriers. Include the number of personnel assigned to this effort.

Projected Goals for FY 2020 - 2022

Complete the table below indicating the number of investigations that the State anticipates conducting during FY 2020 - 2022.

| Projected Goals for FY 2020 - 2022 - Investigations | | | | | | |
|---|------------|-----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | FY 2 | FY 2020 FY 2021 | | 2021 | FY 2022 | |
| Investigation Type | Interstate | Intrastate | Interstate | Intrastate | Interstate | Intrastate |
| Compliance Investigations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cargo Tank Facility Reviews | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Non-Rated Reviews (Excludes CSA & SCR) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CSA Off-Site | 44 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 44 | 0 |
| CSA On-Site Focused/Focused CR | 40 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 40 | 0 |
| CSA On-Site Comprehensive | 40 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 40 | 0 |
| Total Investigations | 124 | 0 | 124 | 0 | 124 | 0 |
| Total Security Contact Reviews | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Terminal Investigations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Add additional information as necessary to describe the carrier investigation estimates.

Illinois plans to conduct 124 compliance reviews on Moderate Risk and High Risk carriers (as assigned to us by the Illinois Division) by the end of each fiscal year (FY2020, FY2021, and FY2022). The Illinois Federal Division Program Office is managing the reviews on High Risk carriers. Illinois will target Moderate Risk carriers (when approved to do so by the Illinois Division Office) and continue to conduct reviews on carrier complaints as they are received by the Federal Division Office. Illinois continues to work on restructuring its compliance review program to ensure proper resources are in place and high quality reviews are being completed timely. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, Illinois could potentially conduct some of the estimated reviews remotely with prior Federal Guidance/Approval. *The Trend Analysis table Data Source 2014-2018: FMCSA's MCMIS data snapshot as of 6/29/19.

Program Activities: Describe components of the State's carrier investigation activities. Include the number of personnel participating in this activity.

The Federal Division of Illinois is managing the high risk carriers. The IDOT will conduct CR's in accordance with the FY 2020-2022 Investigations table contained within this document. Illinois will maintain 11 certified officers to conduct Compliance Reviews who will contribute toward this FY2020-2022 CR goal. During FY2020-2022, Illinois will have 7 of those Officers focus on conducting CR's on complaints recieved from the FMCSA Illinois Division Office, ISP fatal crash investigations and when requested, high risk carriers. The remaining 4 CR certified officers will focus on conducting compliance reviews on high risk carriers at the request of the Illinois Division office. Illinois has also identfied each certified CR officers conduct 1 Offsite CR per quarter for a projected total of 44 CSA Off-Site CRs to be completed within the year.

Performance Measurements and Monitoring: Describe all measures the State will use to monitor progress toward the annual goals. Further, describe how the State measures qualitative components of its carrier investigation program, as well as outputs.

Compliance Reviews in 2020-2022 will be completed in accordance with the Carrier Investigation table. Further, Illinois will report the number of compliance reviews conducted as outlined in the FY2020-FY2022 projection table quarterly.

Page 21 of 59 last updated on: 8/16/2021 2:06:19 PM

Part 2 Section 5 - Traffic Enforcement

Please review the description of your State's traffic enforcement program, projected goals and monitoring. You must answer the questions about your traffic enforcement activities in the Projected Goals area. You must select "yes" to make changes.

- Yes, the information in this section must be updated for this upcoming fiscal year. I understand that I must click "Save" to save any changes.
- No, the information in this section remains valid for the upcoming fiscal year and no updates are necessary.

Traffic enforcement means documented enforcement activities of State or local officials. This includes the stopping of vehicles operating on highways, streets, or roads for moving violations of State or local motor vehicle or traffic laws (e.g., speeding, following too closely, reckless driving, and improper lane changes).

Trend Analysis for 2014 - 2018

Instructions:

Please refer to the <u>MCSAP Comprehensive Policy</u> for an explanation of FMCSA's traffic enforcement guidance. Complete the tables below to document the State's safety performance goals and outcomes over the past five measurement periods.

- 1. Insert the beginning and end dates of the measurement period being used, (e.g., calendar year, Federal fiscal year, State fiscal year or any consistent 12-month period for which data is available).
- 2. Insert the total number CMV traffic enforcement stops with an inspection, CMV traffic enforcement stops without an inspection, and non-CMV stops in the tables below.
- 3. Insert the total number of written warnings and citations issued during the measurement period. The number of warnings and citations are combined in the last column.

| State/Territory Defi Period (Includ | | Number of Documented CMV Traffic Enforcement Stops with an Inspection | Number of Citations and Warnings Issued | |
|--|------------|---|---|--|
| Begin Date | End Date | | | |
| 10/01/2017 | 09/30/2018 | 25452 | 28000 | |
| 10/01/2016 | 09/30/2017 | 26294 | 31585 | |
| 10/01/2015 | 09/30/2016 | 25786 | 27379 | |
| 10/01/2014 | 09/30/2015 | 27317 | 33827 | |
| 10/01/2013 | 09/30/2014 | 38996 | 54559 | |

The State does not conduct CMV traffic enforcement stops without an inspection. If this box is checked, the "CMV Traffic Enforcement Stops without an Inspection" table is not required to be completed and won't be displayed.

The State does not conduct documented non-CMV traffic enforcement stops and was not reimbursed by the MCSAP grant (or used for State Share or MOE). If this box is checked, the "Non-CMV Traffic Enforcement Stops" table is not required to be completed and won't be displayed.

Enter the source and capture date of the data listed in the tables above.

SNET data as of 7/30/2019. Please note in the above referenced table, the "Number of Citations and Warnings Issued" contains the number of violations issued for those traffic enforcement inspections noted.

Narrative Overview for FY 2020 - 2022

Instructions:

Describe the State's proposed level of effort (number of personnel) to implement a statewide CMV (in conjunction with and without an inspection) and/or non-CMV traffic enforcement program. If the State conducts CMV and/or non-CMV traffic enforcement activities only in support of the overall crash reduction goal, describe how the State allocates traffic enforcement resources. Please include number of officers, times of day and days of the week, specific corridors or general activity zones, etc. Traffic enforcement activities should include officers who are not assigned to a dedicated commercial vehicle enforcement unit, but who conduct eligible commercial vehicle/driver enforcement activities. If the State conducts non-CMV traffic enforcement activities, the State must conduct these activities in accordance with the MCSAP Comprehensive Policy.

The ISP will conduct 22,000 roadside inspections in association with traffic enforcement. Emphasis will be placed on serious driver related traffic violations such as speeding, improper lane change, and following too closely. Illinois does conduct non-cmv traffic enforcement but does not have the means to track output of stops only the number of warnings and citations. However, Illinois does not request reimbursement for this enforcment.

At the creation of the multi-year eCVSP, a review of data indicated a recent rise in fatal crashes in Jefferson, Franklin and Williamson and Will Counties. Illinois State Police will utilize hireback funding (state funding) to conduct 18,000 total hours (4 Districts participating, each conducting 500 hours of enforcement per month for the months of March through November. A total of 215 officers potentially participating throughout those Districts) of traffic enforcement focusing on serious driver related violations within these counties in an effort to reduce the number of fatal crashes that are occurring. These counties could change from year to year depending on fatal crash factors. Illinois will identify the counties enforcement was conducted within the quarterly report.

Projected Goals for FY 2020 - 2022

Using the radio buttons in the table below, indicate the traffic enforcement activities the State intends to conduct in FY 2020 - 2022. The projected goals are based on the number of traffic stops, not tickets or warnings issued. These goals are NOT intended to set a quota.

Note: If you answer "No" to "Non-CMV" traffic enforcement activities, the State does not need to meet the average number of 2004/2005 safety activities because no reimbursement will be requested. If you answer "No" and then click the SAVE button, the Planned Safety Activities table will no longer be displayed.

| | | | Enter Projected Goals (Number of Stops only) | | | |
|-----|----|--|--|---------|---------|--|
| Yes | No | Traffic Enforcement Activities | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | FY 2022 | |
| | | CMV with Inspection | 22000 | 22000 | 22000 | |
| | | CMV without Inspection | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Non-CMV | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Comprehensive and high visibility in high risk locations and corridors (special enforcement details) | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

In order to be eligible to utilize Federal funding for Non-CMV traffic enforcement, States must maintain an average number of safety activities which include the number of roadside inspections, carrier investigations, and new entrant safety audits conducted in the State for Fiscal Years 2004 and 2005.

The table below displays the information you input into this plan from the roadside inspections, investigations, and new entrant safety audit sections. Your planned activities must at least equal the average of your 2004/2005 activities.

| FY 2021 Planned Safety Activities | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| Inspections | Investigations | New Entrant Safety Audits | Sum of FY 2021 Activities | Average 2004/05 Activities | | |
| 72992 | 124 | 1650 | 74766 | 85102 | | |

The sum of your planned FY 2021 safety activities must equal or exceed the average number of 2004/2005 activities. To be reimbursed for non-CMV traffic enforcement activities, update the number of FY 2021 roadside inspections, investigations, and/or new entrant safety audits to reflect the allowable amount.

Describe how the State will monitor its traffic enforcement efforts to ensure effectiveness, consistency, and correlation to FMCSA's national traffic enforcement priority.

Illinois will continue the 100% inspection policy on CMV's. ISP will conduct distracted driving enforcement of those operating CMV's statewide during FY20, FY21, and FY22. The ISP will work patrols in areas that are identified as high traffic areas to observe distracted CMV drivers. A minimum of 100 hours will be dedicated to conducting enforcement in workzones during FY20, FY21, and FY22.

Part 2 Section 6 - Safety Technology

Please verify your State's safety technology compliance levels, responsible agencies, and narrative overview. Are there changes that need to be made for the upcoming fiscal year? Before selecting "yes," make sure there are changes to be made as once selected, this answer cannot be changed.

- Yes, the information in this section must be updated for this upcoming fiscal year. I understand that I must click "Save" to save any changes.
- No, the information in this section remains valid for the upcoming fiscal year and no updates are necessary.

Performance and Registration Information Systems Management (PRISM) is a condition for MCSAP eligibility in 49 CFR 350.207(27). States must achieve full participation by October 1, 2020. FMCSA defines "fully participating" in PRISM for the purpose of determining eligibility for MCSAP funding, as when a State's or Territory's International Registration Plan (IRP) or CMV registration agency suspends or revokes and denies registration if the motor carrier responsible for safety of the vehicle is under any Federal OOS order and denies registration if the motor carrier possess an inactive or de-active USDOT number for motor carriers operating CMVs in commerce that have a Gross Vehicle Weight (GVW) of 26,001 pounds or more. Further information regarding full participation in PRISM can be found in the MCP Section 4.3.1.

PRISM, Operations and Maintenance (O&M) costs are eligible expenses subject to FMCSA approval. For Innovative Technology Deployment (ITD), if the State has an approved ITD Program Plan/Top-Level Design (PP/TLD) that includes a project that requires ongoing O&M, this is an eligible expense so long as other MCSAP requirements have been met. O&M expenses must be included and described both in this section and in the Spending Plan section per the method these costs are handled in the State's accounting system (e.g., contractual costs, other costs, etc.).

Safety Technology Compliance Status

Please verify the current level of compliance for your State in the table below using the drop-down menu. If the State plans to include O&M costs in this year's CVSP, please indicate that in the table below. Additionally, details must be in this section and in your Spending Plan.

| Technology Program | Current Compliance Level | Include O & M Costs? | |
|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--|
| ITD | Core CVISN Compliant | No | |
| PRISM | Full Participation | No | |

Avaliable data sources:

- FMCSA website ITD information
- FMCSA website PRISM information

Enter the agency name responsible for ITD in the State, if other than the Lead MCSAP Agency:

Enter the agency name responsible for PRISM in the State, if other than the Lead MCSAP Agency: Illinois Secretary of State

Narrative Overview for FY 2020 - 2022

Problem Statement Narrative and Projected Goal:

If the State's PRISM compliance is less than full participation, describe activities your State plans to implement to achieve full participation in PRISM.

Program Activities for FY 2020 - 2022: Describe any actions that will be taken to implement full participation in PRISM.

N/A

Performance Measurements and Monitoring: Describe all performance measures that will be used and include how the State will conduct ongoing monitoring of progress in addition to quarterly SF-PPR reporting. N/A

Part 2 Section 7 - Public Education and Outreach

Please review the description of your State's public education and outreach activities, projected goals and monitoring. Are there changes that need to be made for the upcoming fiscal year? Before selecting "yes," make sure there are changes to be made as once selected, this answer cannot be changed.

- Yes, the information in this section must be updated for this upcoming fiscal year. I understand that I must click "Save" to save any changes.
- No, the information in this section remains valid for the upcoming fiscal year and no updates are necessary.

A public education and outreach program is designed to provide information on a variety of traffic safety issues related to CMVs and non-CMVs that operate around large trucks and buses.

Trend Analysis for 2014 - 2018

In the table below, provide the number of public education and outreach activities conducted in the past 5 years.

| Public Education and Outreach Activities | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|
| Carrier Safety Talks | 117 | 155 | 143 | 105 | 130 |
| CMV Safety Belt Education and Outreach | | | | | |
| State Trucking Association Meetings | | | | | |
| State-Sponsored Outreach Events | | | | | |
| Local Educational Safety Events | | | | | |
| Teen Safety Events | | | | | |

Narrative Overview for FY 2020 - 2022

Performance Objective: To increase the safety awareness of the motoring public, motor carriers and drivers through public education and outreach activities such as safety talks, safety demonstrations, etc.

Describe the type of activities the State plans to conduct, including but not limited to passenger transportation, hazardous materials transportation, and share the road safely initiatives. Include the number of personnel that will be participating in this effort.

The IDOT and ISP will educate the industry on safety and hazardous material compliance through public education presentations and media awareness through public education and awreness safety demonstrations and presentations.

IDOT plans to conduct 20 educational training presentations and the ISP plans to conduct 170 during which could be over ELD Hours of Service if requested. The educational seminars and training presentations will be conducted at invited locations throughout the state including CDL schools and trucking associations. Specifically, presentations conducted could include those at the Illinois Propane and Gas Association Regional Meetings, Illinois Chemical & Fertilizer Association, Intermodal Association of North America, Midwest Truckers, McLeod Express (Teen Safety Days in Macon County, Illinois), Farm Progress Show and the Illinois Truckers Association to name a few, presentations will include those to senior and initial drivers.

Trend Analysis Data Source: Illinois used data as reported in its' quarterly reports to compelte the above referenced trend analysis table. Please note in the past Illinois did not report on individual types of Public Education and Outreach activities as segmented above. Therefore, all past activity is reported in the Carrier Safety Talks line for purposes of the eCVSP completion.

Projected Goals for FY 2020 - 2022

In the table below, indicate if the State intends to conduct the listed program activities, and the estimated number, based on the descriptions in the narrative above.

| | | | Per | formance G | oals |
|-----|----|--|---------|------------|---------|
| Yes | No | Activity Type | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | FY 2022 |
| | | Carrier Safety Talks | 159 | 159 | 159 |
| | | CMV Safety Belt Education and Outreach | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | State Trucking Association Meetings | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| | | State-Sponsored Outreach Events | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | | Local Educational Safety Events | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Teen Safety Events | 5 | 5 | 5 |

Performance Measurements and Monitoring: Describe all performance measures and how the State will conduct monitoring of progress. States must report the quantity, duration and number of attendees in their quarterly SF-PPR reports.

The MCSAP Grant Manager and the ISP Coordinator will report quarterly on the number of seminars conducted and the location/type of the activity to endusre at the minimum 190 seminars/presentations were conducted.

Part 2 Section 8 - State Safety Data Quality (SSDQ)

Please review your State's SSDQ compliance levels and Narrative Overview and identify if changes are needed for the upcoming fiscal year. You must select 'yes' to make changes.

- Yes, the information in this section must be updated for this upcoming fiscal year. I understand that I must click "Save" to save any changes.
- No, the information in this section remains valid for the upcoming fiscal year and no updates are necessary.

The FAST Act allows MCSAP lead agencies to use MCSAP funds for Operations and Maintenance (O&M) costs associated with Safety Data Systems (SSDQ) if the State meets accuracy, completeness and timeliness measures regarding motor carrier safety data and participates in the national data correction system (DataQs).

SSDQ Compliance Status

Please verify the current level of compliance for your State in the table below using the drop-down menu. If the State plans to include O&M costs in this year's CVSP, select Yes. These expenses must be included in the Spending Plan section per the method these costs are handled in the State's accounting system (e.g., contractual costs, other costs, etc.).

| Technology Program | Current Compliance Level | Include O & M Costs? |
|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| SSDQ | Good | No |

Available data sources:

• FMCSA website SSDQ information

Enter the agency name responsible for DQ in the State, if other than the Lead MCSAP Agency:

In the table below, use the drop-down menus to indicate the State's current rating within each of the State Safety Data Quality categories, and the State's goal for FY 2020 - 2022.

| SSDQ Category | Current SSDQ Rating | Goal for FY 2020 | Goal for FY 2021 | Goal for FY 2022 |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Crash Record Completeness | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| Crash VIN Accuracy | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| Fatal Crash Completeness | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| Crash Timeliness | Fair | Good | Good | Good |
| Crash Accuracy | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| Crash Consistency | No Flag | No Flag | No Flag | No Flag |
| Inspection Record Completeness | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| Inspection VIN Accuracy | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| Inspection Timeliness | Fair | Good | Good | Good |
| Inspection Accuracy | Good | Good | Good | Good |

Enter the date of the A & I Online data snapshot used for the "Current SSDQ Rating" column. Data current as of June 26, 2020 data snapshot.

Narrative Overview for FY 2020 - 2022

Problem Statement Narrative: Describe any issues encountered for any SSDQ category not rated as "Good" in the Current SSDQ Rating category column above (i.e., problems encountered, obstacles overcome, lessons learned, etc.).

Illinois currently has an overall 'good' rating. However, during FY2019 Illinois lost its full time CMV crash data entry staff associate who had been completing the entry of all CMV related DOT reportable crashes. Illinois has not been able to post for and hire a replacement to conduct CMV crash data entry. Although Illinois is allocating resources when available to enter CMV related crashes, Illinois forsees that this rating will become 'Fair' due to lack of resources available to enter resulting in a current backlog of crashes to be entered. Illinois continues to work with management to fill this vacant position.

Additionally, Illinois currently has a 'Fair' rating in Inspection Timliness. This was due to the transfer of inspections from ISP being halted while violation mapping issues with TraCS and SNET were corrected. ISP has identified and corrected the majority of mapping issues and Illinois anticipates the Inspection Timeliness data quality will be 'Green' by the start of FY2021.

Illinois continues to adjust the DataQ Compliance Officer review process as needed to assist with increasing the timeliness of responding to request for data review. This process evenly distributes requests to be reviewed among all officers and has assisted in more timely responses.

Due to contraints on IT project request and resources, Illinois was not able to secure an integrated system for the automated import of crash data into the SNET system.

Program Activities FY 2020 - 2022: Describe activities that will be taken to maintain a "Good" overall SSDQ rating. These activities should include all measures listed in the table above. Also, describe program activities to achieve a "Good" rating for all SSDQ measures based upon the Problem Statement Narrative including measurable milestones.

Illinois' is devoting any additional resources when available for CMV crash data entry will continue an effort to enter all cmv-related crashes within the 90 day requirement to ensure timely reporting. Illinois is targeting maintaining the 'good' crash timeliness rating during this year.

Illinois will continue to allocate all resources available to responding to request for data reviews within the targeted 10 day timeframe.

Performance Measurements and Monitoring: Describe all performance measures that will be used and include how the State will conduct ongoing monitoring of progress in addition to quarterly SF-PPR reporting.

Illinois will monitor on a quarterly basis and by the end of the first quarter will determine if it is maintaining all data quality item ratings as 'good'.

Illinois will review reports through the DataQ system to ensure that an increase in requests for data review be responded to within 10 days has been met.

Page 30 of 59

last updated on: 8/16/2021 2:06:19 PM

Part 2 Section 9 - New Entrant Safety Audits

Please review the agency responsible for conducting New Entrant activities and the description of your State's strategies, activities and monitoring. You must complete the safety audit data questions for the current year. You must select "yes" to make changes.

- Yes, the information in this section must be updated for this upcoming fiscal year. I understand that I must click "Save" to save any changes.
- No, the information in this section remains valid for the upcoming fiscal year and no updates are necessary.

States must conduct interstate New Entrant safety audits in order to participate in the MCSAP (<u>49 CFR 350.201</u>.) A State may conduct intrastate New Entrant safety audits at the State's discretion if the intrastate safety audits do not negatively impact their interstate new entrant program.

Note: A State or a third party may conduct New Entrant safety audits. If a State authorizes a third party to conduct safety audits on its behalf, the State must verify the quality of the work conducted and remains solely responsible for the management and oversight of the New Entrant activities.

| Yes | No | Question |
|-----|----|--|
| | | Does your State conduct Offsite safety audits in the New Entrant Web System (NEWS)? NEWS is the online system that carriers selected for an Offsite Safety Audit use to submit requested documents to FMCSA. Safety Auditors use this same system to review documents and communicate with the carrier about the Offsite Safety Audit. |
| | | Does your State conduct Group safety audits at non principal place of business locations? |
| | | Does your State intend to conduct intrastate safety audits and claim the expenses for reimbursement, state match, and/or Maintenance of Effort on the MCSAP Grant? |

Trend Analysis for 2014 - 2018

In the table below, provide the number of New Entrant safety audits conducted in the past 5 years.

| New Entrant Safety Audits | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|---------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Interstate | 1561 | 1517 | 1815 | 1061 | 1835 |
| Intrastate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Audits | 1561 | 1517 | 1815 | 1061 | 1835 |

Note: Intrastate safety audits will not be reflected in any FMCSA data systems—totals must be derived from State data sources.

Narrative Overview for FY 2020 - 2022

Enter the agency name conducting New Entrant activities, if other than the Lead MCSAP Agency:

Program Goal: Reduce the number and severity of crashes, injuries, and fatalities involving commercial motor vehicles by reviewing interstate new entrant carriers. At the State's discretion, intrastate motor carriers are reviewed to ensure they have effective safety management programs.

Program Objective: The Statutory time limit for processing and completing interstate safety audits is: If entry date into the New Entrant program (as shown in FMCSA data systems) October 1, 2013 or later, a safety audit must be completed within 12 months for all motor carriers and 120 days for motor carriers of passengers.

Projected Goals for FY 2020 - 2022

For the purpose of completing the table below:

- Onsite safety audits are conducted at the carrier's principal place of business.
- Offsite safety audit is a desktop review of a single New Entrant motor carrier's basic safety management controls
 and can be conducted from any location other than a motor carrier's place of business. Offsite audits are conducted by
 States that have completed the FMCSA New Entrant training for offsite audits.
- **Group audits** are neither an onsite nor offsite audit. Group audits are conducted on multiple carriers at an alternative location (i.e., hotel, border inspection station, State office, etc.).

| Projected Goals for FY 2020 - 2022 - New Entrant Safety Audits | | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | FY 2020 | | FY 2021 | | FY 2022 | |
| Number of Safety Audits/Non-Audit Resolutions | Interstate | Intrastate | Interstate | Intrastate | Interstate | Intrastate |
| # of Safety Audits (Onsite) | 330 | 0 | 330 | 0 | 330 | 0 |
| # of Safety Audits (Offsite) | 1320 | 0 | 1320 | 0 | 1320 | 0 |
| # Group Audits | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| TOTAL Safety Audits | 1652 | 0 | 1650 | 0 | 1652 | 0 |
| # of Non-Audit Resolutions | 1000 | 0 | 1000 | 0 | 1000 | 0 |

Strategies: Describe the strategies that will be utilized to meet the program objective above. Provide any challenges or impediments foreseen that may prevent successful completion of the objective.

Illinois will conduct 1,650 closed-completed New Entrant Safety Audits within 12 months to ensure that program participants are in full compliance with all CMV safety and hazardous material regulations. In addition, Illinois will complete 1,000 non-audit resolutions.

The number of non-audit resolutions for the past five years were not included in the Trend Analysis above but are provided below. (Data source: New Entrant Offsite System as of 7/29/2019)

| Non-Audit Resolutions Conducted by FY | | |
|--|-------|--|
| 2014 | 1,516 | |
| 2015 | 1,464 | |
| 2016 | 1,070 | |
| 2017 | 1,261 | |
| 2018 | 1,103 | |

Based on current activity, approximately 37.5% of all new entrant audits completed in Illinois result in a non-audit resolution.

Although Illinois continues to have new entrant overdue carriers, the current list of overdue carriers are all Onsite new entrant audits. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, Illinois has not been able to compelte an Onsite new entrant audit since March 17, 2020. Illinois has addressed and completed all Offsite overdue new entrant audits. Illinois aniticipates the remaining overdue Onsite new entrant audits can be addressed within the first quarter after Compliance Officers are able to return to work in the field at the carriers PPOB.

Activity Plan for FY 2020 - 2022: Include a description of the activities proposed to help achieve the objectives. If group audits are planned, include an estimate of the number of group audits.

Illinois will conduct 1,650 New Entrant Safety Audits within the required deadline with approximately 80% of these audits being conducted offsite via the New Entrant offsite system or at a central location, by appointment and the other 20% at the carrier's place of business. Due to COVID-19, Illinois does not plan to conduct any group audits during FY2021 but will look at resuming them at a later date.

Illinois has revised its' in house review of Safety Audits completed to assist with the timeliness in processing them prior to the audits being sent to the State Division Office for review and upload. The Northern Supervisor and a Southern Officer are now both reviewing Safety Audits and submitting to the FMCSA for their final review and upload.

Page 32 of 59 last updated on: 8/16/2021 2:06:19 PM

Performance Measurement Plan: Describe how you will measure progress toward meeting the objective, such as quantifiable and measurable outputs (staffing, work hours, carrier contacts, inspections, etc.). The measure must include specific benchmarks to be reported on in the quarterly progress report, or as annual outputs.

Illinois MCSAP Grant manager will report quarterly on:

- 1. Number of New Entrant onsite safety audits conducted.
- 2. Number of New Entrant offisite safety audits conducted.
- 3. Number of *non-audit resolutions* completed.
- 4. Number of carriers due within 3-6 months on the Gotham Inventory list.
- 5. Number of carriers due within 3 months on the Gotham Inventory list including the status of each.
- 6. Number of overdue new entrant carriers on the Gotham Inventory list including the status of each.

Supervisors will monitor the MCSAP Compliance Officers via FMCSA New Entrant Offsite web portal, email, telephone and personal contact to ensure the targeted number of audits are met and completed within required timeframe.

Part 3 - National Emphasis Areas and State Specific Objectives

FMCSA establishes annual national priorities (emphasis areas) based on emerging or continuing issues, and will evaluate CVSPs in consideration of these national priorities. Part 3 allows States to address the national emphasis areas/priorities outlined in the Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) and any State-specific objectives as necessary. Specific goals and activities must be projected for the three fiscal year period (FYs 2020 - 2022).

Part 3 Section 1 - Enforcement of Federal OOS Orders during Roadside Activities

Please review your State's Federal OOS catch rate during roadside enforcement activities, projected goals, program activities and monitoring. Are there changes that need to be made for the upcoming fiscal year? Before selecting "yes," make sure there are changes to be made as once selected, this answer cannot be changed.

Yes, the information in this section must be updated for this upcoming fiscal year. I understand that I must click "Save" to save any changes.

No, the information in this section remains valid for the upcoming fiscal year and no updates are necessary.

Instructions:

FMCSA has established an Out-of-Service (OOS) catch rate of 85 percent for carriers operating while under an OOS order. In this part, States will indicate their catch rate is at least 85 percent by using the check box or completing the problem statement portion below.

Check this box if:

As evidenced by the data provided by FMCSA, the State identifies at least 85 percent of carriers operating under a Federal IH or UNSAT/UNFIT OOS order during roadside enforcement activities and will not establish a specific reduction goal. However, the State will maintain effective enforcement of Federal OOS orders during roadside inspections and traffic enforcement activities.

Narrative Overview for FY 2020 - 2022

Enter your State's OOS Catch Rate percentage if below 85 percent: 41%

Projected Goals for FY 2020 - 2022: Enter a description of the State's performance goals.

| Fiscal Year | Goal (%) |
|-------------|----------|
| 2020 | 85 |
| 2021 | 85 |
| 2022 | 85 |

Illinois will increase its' current catch rate of identifying 0% Imminent Hazard & UNSAT/UNFIT out of service carriers for the current FY2020 to date (FY 2020 YTD includes data from October 01, 2019 through May 31, 2020) per available FMCSA report to at minimum 85% by the end of FY2021.

Program Activities for FY 2020 - 2022: Describe policies, procedures, and/or technology that will be utilized to identify OOS carriers at roadside. Include how you will conduct quality assurance oversight to ensure that inspectors are effectively identifying OOS carriers and preventing them from operating.

Illinois State Police has implemented TraCS (a third party inspection software) and they continue to develop and test additional validation rules within the software.

Some of the major upgrades/enhancements to this product include:

- 1. Automatic search of the USDOT number when entered into the USDOT field. If this search indicates a carrier is in out of service status, the proper violation will be populated into the violation box. This implementation will allow ISP to target an increase in identifying out of service carriers at roadside to a minimum 85%.
- 2. Creation of an Intermodal violation table, wherein violations can be assigned to vehicle when the vehicle type is marked Intermodal (IC).
- 3. Creation of a post-crash radio button in the violations field. This button would appear when an officer checks the inspection as a post-crash inspection and would place violations which occurred as a result of post-crash damage.
- 4. Integartion of State Commercial Vehicle Information Exchange Window (CVIEW) into the VSIS form.
- 5. Relocation of the USDOT number field to be the first action to be completed. This will ensure the officer enters the number before moving on in VSIS. There will be a pass by which would allow the officer to continue if the carrier did not have a USDOT number.
- 6. The ability to email the carrier a copy of the Inspection. These generations would be on a schedule set by by ISP and would be sent to the email address linked to the USDOT number.
- 7. Capability to generate summary reports for officers in the field. This could include the number of inspections, HM inspections (cargo and non-bulk) and out of service percentages.
- 8. A check box or drop-down menu to allow for selection of either bulk or non-bulk hazardous materials inspections.
- 9. A check box for whether photos are taken at roadside.
- 10. Allowance for a Level 4 inspection to accept brake measurements.
- 11. Automatic upload to SNET.

With that said, Illinois State Police is still working through a glitch they identified in the TrACS program this year where the OOS order violation populates once they are identified, however if the officer tabs out of the violation box, the violation goes away. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, most IT staff have been working remotely and have been tasked with other field IT issues so have not resolved this glitch yet. Illinois State Police anticipates it to be resolved early this FFY.

Additional training will continue to be provided to field officers as this is a newer software program to them. Furthermore, the Commercial Vehicle Enforcement Officers (CVEO's) who are identified as missing out of service carriers at roadside will continue to be counseled and receive additional training on the process of identifying the out of service carriers.

With additional training and enhanced functionality within the TraCS software, Illinois is targeting at minimum 85% catch rate by end of fiscal year.

Illinois State Police will continue to utilize their Automatic License Plate Reader (ALPR/DOT) readers at both the Maryville and Litchfield scales, and the mobile van to work in high traffic locations to locate and take enforcement action on oos carriers. Once ISP finalizes their PP/TLD, they will be installed at other scales across the state.

Performance Measurements and Monitoring: Describe all performance measures and how the State will conduct ongoing monitoring of progress in addition to quarterly SF-PPR reporting.

Illinois will monitor the catch rate reports each quarter to determine if an improvement toward the 85% level is being achieved. If not, Illinois will adjust procedures to identify and monitor accordingly.

Page 35 of 59 last updated on: 8/16/2021 2:06:19 PM

Part 3 Section 2 - Passenger Carrier Enforcement

Please review your State's passenger carrier transportation goals, problem statement narrative, program activities and monitoring. Are there changes that need to be made for the upcoming fiscal year? Before selecting "yes," make sure there are changes to be made as once selected, this answer cannot be changed.

- Yes, the information in this section must be updated for this upcoming fiscal year. I understand that I must click "Save" to save any changes.
- No, the information in this section remains valid for the upcoming fiscal year and no updates are necessary.

Instructions:

FMCSA requests that States conduct enhanced investigations for motor carriers of passengers and other high risk carriers. Additionally, States are asked to allocate resources to participate in the enhanced investigations training being offered by FMCSA. Finally, States are asked to continue partnering with FMCSA in conducting enhanced investigations and inspections at carrier locations.

Check this box if:

As evidenced by the trend analysis data, the State has not identified a significant passenger transportation safety problem. Therefore, the State will not establish a specific passenger transportation goal in the current fiscal year. However, the State will continue to enforce the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations (FMCSRs) pertaining to passenger transportation by CMVs in a manner consistent with the MCSAP Comprehensive Policy as described either below or in the roadside inspection section.

Part 3 Section 3 - State Specific Objectives - Past

No updates are required for this section.

Instructions:

Describe any State-specific CMV problems that were addressed with FY 2019 MCSAP funding. Some examples may include hazardous materials objectives, Electronic Logging Device (ELD) implementation, and crash reduction for a specific segment of industry, etc. Report below on year-to-date progress on each State-specific objective identified in the FY 2019 CVSP.

Progress Report on State Specific Objectives(s) from the FY 2019 CVSP

Please enter information to describe the year-to-date progress on any State-specific objective(s) identified in the State's FY 2019 CVSP. Click on "Add New Activity" to enter progress information on each State-specific objective.

Activity #1

Activity: Describe State-specific activity conducted from previous year's CVSP.

ISP and IDOT will conduct 25% of total number of inspections in these five counties (Cook, DuPage, LaSalle, Lake and Will).

Goal: Insert goal from previous year CVSP (#, %, etc., as appropriate).

A minimum of 17,700 inspections will be conducted in these five counties.

Actual: Insert year to date progress (#, %, etc., as appropriate).

To date, a total of 11,005 inspections have been conducted to date within these five counties. (MCMIS snapshot date: June 28, 2019 including data records through February 28, 2019)

Narrative: Describe any difficulties achieving the goal, problems encountered, obstacles overcome, lessons learned, etc.

N/A

Part 3 Section 4 - State Specific Objectives - Future

Please review your State specific objectives and narrative overview. Are there changes that need to be made for the upcoming fiscal year? Before selecting "yes," make sure there are changes to be made as once selected, this answer cannot be changed.

Yes, the information in this section must be updated for this upcoming fiscal year. I understand that I must click "Save" to save any changes.

No, the information in this section remains valid for the upcoming fiscal year and no updates are necessary.

Instructions:

The State may include additional objectives from the national priorities or emphasis areas identified in the NOFO as applicable. In addition, the State may include any State-specific CMV problems identified in the State that will be addressed with MCSAP funding. Some examples may include hazardous materials objectives, Electronic Logging Device (ELD) implementation, and crash reduction for a specific segment of industry, etc.

Describe any State-specific objective(s) identified for FY 2020 - 2022. Click on "Add New Activity" to enter information on each State-specific objective. This is an optional section and only required if a State has identified a specific State problem planned to be addressed with grant funding.

State Objective #1

Enter the title of your State-Identified Objective.

Traffic Enforcement in High CMV Fatal crash counties.

Narrative Overview for FY 2020 - 2022

Problem Statement Narrative: Describe problem identified by performance data including baseline data.

According to MCMIS data, approximately 32% (48) of 2018 CMV related fatal crashes in Illinois occurred in five counties (Cook, DuPage, Jefferson, Lake, and Will). Data Source: MCMIS data snapshot as of June 28, 2019 including records through February 28, 2019. *Data as available at time of multi-year eCVSP creation.

Projected Goals for FY 2020 - 2022: Enter performance goal.

To reduce the number of CMV related fatal crashes in these five counties (Cook, DuPage, Jefferson, Lake and Will) by 2% from 2018 fatal crash number per year (3 crashes total) for the next 3 years (2020-2022) to 45 by the end of 2022. This reduction was based on the most recent complete MCMIS fatal crash data which was CY2018 at the establishment of the multi-year goal.

Program Activities for FY 2020 - 2022: Describe the activities that will be implemented including level of effort. ISP and IDOT will conduct 25% of its' total number of inspections in these five counties (Cook, DuPage, Jefferson, Lake and Will).

Performance Measurements and Monitoring: Describe all performance measures and how the State will conduct ongoing monitoring of progress in addition to quarterly SF-PPR reporting.

A minimum of 18,248 inspections will be conducted in these five counties. Furthermore, the number of fatal crashes occurring within these identified counties will be reduced to 45 by 2022.

State Objective #2

Enter the title of your State-Identified Objective.

Hazardous Material Inspection

Narrative Overview for FY 2020 - 2022

Problem Statement Narrative: Describe problem identified by performance data including baseline data. Analysis of crash data does not indicate that Illinois has a HM problem.

Projected Goals for FY 2020 - 2022:

Enter performance goal.

Illinois will continue activities for maintenance of the HM Program. Illinois will maintain the HM Inspection program with focus on Hazmat cargo securement.

Program Activities for FY 2020 - 2022: Describe the activities that will be implemented including level of effort.

Activity 1 In an effort to enhance and support the safe transportation of HM, IDOT along with ISP will conduct a statewide detail for 3 days with 10 hours of enforcement each day throughout various districts with a focus on HM cargo securement. A minimum of 26 IDOT Officers and 63 ISP officers will participate with an emphasis on cargo tanks and HM load securement. Activity 2 In order to maintain the safety and security of radioactive shipments on Illinois highways, ISP will conduct (40) en route Level II inspections on Highway Route Controlled Quantities (HRCQ) of radioactive shipments. Due to the reduction of shipments through Illinois, we are uncertain on the number of en route inspections that will actually be completed.

Performance Measurements and Monitoring: Describe all performance measures and how the State will conduct ongoing monitoring of progress in addition to quarterly SF-PPR reporting.

Performance Measure 1 Illinois will use the Out of Service (OOS) rates along with incident data from MCMIS to compare effectiveness of the details. Performance Measure 2 ISP Officers in conjunction with the IEMA, Division of Nuclear Safety, will conduct approximately (40) en route Level II inspections on all radioactive shipments qualifying HRCQ.

State Objective #3

Enter the title of your State-Identified Objective.

Passenger Carrier Enforcement

Narrative Overview for FY 2020 - 2022

Problem Statement Narrative: Describe problem identified by performance data including baseline data.

Analysis of crash data does not indicate that Illinois has a Passenger Carrier fatal crash problem of those carriers operating within FMCSA jurisdiction.

Projected Goals for FY 2020 - 2022:

Enter performance goal.

Illinois will maintain an aggressive program to sustain 19 passenger carrier certified officers.

Program Activities for FY 2020 - 2022: Describe the activities that will be implemented including level of effort.

Activity 1 Illinois DOT MCSAP Office currently has 19 certified passenger carrier officers. IDOT will complete the National Passenger Carrier strike force detail and (4) additional details (with a minimum of 5 officers participating at each) to conduct at minimum 5 CR's during each detail (based on SMS prioritization) and inspections on passenger carriers to ensure they are correcting the issues that affected the passenger carrier safety rating if applicable. If no carriers are identified by the SMS prioritization list, carriers that have not been inspected in 3 years will be selected per MAP-21. A passenger carrier detail is defined as IDOT going into an area of the State to conduct passenger carrier reviews and inspections on companies identified (either by the SMS prioritization list or per MAP-21) to ensure compliance with regulations.

Page 39 of 59 last updated on: 8/16/2021 2:06:19 PM

Performance Measurements and Monitoring: Describe all performance measures and how the State will conduct ongoing monitoring of progress in addition to quarterly SF-PPR reporting.

Performance Measure 1 IDOT MCSAP Compliance Officers will conduct a total of (20) CR's (based on the SMS prioritization list per MAP-21) which will include vehicle inspections providing the vehicle is available.

State Objective #4

Enter the title of your State-Identified Objective.

ELD Web Service Transmission

Narrative Overview for FY 2020 - 2022

Problem Statement Narrative: Describe problem identified by performance data including baseline data.

Currently, Illinois has a 61.24% ELD transfer rate utilizing web service transmission to eRODS. Illinois State Police which conducts over 95% of all inspections in Illinois has approximately 700 officers that are Level III only certified and do not have Portal access therefore preventing them from the ability to utilize eRODS.

Projected Goals for FY 2020 - 2022: Enter performance goal.

Illinois will work with FMCSA to identify a method to separate the transfer rate of full time staff only. Illinois will increase its' current web service transfer rate to 85% for all full time Illinois State Police CVEO's and IDOT Officers. FY2020* - FY2021 85% FY2022 85% *This goal was not implemented until the FY2021 eCVSP and therefore no % was listed for FY2020.

Program Activities for FY 2020 - 2022: Describe the activities that will be implemented including level of effort. Illinois will provide training and resources to all full time Illinois State Police CVEO's and IDOT Officers ensuring web service transfer method of ELDs is being used at roadside and during investigations when applicable.

Performance Measurements and Monitoring: Describe all performance measures and how the State will conduct ongoing monitoring of progress in addition to quarterly SF-PPR reporting.

Illinois will monitor the transfer rate each quarter as made available by FMCSA to determine if an improvement toward the 85% level is being achieved. If not, Illinois will adjust procedures to identify and monitor accordingly.

Page 40 of 59 last updated on: 8/16/2021 2:06:19 PM

Part 4 - Financial Information

Part 4 Section 1 - Overview

The Spending Plan is an explanation of each budget component, and should support the cost estimates for the proposed work. The Spending Plan should focus on how each item will achieve the proposed project goals and objectives, and explain how costs are calculated. The Spending Plan must be clear, specific, detailed, and mathematically correct. Sources for assistance in developing the Spending Plan include <u>2 CFR part 200</u>, <u>2 CFR part 1201</u>, <u>49 CFR part 350</u> and the <u>MCSAP Comprehensive Policy</u>.

Before any cost is billed to or recovered from a Federal award, it must be allowable (2 CFR §200.403, 2 CFR §200 Subpart E – Cost Principles), reasonable and necessary (2 CFR §200.403 and 2 CFR §200.404), and allocable (2 CFR §200.405).

- <u>Allowable</u> costs are permissible under the OMB Uniform Guidance, DOT and FMCSA regulations and directives, MCSAP policy, and all other relevant legal and regulatory authority.
- Reasonable and Necessary costs are those which a prudent person would deem to be judicious under the circumstances.
- <u>Allocable</u> costs are those that are charged to a funding source (e.g., a Federal award) based upon the benefit received by the funding source. Benefit received must be tangible and measurable.
 - For example, a Federal project that uses 5,000 square feet of a rented 20,000 square foot facility may charge 25 percent of the total rental cost.

Instructions

The Spending Plan should include costs for FY 2021 only. This applies to States completing a multi-year CVSP or an Annual Update to their multi-year CVSP.

The Spending Plan data tables are displayed by budget category (Personnel, Fringe Benefits, Travel, Equipment, Supplies, Contractual and Subaward, and Other Costs). You may add additional lines to each table, as necessary. Please include clear, concise explanations in the narrative boxes regarding the reason for each cost, how costs are calculated, why they are necessary, and specific information on how prorated costs were determined.

The following definitions describe Spending Plan terminology.

- Federal Share means the portion of the total project costs paid by Federal funds. Federal share is 85 percent of the total project costs for this FMCSA grant program.
- State Share means the portion of the total project costs paid by State funds. State share is 15 percent of the total project costs for this FMCSA grant program. A State is only required to contribute up to 15 percent of the total project costs of all budget categories combined as State share. A State is NOT required to include a 15 percent State share for each line item in a budget category. The State has the flexibility to select the budget categories and line items where State match will be shown.
- **Total Project Costs** means total allowable costs incurred under a Federal award and all required cost sharing (sum of the Federal share plus State share), including third party contributions.
- Maintenance of Effort (MOE) means the level of effort Lead State Agencies are required to maintain each fiscal year in accordance with 49 CFR § 350.301. The State has the flexibility to select the budget categories and line items where MOE will be shown. Additional information regarding MOE can be found in the MCSAP Comprehensive Policy (MCP) in section 3.6.

On Screen Messages

The system performs a number of edit checks on Spending Plan data inputs to ensure calculations are correct, and values are as expected. When anomalies are detected, alerts will be displayed on screen.

· Calculation of Federal and State Shares

Total Project Costs are determined for each line based upon user-entered data and a specific budget category formula. Federal and State shares are then calculated by the system based upon the Total Project Costs and are added to each line item.

The system calculates an 85 percent Federal share and 15 percent State share automatically and populates these

values in each line. Federal share is the product of Total Project Costs x .85. State share equals Total Project Costs minus Federal share. If Total Project Costs are updated based upon user edits to the input values, the 85 and 15 percent values will not be recalculated by the system and should be reviewed and updated by users as necessary.

States may edit the system-calculated Federal and State share values at any time to reflect actual allocation for any line item. For example, States may allocate a different percentage to Federal and State shares. States must ensure that the sum of the Federal and State shares equals the Total Project Costs for each line before proceeding to the next budget category.

An error is shown on line items where Total Project Costs does not equal the sum of the Federal and State shares. Errors must be resolved before the system will allow users to 'save' or 'add' new line items.

Territories must insure that Total Project Costs equal Federal share for each line in order to proceed.

MOE Expenditures

States may enter MOE on individual line items in the Spending Plan tables. The Personnel, Fringe Benefits, Equipment, Supplies, and Other Costs budget activity areas include edit checks on each line item preventing MOE costs from exceeding allowable amounts.

- If "Percentage of Time on MCSAP grant" equals 100%, then MOE must equal \$0.00.
- If "Percentage of Time on MCSAP grant" equals 0%, then MOE may equal up to Total Project Costs as expected at 100%.
- If "Percentage of Time on MCSAP grant" > 0% AND < 100%, then the MOE maximum value cannot exceed "100% Total Project Costs" minus "system-calculated Total Project Costs".

An error is shown on line items where MOE expenditures are too high. Errors must be resolved before the system will allow users to 'save' or 'add' new line items.

The Travel and Contractual budget activity areas do not include edit checks for MOE costs on each line item. States should review all entries to ensure costs reflect estimated expenditures.

Financial Summary

The Financial Summary is a summary of all budget categories. The system provides warnings to the States on this page if the projected State Spending Plan totals are outside FMCSA's estimated funding amounts. States should review any warning messages that appear on this page and address them prior to submitting the eCVSP for FMCSA review.

The system will confirm that:

- Overtime value does not exceed the FMCSA limit.
- Planned MOE Costs equal or exceed FMCSA limit.
- States' proposed Federal and State share totals are each within \$5 of FMCSA's Federal and State share estimated amounts.
- Territories' proposed Total Project Costs are within \$5 of \$350,000.

| ESTIMATED Fiscal Year Funding Amounts for MCSAP | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| | 85.01% Federal Share | 14.99% State Share | Total Estimated Funding | | | |
| Total | \$10,828,686.00 | \$1,910,795.00 | \$12,739,481.00 | | | |

| Summary of MCSAP Funding Limitations | |
|--|----------------|
| Allowable amount for Overtime without written justification (14.99% of MCSAP Award Amount): | \$1,910,795.00 |
| MOE Baseline: | \$660,369.78 |

Part 4 Section 2 - Personnel

Personnel costs are salaries for employees working directly on a project.

Note: Do not include any personally identifiable information (PII) in the CVSP. The final CVSP approved by FMCSA is required to be posted to a public FMCSA website.

List grant-funded staff who will complete the tasks discussed in the narrative descriptive sections of the CVSP. Positions may be listed by title or function. It is not necessary to list all individual personnel separately by line. The State may use average or actual salary and wages by personnel category (e.g., Trooper, Civilian Inspector, Admin Support, etc.). Additional lines may be added as necessary to capture all your personnel costs.

The percent of each person's time must be allocated to this project based on the amount of time/effort applied to the project. For budgeting purposes, historical data is an acceptable basis.

Note: Reimbursement requests must be based upon documented time and effort reports. Those same time and effort reports may be used to estimate salary expenses for a future period. For example, a MCSAP officer's time and effort reports for the previous year show that he/she spent 35 percent of his/her time on approved grant activities. Consequently, it is reasonable to budget 35 percent of the officer's salary to this project. For more information on this item see <u>2 CFR</u> §200.430.

In the salary column, enter the salary for each position.

Total Project Costs equal the Number of Staff x Percentage of Time on MCSAP grant x Salary for both Personnel and Overtime (OT).

If OT will be charged to the grant, only OT amounts for the Lead MCSAP Agency should be included in the table below. If the OT amount requested is greater than the 14.99 percent limitation in the MCSAP Comprehensive Policy (MCP), then justification must be provided in the CVSP for review and approval by FMCSA headquarters.

Activities conducted on OT by subrecipients under subawards from the Lead MCSAP Agency must comply with the 14.99 percent limitation as provided in the MCP. Any deviation from the 14.99 percent limitation must be approved by the Lead MCSAP Agency for the subrecipients.

| Summary of MCSAP Funding Limitations | |
|---|----------------|
| Allowable amount for Lead MCSAP Agency Overtime without written justification (14.99% of MCSAP Award Amount): | \$1,910,795.00 |

Page 43 of 59 last updated on: 8/16/2021 2:06:19 PM

| | | Personne | I: Salary and Ove | ertime Project Co | sts | | |
|--|------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---|------------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | Salary Projec | t Costs | | | |
| Position(s) | # of Staff | % of Time on MCSAP Grant | Salary | Total Project Costs (Federal + State) | Federal Share | State Share | MOE |
| New MCSAP Compliance Officers | 8 | 100.0000 | \$47,245.44 | \$377,963.52 | \$321,266.00 | \$56,697.52 | \$0.00 |
| MCSAP Compliance Officers | 26 | 100.0000 | \$69,434.62 | \$1,805,300.12 | \$1,783,965.47 | \$21,334.65 | \$0.00 |
| New Hire Office Support Staff-CMV Data Entry | 1 | 100.0000 | \$32,134.66 | \$32,134.66 | \$27,314.46 | \$4,820.20 | \$0.00 |
| Office Support- Compliance Tech | 1 | 100.0000 | \$50,465.52 | \$50,465.52 | \$42,895.69 | \$7,569.83 | \$0.00 |
| Office Support-Grant Mgr | 1 | 100.0000 | \$58,947.12 | \$58,947.12 | \$50,105.05 | \$8,842.07 | \$0.00 |
| Program Support Unit Mgr | 1 | 100.0000 | \$63,824.04 | \$63,824.04 | \$54,250.43 | \$9,573.61 | \$0.00 |
| Office Coordinator | 1 | 100.0000 | \$32,092.25 | \$32,092.25 | \$27,278.41 | \$4,813.84 | \$0.00 |
| Subtotal: Salary | | | | \$2,420,727.23 | \$2,307,075.51 | \$113,651.72 | \$0.00 |
| | | | Overtime Proje | ect Costs | | | |
| MCSAP Compliance Officers | 26 | 100.0000 | \$3,443.40 | \$89,528.40 | \$76,099.14 | \$13,429.26 | \$0.00 |
| MCSAP Office Support Staff | 1 | 100.0000 | \$2,067.50 | \$2,067.50 | \$1,757.37 | \$310.13 | \$0.00 |
| Subtotal: Overtime | | | | \$91,595.90 | \$77,856.51 | \$13,739.39 | \$0.00 |
| TOTAL: Personnel | | | | \$2,512,323.13 | \$2,384,932.02 | \$127,391.11 | \$0.00 |
| Accounting Method: | Accrual | | | | | | |

Enter a detailed explanation of how the personnel costs were derived and allocated to the MCSAP project.

Twenty-six officers will work on MCSAP eligible enforcement activities including inspections, compliance reviews, safety audits, hazardous material inspections and public information and education presentations to complete grant requirements for the State of Illinois. Furthermore, currently 9 office staff work (5 at 100%, 1 at 75% and 3 at 25%) of their time on MCSAP eligible activity including processing of civil penalty cases, monitoring and reporting performance progress, maintain and answer requests for data review, assist with preparing cases and documentation for audit completions. Those individuals who work less than 100% of their time on the grant will be charged to MOE and are not included individually in the chart above. Illinois has plans to include other grant eligible items for MOE. These items will include but are not limited to management and office support and could include other MCSAP eligible grant expenditures. The specific amount of MOE level for each line item is not able to be calculated at this time.

All Compliance Officers have the ability to work overtime providing it is preapproved. This could include overtime incurred during inspection details, passenger carrier strike-force, safety audits, group audits, attendance at public education presentations. For overtime, we have projected on average 60 hours per officer and 50 total hours combined for all office support staff to conduct MCSAP eligible activities including CMV data entry catch up. The calculation is based on the average salary for all officers and/or office support staff.

Illinois has included all projected salary expenditures for the new staff Illinois anticipates to hire during the year. However, activities were not amended to reflect the same since training will have to be completed prior to these proposed new officers contributing to the activity performance.

The IDOT personnel listed in the spending plan work a 37.5 hour work week, not a 40 hour work week.

Part 4 Section 3 - Fringe Benefits

Fringe costs are benefits paid to employees, including the cost of employer's share of FICA, health insurance, worker's compensation, and paid leave. Only non-Federal grantees that use the **accrual basis** of accounting may have a separate line item for leave, and is entered as the projected leave expected to be accrued by the personnel listed within Part 4.2 – Personnel. Reference <u>2 CFR §200.431(b)</u>.

Show the fringe benefit costs associated with the staff listed in the Personnel section. Fringe costs may be estimates, or based on a fringe benefit rate approved by the applicant's Federal cognizant agency for indirect costs. If using an approved rate, a copy of the indirect cost rate agreement must be provided through grants.gov. For more information on this item see 2 CFR §200.431.

Show how the fringe benefit amount is calculated (i.e., actual fringe benefits, rate approved by HHS Statewide Cost Allocation or cognizant agency). Include a description of the specific benefits that are charged to a project and the benefit percentage or total benefit cost.

The cost of fringe benefits are allowable if:

- Costs are provided under established written policies.
- Costs are equitably allocated to all related activities, including Federal awards.
- Accounting basis (cash or accrual) selected for each type of leave is consistently followed by the non-Federal entity or specified grouping of employees.

Depending on the State, there are fixed employer taxes that are paid as a percentage of the salary, such as Social Security, Medicare, State Unemployment Tax, etc.

- For each of these standard employer taxes, under Position you may list "All Positions," the benefits would be the respective standard employer taxes, followed by the respective rate with a base being the total salaries for Personnel in Part 4.2.
- The base multiplied by the respective rate would give the total for each standard employer tax. Workers'
 Compensation is rated by risk area. It is permissible to enter this as an average, usually between sworn and unsworn—any grouping that is reasonable and clearly explained in the narrative is allowable.
- Health Insurance and Pensions can vary greatly and can be averaged; and like Workers' Compensation, can sometimes be broken into sworn and unsworn.

In the Position column include a brief position description that is associated with the fringe benefits.

The Fringe Benefit Rate is:

- The rate that has been approved by the State's cognizant agency for indirect costs; or a rate that has been calculated based on the aggregate rates and/or costs of the individual items that your agency classifies as fringe benefits.
- For example, your agency pays 7.65 percent for FICA, 42.05 percent for health/life/dental insurance, and 15.1 percent for retirement. The aggregate rate of 64.8 percent (sum of the three rates) may be applied to the salaries/wages of personnel listed in the table.

The **Base Amount** is:

- The salary/wage costs within the proposed budget to which the fringe benefit rate will be applied.
- For example, if the total wages for all grant-funded staff is \$150,000 and the percentage of time on the grant is 50 percent, then that is the amount the fringe rate of 64.8 (from the example above) will be applied. The calculation is: \$150,000 x 64.8 x 50% / 100 = \$48,600 Total Project Costs.

Total Project Costs equal the Fringe Benefit Rate x Percentage of Time on MCSAP grant x Base Amount divided by 100.

Page 45 of 59 last updated on: 8/16/2021 2:06:19 PM

| Fringe Benefits Project Costs | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|--|------------------|-------------|--------|--|--|
| Position(s) | Fringe Benefit Rate | % of Time on MCSAP Grant | Base Amount | Total Project Costs (Federal + State) | Federal Share | State Share | MOE | | |
| New MCSAP Compliance Officers | 4.0000 | 100.0000 | \$377,963.52 | \$15,118.54 | \$12,850.76 | \$2,267.78 | \$0.00 | | |
| New MCSAP Compliance Officers | 7.6500 | 100.0000 | \$377,963.52 | \$28,914.20 | \$24,577.07 | \$4,337.13 | \$0.00 | | |
| MCSAP Compliance Officers | 4.0000 | 100.0000 | \$1,944,700.94 | \$77,788.03 | \$66,119.83 | \$11,668.20 | \$0.00 | | |
| MCSAP Compliance Officers | 7.6500 | 100.0000 | \$1,944,700.94 | \$148,769.62 | \$126,454.18 | \$22,315.44 | \$0.00 | | |
| CMV Crash Data Entry Associate | 4.0000 | 100.0000 | \$32,134.66 | \$1,285.38 | \$1,092.57 | \$192.81 | \$0.00 | | |
| CMV Crash Data Entry Associate | 7.6500 | 100.0000 | \$32,134.66 | \$2,458.30 | \$2,089.56 | \$368.74 | \$0.00 | | |
| Compliance Tech-Office Support | 4.0000 | 100.0000 | \$50,465.52 | \$2,018.62 | \$1,715.83 | \$302.79 | \$0.00 | | |
| Compliance Tech-Office Support | 7.6500 | 100.0000 | \$50,465.52 | \$3,860.61 | \$3,281.52 | \$579.09 | \$0.00 | | |
| Office Support-Grant Mgr | 4.0000 | 100.0000 | \$58,947.12 | \$2,357.88 | \$2,004.20 | \$353.68 | \$0.00 | | |
| Office Support-Grant Mgr | 7.6500 | 100.0000 | \$58,947.12 | \$4,509.45 | \$3,833.03 | \$676.42 | \$0.00 | | |
| Program Support Unit Mgr | 4.0000 | 100.0000 | \$63,824.04 | \$2,552.96 | \$2,170.02 | \$382.94 | \$0.00 | | |
| Program Support Unit Mgr | 7.6500 | 100.0000 | \$63,824.04 | \$4,882.53 | \$4,150.15 | \$732.38 | \$0.00 | | |
| Office Coordinator | 4.0000 | 100.0000 | \$32,092.25 | \$1,283.69 | \$1,091.14 | \$192.55 | \$0.00 | | |
| Office Coordinator | 7.6500 | 100.0000 | \$32,092.25 | \$2,455.05 | \$2,086.79 | \$368.26 | \$0.00 | | |
| TOTAL: Fringe Benefits | | | | \$298,254.86 | \$253,516.65 | \$44,738.21 | \$0.00 | | |

Enter a detailed explanation of how the fringe benefit costs were derived and allocated to the MCSAP project.

The Department is projecting a fringe benefit (Retirement and FICA) cost of \$214,803.64 for the MCSAP Officers and office support staff for hours that will be charged to the grant. Illinois does not have an approved Fringe Benefit rate. However, for budgeting fringe expenditures, these calculations are based off average salary projections from actual expenditures from the past year. Fringe expenditures for those office support staff that work less than 100% of their time on this grant will be charged to MOE. Please note that even though the Department indicates the "fringe benefit" expenditures were based off of an average salary projection for budget purposes completing the grant budget and only actual incurred fringe (Retirement and FICA) expenditures will be charged to the grant. The Department has not and will not charge any estimated expenditures to the grant.

The Fringe Benefit Rate of 7.65% is for Retirement. The Fringe Benefit Rate of 4.0% is for FICA.

Part 4 Section 4 - Travel

Itemize the positions/functions of the people who will travel. Show the estimated cost of items including but not limited to, airfare, lodging, meals, transportation, etc. Explain in detail how the MCSAP program will directly benefit from the travel.

Travel costs are funds for field work or for travel to professional meetings.

List the purpose, number of persons traveling, number of days, percentage of time on MCSAP Grant, and total project costs for each trip. If details of each trip are not known at the time of application submission, provide the basis for estimating the amount requested. For more information on this item see <u>2 CFR §200.474</u>.

Total Project Costs should be determined by State users, and manually input in the table below. There is no system calculation for this budget category.

| Travel Project Costs | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------|-----------|--------------------------------|--|------------------|-------------|--------|--|--|
| Purpose | # of Staff | # of Days | % of Time on MCSAP Grant | Total Project Costs (Federal + State) | Federal Share | State Share | MOE | | |
| Compliance Reviews | 11 | 2 | 100.0000 | \$14,384.00 | \$12,226.40 | \$2,157.60 | \$0.00 | | |
| Roadcheck Detail | 26 | 5 | 100.0000 | \$11,050.00 | \$9,392.50 | \$1,657.50 | \$0.00 | | |
| Onsite Safety Audits | 24 | 1 | 100.0000 | \$15,988.50 | \$13,590.23 | \$2,398.27 | \$0.00 | | |
| Other MCSAP Related Travel | 26 | 5 | 100.0000 | \$33,624.80 | \$25,581.08 | \$8,043.72 | \$0.00 | | |
| TOTAL: Travel | | | | \$75,047.30 | \$60,790.21 | \$14,257.09 | \$0.00 | | |

Enter a detailed explanation of how the travel costs were derived and allocated to the MCSAP project.

The Department strives to assign cases to officers logistically so as to reduce MCSAP related travel costs. Travel cost were arrived at by using an average of actual grant expenditures form previous grant years. The projected travel costs for IDOT, Bureau of Investigations and Compliance include the following:

Compliance Review Travel: 11 Officers completing 80 compliance reviews (Illinois anticipates approximately 1/2 of them will require overnight stays) at an estimated cost of \$359.60/CR (per diem and lodging) for a projected total of \$14,384. Average state rate of \$151.80/night at hotel. Please note the 44 offsite CRs that were projected would not require travel and therefore are not included in this calcuation.

Roadcheck Detail: 26 Officers participating at an average cost of \$425.00/officer (per diem and lodging) for a projected total of \$11,050.

Safety Audits: 24 Officers completing 330 onsite safety audits at an estimated cost of \$193.80/Safety audit (per diem and lodging) (Illinois is only budgeting for 1/4 of Onsite Safety Audits to require overnight travel) for a projected total of \$15,988.50. Average of \$151.80/night at hotel.

Other MCSAP Travel: All other estimated travel cost including passenger carrier strike force (19 officers @ \$700 totaling \$13,300), hazmat details (26 officers @ \$214.80/each totaling \$5,584.80) and CVSA Workshop (1 officer at \$2,500 for registration, lodging, travel and per diem expense); CVSA Data Management, Quality and FMCSA Training (2 staff registration fees @ \$1,300 \$820/person for lodging and per diem; Flight @ ~\$500/person) . Administrative MCSAP meetings/trainings 26 officers participating (locations to be determined) so Illinois is not able to estimate cost so IL budgeted \$1,500 for these meetings/trainings and MCSAP CVSP Planning Meeting (3 attendees) totaling approximately \$1,800 for lodging and per diem expense.

Other conferences/presentations as requested by Industry or FMCSA totaling approximately \$5,000 (per diem, lodgdging and travel expense) (includes any conferences/presentations conducted by the Illinois DOT Officer that was asked to be part of PTAG).

Potential Conferences for FY2021:

Farm Progress Show (1 officer)

Midwest Truckers (3 officers)

IL Fertilizer & Chemical Association (1 officer)

IL Water Ways Association (1 officer)

Midwest Ag Industrities Exposition (MAGIE) through the Illinois Fertilizer & Chemical Association Conference (2 officers)

MCSAP Officers attend these conferences and present information on what it takes to be in compliance with both State and Federal regulations. These conferences are typically 1-2 session educational type training provided by Compliance Officers. The other topics of discussion/education are often set by the conference itself, directed at the request of the group who contacts the Bureau of Investigations and Compliance, MCSAP Section and often include general motor carrier compliance type trainings, specific issues addressing Hours of Service, HM issues, etc.

Page 47 of 59 last updated on: 8/16/2021 2:06:19 PM

Part 4 Section 5 - Equipment

Equipment is tangible or intangible personal property. It includes information technology systems having a useful life of more than one year, and a per-unit acquisition cost that equals or exceeds the lesser of the capitalization level established by the non-Federal entity (i.e., the State) for financial statement purposes, or \$5,000.

 If your State's equipment capitalization threshold is below \$5,000, check the box below and provide the threshold amount. See §200.12 Capital assets, §200.20 Computing devices, §200.48 General purpose equipment, §200.58 Information technology systems, §200.89 Special purpose equipment, and §200.94 Supplies.

Show the total cost of equipment and the percentage of time dedicated for MCSAP related activities that the equipment will be billed to MCSAP. For example, you intend to purchase a server for \$5,000 to be shared equally among five programs, including MCSAP. The MCSAP portion of the total cost is \$1,000. If the equipment you are purchasing will be capitalized (depreciated), you may only show the depreciable amount, and not the total cost (2 CFR §200.436 and 2 CFR §200.439). If vehicles or large IT purchases are listed here, the applicant must disclose their agency's capitalization policy.

Provide a description of the equipment requested. Include the quantity, the full cost of each item, and the percentage of time this item will be dedicated to MCSAP grant.

Total Project Costs equal the Number of Items x Full Cost per Item x Percentage of Time on MCSAP grant.

| | Equipment Project Costs | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|--------|--|
| Item Name | # of Items | Full Cost per Item | % of Time on MCSAP Grant | Total Project Costs (Federal + State) | Federal Share | State Share | MOE | |
| TOTAL: Equipment | | | | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Equipment three | shold is \$ | 100 | | | | | | |

Enter a detailed explanation of how the equipment costs were derived and allocated to the MCSAP project.

Due to the COVID-19 Pandemic, the equipment line item was cut from the Illinois DOT MCSAP Budget for State Fiscal Year 21. Therefore no equipment has been listed within this Plan.

Page 48 of 59

last updated on: 8/16/2021 2:06:19 PM

Part 4 Section 6 - Supplies

Supplies means all tangible property other than that described in §200.33 Equipment. A computing device is a supply if the acquisition cost is less than the lesser of the capitalization level established by the non-Federal entity for financial statement purposes or \$5,000, regardless of the length of its useful life. See also §200.20 Computing devices and §200.33 Equipment.

Estimates for supply costs may be based on the same allocation as personnel. For example, if 35 percent of officers' salaries are allocated to this project, you may allocate 35 percent of your total supply costs to this project. A different allocation basis is acceptable, so long as it is reasonable, repeatable and logical, and a description is provided in the narrative.

Provide a description of each unit/item requested, including the quantity of each unit/item, the unit of measurement for the unit/item, the cost of each unit/item, and the percentage of time on MCSAP grant.

Total Project Costs equal the Number of Units x Cost per Unit x Percentage of Time on MCSAP grant.

| | Supplies Project Costs | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|---|------------------|-------------|--------|--|
| Item Name | # of Units/ Unit of Measurement | Cost per Unit | % of Time on MCSAP Grant | Total Project Costs (Federal + State) | Federal Share | State Share | MOE | |
| Printer Ink | 408 1 | \$21.00 | 100.0000 | \$8,568.00 | \$7,282.80 | \$1,285.20 | \$0.00 | |
| Miscellaneous Office Supplies (Non Core Office Supplies) | 1 1 | \$8,347.00 | 100.0000 | \$8,347.00 | \$7,094.95 | \$1,252.05 | \$0.00 | |
| Clothing (tops, pants, etc. for MCSAP work) | 8 | \$450.00 | 100.0000 | \$3,600.00 | \$3,060.00 | \$540.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Commercial Vehicle Safety OOS Guide | 33 OOS Guide | \$45.00 | 100.0000 | \$1,485.00 | \$1,262.25 | \$222.75 | \$0.00 | |
| Regulatory Reference Material | 2 Annually | \$1,500.00 | 100.0000 | \$3,000.00 | \$2,550.30 | \$449.70 | \$0.00 | |
| TOTAL: Supplies | | | | \$25,000.00 | \$21,250.30 | \$3,749.70 | \$0.00 | |

Enter a detailed explanation of how the supply costs were derived and allocated to the MCSAP project.

Printer ink for the 34 (includes potential 8 new MCSAP Compliance Officers). Officers use on average 12 cartridges/year for portable printers at an average cost of \$21/cartridge.

Miscellaneous Office Supplies include other essential office supplies for MCSAP Field and Office staff that are non-core office supplies.

Clothing (tops, pants, coat, etc.) to be worn at the office and in the field for MCSAP work. The cost was using an estimation of \$450/officer @ 8 uniforms/clothing for potential 8 new MCSAP Compliance Officers.

Non Core Office supplies simply refers to office supplies not available at the Illinois Department of Transportation warehouse. These include certain folders, expandable files, labels, etc. that are used by the MCSAP staff that are not stocked at the warehouse.

Page 49 of 59 last updated on: 8/16/2021 2:06:19 PM

Part 4 Section 7 - Contractual and Subaward

This section includes contractual costs and subawards to subrecipients. Use the table below to capture the information needed for both contractual agreements and subawards. The definitions of these terms are provided so the instrument type can be entered into the table below.

Contractual – A contract is a legal instrument by which a non-Federal entity purchases property or services needed to carry out the project or program under a Federal award (<u>2 CFR §200.22</u>). All contracts issued under a Federal award must comply with the standards described in <u>2 CFR §200 Procurement Standards</u>.

Note: Contracts are separate and distinct from subawards; see 2 CFR §200.330 for details.

Subaward – A subaward is an award provided by a pass-through entity to a subrecipient for the subrecipient to carry out part of a Federal award received by the pass-through entity. It does not include payments to a contractor or payments to an individual that is a beneficiary of a Federal program. A subaward may be provided through any form of legal agreement, including an agreement that the pass-through entity considers a contract (2 CFR §200.92 and 2 CFR §200.330).

Subrecipient - Subrecipient means a non-Federal entity that receives a subaward from a pass-through entity to carry out part of a Federal program, but does not include an individual who is a beneficiary of such program. A subrecipient may also be a recipient of other Federal awards directly from a Federal awarding agency (2 CFR §200.93).

Enter the legal name of the vendor or subrecipient if known. If unknown at this time, please indicate 'unknown' in the legal name field. Include a description of services for each contract or subaward listed in the table. Entering a statement such as "contractual services" with no description will not be considered meeting the requirement for completing this section.

Enter the DUNS or EIN number of each entity. There is a drop-down option to choose either DUNS or EIN, and then the State must enter the corresponding identification number.

Select the Instrument Type by choosing either Contract or Subaward for each entity.

Total Project Costs should be determined by State users and input in the table below. The tool does not automatically calculate the total project costs for this budget category.

Operations and Maintenance-If the State plans to include O&M costs that meet the definition of a contractual or subaward cost, details must be provided in the table and narrative below.

Please describe the activities these costs will be using to support (i.e., ITD, PRISM, SSDQ or other services.)

| | Contractual and Subaward Project Costs | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------------|---|------------------|----------------|--------|--|
| Legal Name | DUNS/EIN Number | Instrument Type | % of Time on MCSAP Grant | Total Project Costs (Federal + State) | Federal Share | State Share | MOE | |
| Illinois State Police | DUNS 320170041 | Subrecipient | 100.0000 | \$9,449,099.02 | \$8,031,734.17 | \$1,417,364.85 | \$0.00 | |
| Description of S | ervices: | | | | | | | |
| Commercial Vehicle Safety Alliance | EIN 38400296 | Contract | 100.0000 | \$19,900.00 | \$16,916.99 | \$2,983.01 | \$0.00 | |
| Description of S | Description of Services: Commercial Vehicle Safety Alliance Dues | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL: Contractual and Subaward | | | | \$9,468,999.02 | \$8,048,651.16 | \$1,420,347.86 | \$0.00 | |

Enter a detailed explanation of how the contractual and subaward costs were derived and allocated to the MCSAP project.

Illinois State Police Projected Costs

Federal Funds

FFY 2021 MCSAP Funding* Local Match provided by the GRANTEE (State Appropriation) \$8,031,734.17 (85%) \$1,417,364.85(15%)

Page 50 of 59 last updated on: 8/16/2021 2:06:19 PM

PERSONAL SERVICES:

| Basic Sworn Officers (41 FTE) Salaries | 4,567,968.00 |
|--|--------------|
| Retirement (50%) | 3,053,795.00 |
| Social Security (1.45%) | 80,757.29 |
| Incidental Overtime - Sworn (included with salary above) | |
| Overtime - SWORN | 1,001,500.00 |
| Code (3 FTE) Salaries | 147,470.00 |
| Retirement (50%) | 80,859.28 |
| Social Security (7.65%) | 11,281.45 |
| Incidental Overtime - Code (included with salary above) | |

ncidental Overtime - Code (included with salary above)

Total for Personal Services 8,943,631.02

| Travel | 75,450.00 |
|--|------------|
| Supplies | 38,318.98 |
| Contractual Services (Includes Trucking Against Trafficing) | 37,700.00 |
| Telecommunications | 69,000.00 |
| Training and Education | 5,000.00 |
| Operation of Auto/Mis (Gas-WEX only, Excludes CMS quarterly) | 280,000.00 |

SUB-TOTAL \$9,449,100.00 (85% federal and 15% match rounded up)

Code Salaries are those for Illinois State Police non sworn office staff that work in direct support of the Motor Carrier Safety Assistance Program.

^{*}Based on estimated amount of federal funding to be awarded.

Part 4 Section 8 - Other Costs

Other Costs are those not classified elsewhere and are allocable to the Federal award. These costs must be specifically itemized and described. The total costs and allocation bases must be explained in the narrative. Examples of Other Costs (typically non-tangible) may include utilities, leased property or equipment, fuel for vehicles, employee training tuition, meeting registration costs, etc. The quantity, unit of measurement (e.g., monthly, annually, each, etc.), unit cost, and percentage of time on MCSAP grant must be included.

Operations and Maintenance-If the State plans to include O&M costs that do not meet the definition of a contractual or subaward cost, details must be provided in the table and narrative below. Please identify these costs as ITD O&M, PRISM O&M, or SSDQ O&M. Sufficient detail must be provided in the narrative that explains what components of the specific program are being addressed by the O&M costs.

Enter a description of each requested Other Cost.

Enter the number of items/units, the unit of measurement, the cost per unit/item, and the percentage of time dedicated to the MCSAP grant for each Other Cost listed. Show the cost of the Other Costs and the portion of the total cost that will be billed to MCSAP. For example, you intend to purchase air cards for \$2,000 to be shared equally among five programs, including MCSAP. The MCSAP portion of the total cost is \$400.

Total Project Costs equal the Number of Units x Cost per Item x Percentage of Time on MCSAP grant.

Indirect Costs

Information on Indirect Costs (2 CFR §200.56) is captured in this section. This cost is allowable only when an approved indirect cost rate agreement has been provided in the "My Documents" area in the eCVSP tool and through Grants.gov. Applicants may charge up to the total amount of the approved indirect cost rate multiplied by the eligible cost base. Applicants with a cost basis of salaries/wages and fringe benefits may only apply the indirect rate to those expenses. Applicants with an expense base of modified total direct costs (MTDC) may only apply the rate to those costs that are included in the MTDC base (2 CFR §200.68).

- Cost Basis is the accumulated direct costs (normally either total direct salaries and wages or total direct costs exclusive of any extraordinary or distorting expenditures) used to distribute indirect costs to individual Federal awards. The direct cost base selected should result in each Federal award bearing a fair share of the indirect costs in reasonable relation to the benefits received from the costs.
- Approved Rate is the rate in the approved Indirect Cost Rate Agreement.
- Eligible Indirect Expenses means after direct costs have been determined and assigned directly to Federal awards and other activities as appropriate. Indirect costs are those remaining to be allocated to benefitted cost objectives. A cost may not be allocated to a Federal award as an indirect cost if any other cost incurred for the same purpose, in like circumstances, has been assigned to a Federal award as a direct cost.
- Total Indirect Costs equal Approved Rate x Eligible Indirect Expenses divided by 100.

Your State will not claim reimbursement for Indirect Costs.

| Other Costs Project Costs | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---|------------------|-------------|--------|
| Item Name | # of Units/ Unit of Measurement | Cost per Unit | % of Time on MCSAP Grant | Total Project Costs (Federal + State) | Federal Share | State Share | MOE |
| Room rental for training | 12 days total | \$350.00 | 100.0000 | \$4,200.00 | \$3,570.00 | \$630.00 | \$0.00 |
| Operation of Automotive (Fuel/Repair/Oil) | 12 Monthly | \$2,987.81 | 100.0000 | \$35,853.72 | \$30,475.66 | \$5,378.06 | \$0.00 |
| Telecommunications (cell phones/air cards/desk phones) | 12 Monthly | \$2,500.00 | 100.0000 | \$30,000.00 | \$25,500.00 | \$4,500.00 | \$0.00 |
| TOTAL: Other Costs | | | | \$70,053.72 | \$59,545.66 | \$10,508.06 | \$0.00 |

Enter a detailed explanation of how the 'other' costs were derived and allocated to the MCSAP project.

Room rental for MCSAP Training- based on 1 training per quarter, 3 days each at an average rate of \$350/day for room rental.

Operation of Automotive expenditures include fuel, repairs and oil expenditures for MCSAP officers. The total cost was arrived at using an average of actual grant expenditures from the previous year and increased to account for the anticipated new hires during FY19.

Telecommunication expenditures include cell phones and air cards utilized by the MCSAP officers for enforcement activities. The total cost was arrived at using an average of actual grant expenditure from prior year and increased to account for anticipated new hires during FY19. This amount was updated to reflect cost for desk phones that are being utilized by MCSAP officers.

Updated 8-13-2021

Illinois has submitted a request for reduction of MOE and a reduced match which has been approved and the approval letter is attached hereto. In order to reduce the State Match to the new approved amount while keeping the full Federal reimbursement amount that was allocated, the state reduced the salary portion for MCSAP officers but left the budget of Retirement and FICA based on the actual calcuation of the salary. Please note only the amount of actual Retirement and FICA expenditures will be charged to the grant for reimbursement.

Below is what was originally submitted with the eCVSP prior to the waiver of funds being requested and granted. Illinois left in for historical purposes.

**Please note Illinois' budget is based on the estimation of actual anticipated expenditures during this grant year. The budgeted amount is less than the anticipated award amount. Due to the COVID-19 Pandemic, Illinois' MCSAP budget was reduced by almost half with the exception of personal services. Therefore, Illinois has reduced this budget to align with the funding available. Please only award up to the amount budgeted to ensure Illinois is able to expend funds within Federal Grant award guidelines and timeframes.

Page 53 of 59 last updated on: 8/16/2021 2:06:19 PM

Part 4 Section 9 - Comprehensive Spending Plan

The Comprehensive Spending Plan is auto-populated from all line items in the tables and is in read-only format. Changes to the Comprehensive Spending Plan will only be reflected by updating the individual budget category table(s).

| ESTIMATED Fiscal Year Funding Amounts for MCSAP | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 85.01% Federal 14.99% State Total Estimated Share Share Funding | | | | | |
| Total | \$10,828,686.00 | \$1,910,795.00 | \$12,739,481.00 | | |

| Summary of MCSAP Funding Limitations | | | | |
|---|----------------|--|--|--|
| Allowable amount for Overtime without written justification (14.99% of Basic Award Amount): | \$1,910,795.00 | | | |
| MOE Baseline: | \$660,369.78 | | | |

| Estimated Expenditures | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|--------------|--|--------|--|--|
| | Personnel | | | | | |
| | Federal Share | State Share | Total Project Costs (Federal + Share) | MOE | | |
| New MCSAP Compliance Officers | \$321,266.00 | \$56,697.52 | \$377,963.52 | \$0.00 | | |
| MCSAP Compliance Officers | \$1,783,965.47 | \$21,334.65 | \$1,805,300.12 | \$0.00 | | |
| New Hire Office Support Staff-CMV Data Entry | \$27,314.46 | \$4,820.20 | \$32,134.66 | \$0.00 | | |
| Office Support-Compliance Tech | \$42,895.69 | \$7,569.83 | \$50,465.52 | \$0.00 | | |
| Office Support-Grant Mgr | \$50,105.05 | \$8,842.07 | \$58,947.12 | \$0.00 | | |
| Program Support Unit Mgr | \$54,250.43 | \$9,573.61 | \$63,824.04 | \$0.00 | | |
| Office Coordinator | \$27,278.41 | \$4,813.84 | \$32,092.25 | \$0.00 | | |
| Salary Subtotal | \$2,307,075.51 | \$113,651.72 | \$2,420,727.23 | \$0.00 | | |
| MCSAP Compliance Officers | \$76,099.14 | \$13,429.26 | \$89,528.40 | \$0.00 | | |
| MCSAP Office Support Staff | \$1,757.37 | \$310.13 | \$2,067.50 | \$0.00 | | |
| Overtime subtotal | \$77,856.51 | \$13,739.39 | \$91,595.90 | \$0.00 | | |
| Personnel total | \$2,384,932.02 | \$127,391.11 | \$2,512,323.13 | \$0.00 | | |

| Fringe Benefits | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|-------------|--|--------|--|
| | Federal Share | State Share | Total Project Costs (Federal + State) | MOE | |
| New MCSAP Compliance Officers | \$12,850.76 | \$2,267.78 | \$15,118.54 | \$0.00 | |
| New MCSAP Compliance Officers | \$24,577.07 | \$4,337.13 | \$28,914.20 | \$0.00 | |
| MCSAP Compliance Officers | \$66,119.83 | \$11,668.20 | \$77,788.03 | \$0.00 | |
| MCSAP Compliance Officers | \$126,454.18 | \$22,315.44 | \$148,769.62 | \$0.00 | |
| CMV Crash Data Entry Associate | \$1,092.57 | \$192.81 | \$1,285.38 | \$0.00 | |
| CMV Crash Data Entry Associate | \$2,089.56 | \$368.74 | \$2,458.30 | \$0.00 | |
| Compliance Tech-Office Support | \$1,715.83 | \$302.79 | \$2,018.62 | \$0.00 | |
| Compliance Tech-Office Support | \$3,281.52 | \$579.09 | \$3,860.61 | \$0.00 | |
| Office Support-Grant Mgr | \$2,004.20 | \$353.68 | \$2,357.88 | \$0.00 | |
| Office Support-Grant Mgr | \$3,833.03 | \$676.42 | \$4,509.45 | \$0.00 | |
| Program Support Unit Mgr | \$2,170.02 | \$382.94 | \$2,552.96 | \$0.00 | |
| Program Support Unit Mgr | \$4,150.15 | \$732.38 | \$4,882.53 | \$0.00 | |
| Office Coordinator | \$1,091.14 | \$192.55 | \$1,283.69 | \$0.00 | |
| Office Coordinator | \$2,086.79 | \$368.26 | \$2,455.05 | \$0.00 | |
| Fringe Benefits total | \$253,516.65 | \$44,738.21 | \$298,254.86 | \$0.00 | |

Page 54 of 59 last updated on: 8/16/2021 2:06:19 PM

| Travel | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------|-------------|--|--------|--|
| | Federal Share | State Share | Total Project Costs (Federal + State) | MOE | |
| Compliance Reviews | \$12,226.40 | \$2,157.60 | \$14,384.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Roadcheck Detail | \$9,392.50 | \$1,657.50 | \$11,050.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Onsite Safety Audits | \$13,590.23 | \$2,398.27 | \$15,988.50 | \$0.00 | |
| Other MCSAP Related Travel | \$25,581.08 | \$8,043.72 | \$33,624.80 | \$0.00 | |
| Travel total | \$60,790.21 | \$14,257.09 | \$75,047.30 | \$0.00 | |

| Equipment | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Federal Share State Share Total Project Costs (Federal + State) | | | | |
| Equipment total | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |

| Supplies | | | | | |
|---|---------------|-------------|--|--------|--|
| | Federal Share | State Share | Total Project Costs (Federal + State) | MOE | |
| Printer Ink | \$7,282.80 | \$1,285.20 | \$8,568.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Miscellaneous Office Supplies (Non Core Office Supplies) | \$7,094.95 | \$1,252.05 | \$8,347.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Clothing (tops, pants, etc. for MCSAP work) | \$3,060.00 | \$540.00 | \$3,600.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Commercial Vehicle Safety OOS Guide | \$1,262.25 | \$222.75 | \$1,485.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Regulatory Reference Material | \$2,550.30 | \$449.70 | \$3,000.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Supplies total | \$21,250.30 | \$3,749.70 | \$25,000.00 | \$0.00 | |

| Contractual and Subaward | | | | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|--|
| Federal Share State Share Total Project Costs (Federal + State) | | | | | |
| Illinois State Police | \$8,031,734.17 | \$1,417,364.85 | \$9,449,099.02 | \$0.00 | |
| Commercial Vehicle Safety Alliance | \$16,916.99 | \$2,983.01 | \$19,900.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Contractual and Subaward total | \$8,048,651.16 | \$1,420,347.86 | \$9,468,999.02 | \$0.00 | |

| Other Costs | | | | | |
|--|---------------|-------------|--|--------|--|
| | Federal Share | State Share | Total Project Costs (Federal + State) | MOE | |
| Room rental for training | \$3,570.00 | \$630.00 | \$4,200.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Operation of Automotive (Fuel/Repair/Oil) | \$30,475.66 | \$5,378.06 | \$35,853.72 | \$0.00 | |
| Telecommunications (cell phones/air cards/desk phones) | \$25,500.00 | \$4,500.00 | \$30,000.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Other Costs total | \$59,545.66 | \$10,508.06 | \$70,053.72 | \$0.00 | |

| Total Costs | | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|
| Federal Share State Share Total Project Costs (Federal + State) MOE | | | | |
| Subtotal for Direct Costs | \$10,828,686.00 | \$1,620,992.03 | \$12,449,678.03 | \$0.00 |
| Total Costs Budgeted | \$10,828,686.00 | \$1,620,992.03 | \$12,449,678.03 | \$0.00 |

Part 4 Section 10 - Financial Summary

The Financial Summary is auto-populated by the system by budget category. It is a read-only document and can be used to complete the SF-424A in Grants.gov. Changes to the Financial Summary will only be reflected by updating the individual budget category table(s).

- The system will confirm that percentages for Federal and State shares are correct for Total Project Costs. The edit check is performed on the "Total Costs Budgeted" line only.
- The system will confirm that Planned MOE Costs equal or exceed FMCSA funding limitation. The edit check is performed on the "Total Costs Budgeted" line only.
- The system will confirm that the Overtime value does not exceed the FMCSA funding limitation. The edit check is performed on the "Overtime subtotal" line.

| ESTIMATED Fiscal Year Funding Amounts for MCSAP | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 85.01% Federal Share 14.99% State Share Total Estimated Funding | | | | | |
| Total | \$10,828,686.00 | \$1,910,795.00 | \$12,739,481.00 | | |

| Summary of MCSAP Funding Limitations | | | | |
|---|----------------|--|--|--|
| Allowable amount for Overtime without written justification (14.99% of Basic Award Amount): | \$1,910,795.00 | | | |
| MOE Baseline: | \$660,369.78 | | | |

Total State Share budgeted must equal 14.99 percent of the total estimated funding (+/- \$5 allowed). Please update the Spending Plan to reflect the allowable amounts.

Planned MOE costs budgeted must equal or exceed the FMCSA funding limitation (minus \$5 allowed). Please update the Spending Plan to reflect the allowable amounts.

| Estimated Expenditures | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--|-------------------|
| | Federal Share | State Share | Total Project Costs (Federal + State) | Planned MOE Costs |
| Salary Subtotal | \$2,307,075.51 | \$113,651.72 | \$2,420,727.23 | \$0.00 |
| Overtime Subtotal | \$77,856.51 | \$13,739.39 | \$91,595.90 | \$0.00 |
| Personnel Total | \$2,384,932.02 | \$127,391.11 | \$2,512,323.13 | \$0.00 |
| Fringe Benefits Total | \$253,516.65 | \$44,738.21 | \$298,254.86 | \$0.00 |
| Travel Total | \$60,790.21 | \$14,257.09 | \$75,047.30 | \$0.00 |
| Equipment Total | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Supplies Total | \$21,250.30 | \$3,749.70 | \$25,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| Contractual and Subaward Total | \$8,048,651.16 | \$1,420,347.86 | \$9,468,999.02 | \$0.00 |
| Other Costs Total | \$59,545.66 | \$10,508.06 | \$70,053.72 | \$0.00 |
| | 85.01% Federal Share | 14.99% State Share | Total Project Costs (Federal + State) | Planned MOE Costs |
| Subtotal for Direct Costs | \$10,828,686.00 | \$1,620,992.03 | \$12,449,678.03 | \$0.00 |
| Indirect Costs | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | NA |
| Total Costs Budgeted | \$10,828,686.00 | \$1,620,992.03 | \$12,449,678.03 | \$0.00 |

Page 56 of 59 last updated on: 8/16/2021 2:06:19 PM

Part 5 - Certifications and Documents

Part 5 includes electronic versions of specific requirements, certifications and documents that a State must agree to as a condition of participation in MCSAP. The submission of the CVSP serves as official notice and certification of compliance with these requirements. State or States means all of the States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, American Samoa, Guam, and the Virgin Islands.

If the person submitting the CVSP does not have authority to certify these documents electronically, then the State must continue to upload the signed/certified form(s) through the "My Documents" area on the State's Dashboard page.

Part 5 Section 1 - State Certification

The State Certification will not be considered complete until the four questions and certification declaration are answered. Selecting 'no' in the declaration may impact your State's eligibility for MCSAP funding.

- 1. What is the name of the person certifying the declaration for your State? Bill Hutton
- 2. What is this person's title? Bureau Chief of Investigations & Compliance
- 3. Who is your Governor's highway safety representative? Omer Osman
- 4. What is this person's title? Acting Secretary, IL DOT

| The State affirmatively accepts the | State certification declaratio | n written below by selecting 'yes'. |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Yes | | |

No

State Certification declaration:

I, Bill Hutton, Bureau Chief of Investigations & Compliance, on behalf of the State of ILLINOIS, as requested by the Administrator as a condition of approval of a grant under the authority of 49 U.S.C. § 31102, as amended, certify that the State satisfies all the conditions required for MCSAP funding, as specifically detailed in 49 C.F.R. § 350.211.

Page 57 of 59 last updated on: 8/16/2021 2:06:19 PM

Part 5 Section 2 - Annual Review of Laws, Regulations, Policies and Compatibility Certification

You must answer all three questions and indicate your acceptance of the certification declaration. Selecting 'no' in the declaration may impact your State's eligibility for MCSAP funding.

- 1. What is the name of your certifying State official? Bill Hutton
- 2. What is the title of your certifying State offical? Bureau Chief of Investigations & Compliance
- 3. What are the phone # and email address of your State official? (217) 558-4617 Bill.Hutton@illinois.gov

| The State affirmatively | / accepts the compatibility | certification declaration | written below by | selecting 'yes'. |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | | | | |

| Yes |
|-----|
| No |

I, Bill Hutton, certify that the State has conducted the annual review of its laws and regulations for compatibility regarding commercial motor vehicle safety and that the State's safety laws remain compatible with the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations (49 CFR parts 390-397) and the Hazardous Materials Regulations (49 CFR parts 107 (subparts F and G only), 171-173, 177, 178, and 180) and standards and orders of the Federal government, except as may be determined by the Administrator to be inapplicable to a State enforcement program. For the purpose of this certification, Compatible means State laws or regulations pertaining to interstate commerce that are identical to the FMCSRs and HMRs or have the same effect as the FMCSRs and identical to the HMRs and for intrastate commerce rules identical to or within the tolerance guidelines for the FMCSRs and identical to the HMRs.

If there are any exceptions that should be noted to the above certification, include an explanation in the text box below. IDOT's Chief Counsel submitted the proposed amendments to 92 III. Adm. Code 395, which incorporates the latest changes made by the FMCSA, to the Governor's Office on June 15th. These have been approved by the Governors office, and will be published in the Illinois Register on August 7th, 2020. Due to the delay in receiving the necessary approvals, Office of Chief Counsel anticipates this to be adopted by November 5, 2020.

Page 58 of 59 last updated on: 8/16/2021 2:06:19 PM

Part 5 Section 3 - New Laws/Legislation/Policy Impacting CMV Safety

| Has the State adopted/enacted any new or updated laws (i.e., statutes) impacting CMV safety since the last CVSP or annual update was submitted? | |
|--|----|
| Yes No | |
| Has the State adopted/enacted any new administrative actions or policies impacting CMV safety since the last CVSP? | |
| Yes No | |
| In the table below, provide the section changed and the effective date of the administrative change or policy adoption. Include a brief description of the policy or action. Please include a brief description of the policy or action. Please include a brief description of the policy or action. | эb |

a brief description of the policy or action. Please include a hyperlink or URL, in the summary if available.

| Administrative and Policy Adoption | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Section Changed | Effective Date | Summary of Changes | |
| 92 III Adm. Code 107 | 05/14/2020 | Part 107 was amended to incorporate by reference Subpart F found in 49 CFR 107 effective May 14th, 2020. | |

Page 59 of 59 last updated on: 8/16/2021 2:06:19 PM

FY 2021 Certification of MCSAP Conformance (State Certification)

I Bill Hutton, Bureau Chief, Investigations & Compliance, on behalf of the State Illinois, as requested by the Administrator as a condition of approval of a grant under the authority of 49 U.S.C. § 31102, as amended, do hereby certify as follows:

- 1. The State has designated the Illinois Department of Transportation as the Lead State Agency to administer the Commercial Vehicle Safety Plan (CVSP) throughout the State for the grant sought and the Illinois Department of Transportation and the Illinois State Police to perform defined functions under the CVSP.
- 2. The State has assumed responsibility for and adopted commercial motor carrier and highway hazardous materials safety regulations, standards and orders that are compatible with the FMCSRs and the HMRs, and the standards and orders of the Federal Government.
- 3. The State will cooperate in the enforcement of financial responsibility requirements under 49 C.F.R. part 387.
- 4. The State will enforce registration (i.e., operating authority) requirements under 49 U.S.C §§ 13902 and 31134 by prohibiting the operation of any vehicle discovered to be operating without the required registration or beyond the scope of the motor carrier's registration.
- 5. The laws of the State provide the State's enforcement officials right of entry (or other method a State may use that is adequate to obtain the necessary information) and inspection sufficient to carry out the purposes of the CVSP, as approved.
- 6. The Lead State Agency and any subrecipient of MCSAP funds have the legal authority, resources, and qualified personnel necessary to enforce the State's commercial motor carrier, driver, and highway hazardous materials safety laws, regulations, standards, and orders.
- 7. The State has undertaken efforts to emphasize and improve enforcement of State and local traffic laws as they pertain to CMV safety.
- 8. The State will obligate the funds or resources necessary to provide a matching share to the Federal assistance provided in the grant to administer the plan submitted and to enforce the State's commercial motor carrier safety, driver, and hazardous materials laws, regulations, standards, and orders in a manner consistent with the approved plan.
- 9. The State will maintain the maintenance of effort required under 49 C.F.R. § 350.225.
- 10. The State requires that all reports required in the CVSP be available to FMCSA upon request, meets the reporting requirements, and uses the forms for recordkeeping, inspections, and investigations that FMCSA prescribes.
- 11. The State implements performance-based activities, including deployment and maintenance of technology, to enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of CMV safety programs.

- 12. The State dedicates sufficient resources to a program to ensure that accurate, complete, and timely motor carrier safety data are collected and reported, and to ensure the State's participation in a national motor carrier safety data correction system prescribed by FMCSA.
- 13. The State will ensure that the Lead State Agency will coordinate the CVSP, data collection, and information systems with the State highway safety improvement program under 23 U.S.C. § 148(c).
- 14. The State will ensure participation in information technology and data systems as required by FMCSA for jurisdictions receiving MCSAP funding.
- 15. The State will ensure that information is exchanged with other States in a timely manner.
- 16. The laws of the State provide that the State will grant maximum reciprocity for inspections conducted pursuant to the North American Standard Inspection procedure, through the use of a nationally accepted system allowing ready identification of previously inspected CMVs.
- 17. The State will conduct comprehensive and highly visible traffic enforcement and CMV safety inspection programs in high-risk locations and corridors.
- 18. The State will ensure that it has departmental policies stipulating that roadside inspections will be conducted at locations that are adequate to protect the safety of drivers and enforcement personnel.
- 19. The State will ensure that, except in the case of an imminent or obvious safety hazard, an inspection of a vehicle transporting passengers for a motor carrier of passengers is conducted at a bus station, terminal, border crossing, maintenance facility, destination, or other location where motor carriers may make planned stops (excluding a weigh station).
- 20. The State will address activities in support of the national program elements listed in 49 C.F.R. § 350.203.
- 21. The State will ensure that detection of criminal activities and CMV size and weight enforcement activities described in 49 C.F.R. § 350.227(b) funded with MCSAP funds will not diminish the effectiveness of other CMV safety enforcement programs.
- 22. The State will ensure that violation sanctions imposed and collected by the State are consistent, effective, and equitable.
- 23. The State will include, in the training manual for the licensing examination to drive a non-CMV and the training manual for the licensing examination to drive a CMV, information on best practices for safe driving in the vicinity of noncommercial and commercial motor vehicles.

- 24. The State has in effect a requirement that registrants of CMVS demonstrate their knowledge of the applicable FMCSRs, HMRs, or compatible State laws, regulations, standards, and orders on CMV safety.
- 25. The State will transmit to its roadside inspectors at the notice of each Federal exemption granted pursuant to 49 U.S.C. § 31315(b) and 49 C.F.R. §§ 390.32 and 390.25 as provided to the State by FMCSA, including the name of the person granted the exemption and any terms and conditions that apply to the exemption.
- 26. Except for a territory of the United States, the State will conduct safety audits of interstate and, at the State's discretion, intrastate new entrant motor carriers under 49 U.S.C. § 31144(g). The State must verify the quality of the work conducted by a third party authorized to conduct safety audits under 49 U.S.C. §31144(g) on its behalf, and the State remains solely responsible for the management and oversight of the activities.
- 27. The State willfully participates in the performance and registration information systems management program under 49 U.S.C. §31106(b) not later than October 1, 2020, or demonstrates to FMCSA an alternative approach for identifying and immobilizing a motor carrier with serious safety deficiencies in a manner that provides an equivalent level of safety.
- 28. The State will ensure that it cooperates in the enforcement of hazardous materials safety permits issued under subpart E of part 385 of this subchapter by verifying possession of the permit when required while conducting vehicle inspections and investigations, as applicable.
- 29. In the case of a State that shares a land border with another country, the State may conduct a border CMV safety program focusing on international commerce that includes enforcement and related projects or will forfeit all MCSAP funds based on border-related activities.
- 30. In the case that a State meets all MCSAP requirements and funds operation and maintenance costs associated with innovative technology deployment with MCSAP funds, the State agrees to comply with the requirements established in 49 C.F.R. subpart D.

| Date | October 21, 2020 | |
|-----------|------------------|--|
| | . 6/111 | |
| Signature | MUNT | |
| Signature | | |



August 13, 2021

1200 New Jersey Ave, SE Washington, DC 20590

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

Chief Bill Hutton
Bureau of Investigations and Compliance
Illinois Department of Transportation
2300 South Dirksen Parkway
Springfield, IL 62764

Dear Chief Hutton:

Thank you for your letter requesting a modification of the Illinois Department of Transportation (ILDOT) Fiscal Year (FY) 2021 state match and Maintenance of Effort (MOE) requirements for the Motor Carrier Safety Assistance Program (MCSAP). The U.S. Department of Transportation and the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) are committed to assisting the ILDOT through your ongoing financial challenges.

As outlined in your correspondences dated August 3 and August 5, 2021, ILDOT is struggling with meeting the state match and MOE requirements established under the MCSAP due to a reduction in expenditures and state appropriations in response to the Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) pandemic. The reductions implemented by the State create an expenditure cap limiting the total amount of funds the Department may expend. These shortfalls, coupled with the Department's inability to request an immediate cap increase to account for potential increases in Federal dollars, hamper the efforts to effectively carry out the commercial vehicle safety efforts outlined in the State's Commercial Vehicle Safety Plan, unless a modification is made to the State's match and MOE requirements.

Due to the severe impact of these events on the State, as authorized by 49 U.S.C. § 31102(f)(3), 49 CFR § 350.225(d), and 49 C.F.R. § 350.223(c)(2), the FMCSA is approving the following modifications to its awards:

- 1. Waiver of the State's MOE obligation of \$660,369.78 for its FY 2021 MCSAP grant. As such, the State will have no MOE obligation for FY 2021; and
- 2. Reduction of \$289,803.09 of the State's matching share obligation for its FY 2021 MCSAP grant. As such, the State will have a matching share requirement for FY 2021's MCSAP formula grant in the amount of \$1,620,991.91.

The adjustments made to the State's MCSAP grant will allow ILDOT to adjust operations and staffing as needed for continued participation in MCSAP activities.

Thank you again for contacting FMCSA to express the challenges your State is facing due to COVID 19. If you should have questions or need additional information or support, please contact Tom Liberatore, Chief, State Programs Division, at Thomas.Liberatore@dot.gov or (202) 366-3030.

Sincerely,

Darrell L. Ruban Acting Associate Administrator for Compliance